Comparison of the air kerma standards of the UDZ and the BIPM for ⁶⁰Co radiation

by A.-M. Perroche^{*} and M. Boutillon Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, F-92312 Sèvres Cedex and I. Kovar and R. Wagner Ustav Dozimetrie Zareni, CS-180 86 Praha 8

Abstract

The comparison performed between the air kerma standards of the Ustav Dozimetrie Zareni and of the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures for 60 Co gamma radiation is reported. The results obtained with the two standards are in good agreement.

1. Introduction

A comparison between the air kerma standards of the Ustav Dozimetrie Zareni (UDZ), Praha, and of the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM), Sèvres, has been performed in ⁶⁰Co gamma radiation. The UDZ standard of air kerma is a graphite cavity chamber constructed in the Dosimetry section of the Orszagos Mérésugyi Hivatal (OMH), Budapest, Hungaria (type ND 1005/A, serial number 8105). Its main characteristics are given in Table 1. Its volume had already been determined at the OMH by an ionometric measurement. The comparison took place at the BIPM in September 1992.

2. Conditions of measurement

The comparison has been performed at the BIPM under the conditions of measurement given in Table 6 of [1].

The air kerma rate is determined for one standard by

$$\dot{K} = \frac{I}{m} \frac{W}{e} \frac{1}{1 - \overline{g}} \left(\frac{\mu_{en}}{\rho} \right)_{a,c} \overline{s}_{c,a} \Pi k_i, \qquad (1)$$

where

I/m is the mass ionization current measured by the standard,

- W is the average energy spent by an electron of charge e to produce an ion pair in dry air,
- g is the fraction of energy lost by bremsstrahlung,

^{*} Service Central de Protection contre les Rayonnements Ionisants, F-78110 Le Vésinet.

 $(\mu_{en}/\rho)_{a,c}$ is the ratio of the mean mass-energy absorption coefficients of air and graphite,

 $\bar{s}_{c,a}$ is the ratio of the mean stopping powers of graphite and air, Πk_i is the product of the correction factors to be applied to the standard.

The physical constants and the correction factors entering in (1) and the uncertainties associated with the measurement of K are given in Table 7 of [1] for the BIPM standard and in Table 2 of the present report for the UDZ standard.

The collecting voltage applied to the UDZ standard is \pm 300 V. The polarity effect I_{+300V}/I_{-300V} is equal to 1,002 4 ± 0,000 4.

The correction factors relevant to the UDZ standard concerning the wall, the stem scattering and the recombination losses have been determined at the UDZ. The correction factor k_{rn} , for the radial non-uniformity of the BIPM beam over the section of the UDZ standard, has been estimated from [2]. The value of $\bar{s}_{c,a}$ applied to the UDZ standard ($\Delta = 17.5 \text{ keV}$, $\rho_c = 1.75 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$) has been calculated by the Spencer-Attix method [3] from the data of ICRU [4].

The uncertainty in the air kerma rate \dot{K}_{UDZ} , determined at the BIPM, is estimated to be 0,23 % (see Table 2).

3. Results

An

The result of the comparison is given in Table 3; the air kerma rates determined by the UDZ and the BIPM standards are in good agreement. Their ratio $\tilde{K}_{UDZ}/\tilde{K}_{BIPM}$ is 0,999 2. Some of the uncertainties in \tilde{K} which appear in both determinations (such as air density, W/e, μ_{en}/ρ , ğ, $\bar{s}_{c,a}$, k_{h} , ...) cancel when evaluating the uncertainty of the ratio $\dot{K}_{UDZ}/\dot{K}_{BIPM}$, which is estimated to be 0,23 %. A detailed analysis is given in Table 2.

Another determination of the volume of the UDZ standard was performed at the OMH by a mechanical measurement. The result differs from the value given in Table 1 by 0,2 %.

During the comparison at the BIPM, the ionization currents of the BIPM and UDZ standards were measured with the BIPM equipment. In addition, the ionization current of the UDZ standard was measured with the UDZ electrometer (Keithley 617): the value was 0.16 % lower than the one measured by the BIPM equipment. After the comparison, the UDZ electrometer has been checked at UDZ.

Five national laboratories which are in possession of a similar air kerma standard have performed comparisons at the BIPM during the past decade. All the results agree to within 0,3 %. This confirms that graphite cavity chambers of the type used in the present comparison are adequate as primary standards for ⁶⁰Co gamma radiation.

Table 1

Characteristics and dimensions of the UDZ standard ionization chamber type ND 1005/A, serial number 8105

Dimensions (nominal values) (mm)	
Outer height	19
Outer diameter	19
Inner height	11
Inner diameter	11
Wall thickness	4
Electrode	
diameter	2
length	10

Volume of the air cavity (cm ³)*	1,018 8

1

Materials

Wall ultrapure graphite EK50 Ringsdorf, of density 1,75 g cm⁻³ and with impurities of about $1,5 \times 10^{-4}$

Insulator polyethylene

* Measured ionometrically by the Orszagos Mérésügyi Hivatal (OMH), Budapest.

3

. 81 . .

41 - **1**1 - 11 - 1

Table 2

Physical constants and correction factors entering in the determination of air kerma rate $\dot{K}_{UDZ'}$ for the BIPM ⁶⁰Co beam. The uncertainties are given as standard deviations (in %).

		K _{UDZ} values uncertainty			K _{UDZ} /K _{BIPM} uncertainty*		
			s _i	u _j	s _i	u _j	
Physical constants							
dry air density							
(273,15 K, 101 325 Pa)	(kg m ⁻³)	1,293 0		0,01			
$(\mu_{en}/\rho)_a/(\mu_{en}/\rho)_c$ [5]		0,998 5		0,05			
5 _{c,a} [5]	_	1,000 9				0,03	
W/e [5]	(J C ⁻¹)	33,97		0,11**			
\overline{g} (fraction of energy							
lost by bremsstrahlung) [5]		0,003 2		0,02			
Correction factors							
k _s recombination losses		1,001 6	0,02	0,03	0,03	0,03	
k _h humidity		0,997 0		0,03		1	
k _{st} stem scattering		0,999 7	0,01	0,01	0,01	0,02	
k _{at} wall attenuation							
k _{sc} wall scattering	}	1,013 1	0,02	0,12	0,02	0,15	
k _{CEP} mean origin of electrons							
k _{an} axial non-uniformity		0,999 8		0,1		0,12	
k _{rn} radial non-uniformity		1,000 3		<0,01		0,02	
Measurement of I/vp							
v volume	(cm^3)	1,018 8		0,10	0,01	0,10	
I ionization current	\						
corrections concerning ρ			0,01	0,01	0,02	0,02	
(temperature, pressure,	>	we again the second					
air compressibility))	4. 					
Uncertainty in K _{UDZ}							
quadratic sum			0,03	0,23			
combined uncertainty			- 0 ,	23			
د ،							
Uncertainty in K _{UDZ} /K _{BIPM}							
quadratic sum					0,04	0,22	
combined uncertainty					0	,23	

 ^{*} See Table 7 of [1] for a detailed analysis of the uncertainty in K_{BIPM}.
** Uncertainty on the product W/e s_{c,a} entering in the determination of air kerma [6].

Table 3

Result of the UDZ-BIPM comparison of air kerma standards

Date of measurement	K _{UDZ} (mGy/s)	К _{ВІРМ} (mGy/s)	$\dot{K}_{UDZ} / \dot{K}_{BIPM}^*$
1992-09-09		8,914 7	
1992-09-15	8,907 5		0,999 2 ± 0,002 3
1992-09-16		8,915 0	

Each air kerma rate value is the mean of 60 measurements. It is corrected for decay and air absorption between source and standard and given for the reference date 1992-01-01, using the recently compiled value for the 60 Co half life of (1925,5 ± 0,5) d [7].

1

The leakage current of the UDZ standard is of order 0,01 %.

.

i ka

The standard deviation of the mean value of the ionisation current of the UDZ standard is 0.01 %.

w ∰rang sti ti ti

^{*} See Table 2 for the analysis of the uncertainty of $\dot{K}_{UDZ} / \dot{K}_{BIPM}$.

References

- Perroche A.-M. and Boutillon M., Measuring conditions used for the calibration of ionization chambers at the BIPM, *Rapport BIPM-91/5*, 1991, 11 p. (BIPM, F-92312 Sèvres Cedex)
- [2] Boutillon M. and Perroche A.-M., Radial non-uniformity of the BIPM ⁶⁰Co beam, Rapport BIPM-89/2, 1989, 9 p. (BIPM, F-92312 Sèvres Cedex)
- [3] Spencer L.V. and Attix F.H., A theory of cavity ionisation, Rad. Res. 1955, 3, 239
- [4] ICRU (International Commission on Radiation Units and Measurements), Stopping powers for electrons and positrons, *Report* 37, 1984 (ICRU, Bethesda, MD)
- [5] BIPM, Constantes physiques pour les étalons de mesure de rayonnement, in Com. Cons. Etalons Mes. Ray. ionisants (Section I), 1985, 11, R45 (Offilib, F-75240 Paris Cedex 05)
- [6] Niatel, M.-T., Perroche-Roux A.-M. and Boutillon M., Two determinations of W for electrons in dry air, *Phys. Med. Biol.* 1985, **30**, 67.
- [7] Nichols, A.L., X- and gamma-rays standards for detector efficiency calibration, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Physics Research 1990, A286, 467.

(January 1993)