# Update of the BIPM comparison BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m of activity measurements of the radionuclide <sup>110m</sup>Ag to include the 2015 result of the PTB (Germany)

C. Michotte<sup>1</sup>, S. Courte<sup>1</sup>, M. Nonis<sup>1</sup>, R. Coulon<sup>1</sup>, S. Judge<sup>1</sup>, G. Ratel<sup>1</sup>, K. Kossert<sup>2</sup>, O. Nähle<sup>2</sup>

 $^1$ Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, Pavillon de Breteuil, F-92312 Sèvres Cedex, France.

<sup>2</sup> Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Bundesallee 100, D-38116 Braunschweig, Germany.

E-mail: cmichotte@bipm.org

Abstract Since 1983, 6 laboratories have submitted 7 samples of <sup>110m</sup>Ag to the International Reference System (SIR) for activity comparison at the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM), with comparison identifier BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m. Recently, the PTB (Germany) participated in the comparison and the key comparison reference value (KCRV) has been updated. The degrees of equivalence between each equivalent activity measured in the SIR and the updated KCRV have been calculated and the results are given in the form of a table. A graphical presentation is also given.

### 1. Introduction

The SIR for activity measurements of  $\gamma$ -ray-emitting radionuclides was established in 1976. Each national metrology institute (NMI) may request a standard ampoule from the BIPM that is then filled with 3.6 g of the radioactive solution. For radioactive gases, a different standard ampoule is used. Each NMI completes a submission form that details the standardization method used to determine the absolute activity of the radionuclide and the full uncertainty budget for the evaluation. The ampoules are sent to the BIPM where they are compared with standard sources of <sup>226</sup>Ra using pressurized ionization chambers. Details of the SIR method, experimental set-up and the determination of the equivalent activity  $A_{\rm e}$ , are all given in [1].

From its inception until 31 December 2019, the SIR has been used to measure 1016 ampoules to give 771 independent results for 72 different radionuclides. The SIR makes it possible for national laboratories to check the reliability of their activity measurements at any time. This is achieved by the determination of the equivalent activity of the radionuclide and by comparison of the result with the key comparison reference value determined from the results of primary standardizations. These comparisons are described as BIPM ongoing comparisons and the results form the basis of the BIPM key comparison database (KCDB) of the Comité International des Poids et Mesures Mutual Recognition Arrangement (CIPM MRA) [2]. The comparison described in this report is known as the BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m key comparison. The results of earlier participations in this key comparison were published previously [3].

### 2. Participants

Laboratory details are given in Table 1, with the earlier submissions being taken from [3]. The dates of measurement in the SIR given in Table 1 are used in the KCDB and all references in this report.

NMI or	Previous	Full name	Country	RMO	Date of SIB mea
labora-	acronyms				surement
$\mathbf{tory}$					yyyy-mm-dd
BKFH	OMH,	Government Office of the	Hungary	EURAMET	2000-07-11
	MKEH	Capital City Budapest			
IFIN-HH	-	Institutul National de	Romania	EURAMET	1983-12-13
		Cercetare - Dezvoltare			
		in Fizica si Inginerie			
		Nucleara- "Horia Hu-			
		lubei"			
LNE-	LMRI,	Laboratoire National de	France	EURAMET	2001-12-11
LNHB	LPRI	métrologie et d´Essais			
		-Laboratoire National			
		Henri Becquerel			
NIST	NBS	National Institute of Stan-	United	SIM	1988-01-06
		dards and Technology	States		
NPL	-	National Physical Labora-	United King-	EURAMET	1993-12-03
		tory	$\operatorname{dom}$		
PTB	-	Physikalisch-Technische	Germany	EURAMET	2015-01-22
		$\operatorname{Bundesanstalt}$			

Table 1: Details of the participants in the BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m.

### 3. NMI standardization methods

Each NMI that submits ampoules to the SIR has measured the activity either by a primary standardization method or by using a secondary method, for example a calibrated ionization chamber. In the latter case, the traceability of the calibration needs to be clearly identified to ensure that appropriate correlations are taken into account.

A brief description of the standardization methods used by the laboratories, the

activities submitted, the relative standard uncertainties and the half-life used by the participants are given in Table 2. The uncertainty budget for the new submission is given in Appendix D attached to this report; previous uncertainty budgets are given in the earlier K1 report [3]. The list of acronyms used to summarize the methods is given in Appendix E.

The half-life used by the BIPM is 249.8(1) days as published in NADD 98 [4].

NMI or	Method used and the	Activity	Relativ	<b>'e</b>	Reference	Half-life
labora- tory	acronym	$A_i/\mathbf{kBq}$	standar uncerta	rd ainty	date	/d
			/10 <sup>-2</sup>	v		
			Α	В	yyyy-mm- dd	
BKFH	$4\pi$ - $\beta$ (PC)- $\gamma$ coincidence	6308	0.09	0.27	2000-06-01	249.8(1)
	and anticoincidence (4P-				00:00 UT	
	PC-BP-??-GR-CO, 4P-PC-					
	BP-??-GR-AC)					
IFIN-HH	Efficiency extrapolation	1410 <sup>a</sup>	0.53	0.98	1983-09-01	-
	(4P-PC-BP-NA-GR-CO) <sup>a</sup>	1001			00:00 UT	
		1381	0.53	0.98		
LNE-	$4\pi$ - $\beta$ - $\gamma$ coincidence (4P-PC-	3275	0.08	0.08	2001-06-21	249.8(1)
LNHB	BP-??-GR-CO)				00:00 UT	
NIST	ionization chamber (4P-IC-	2278	0.01	0.36	1987-12-03	
	GR-00-00-00) <sup>b</sup>				00:00 UT	
NPL	$4\pi$ - $\beta$ - $\gamma$ coincidence (4P-PC-	1099	0.05	0.8	1993-10-27	249.8
	BP-??-GR-CO)				00:00 UT	
РТВ	CIEMAT/NIST (4P-LS-	2173.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.06	0.31	2014-11-01	249.79(20)
	MX-00-00-CN)				00:00 UT	
	TDCR ( 4P-LS-MX-00-00-					
	TD)					

Table 2:	Standardization	methods	of the	participants f	for <sup>110m</sup> Ag.
----------	-----------------	---------	--------	----------------	-------------------------

<sup>a</sup> See details in [5]

<sup>b</sup> Calibrated by  $4\pi$ - $\beta$ (PPC)- $\gamma$  coincidence

<sup>c</sup> The final result is the weighted mean of the two submitted results. The relative internal uncertainty of the weighted mean (0.32 %) is used, which is larger than the relative external uncertainty (0.02 %)<sup>d</sup> Several samples submitted

Details regarding the solutions submitted are shown in Table 3, including any impurities, when present, as identified by the laboratories. When given, the standard uncertainties on the evaluations are shown.

Table 3: Details of each so	olution of <sup>110m</sup> Ag su	ubmitted.
-----------------------------	----------------------------------	-----------

NMI or	Chemical	Solvent conc.	Carrier	Density	Relative activity of
laboratory	composi-		conc.		any impurity <sup>a</sup>
	tion				
/ SIR year		$/(\mathrm{mol}\mathrm{dm}^{-3})$	$/(\mu \mathrm{g}\mathrm{g}^{-1})$	$/({ m gcm^{-3}})$	
BKFH 2000	AgNO <sub>3</sub> in	0.1	AgNO <sub>3</sub> : 640	-	$^{108m}$ Ag: 0.26(7) %
	$\rm NH_4OH$				

NMI or	Chemical	Solvent conc.	Carrier	Density	Relative activity of
laboratory	composi-		conc.		any impurity <sup>a</sup>
	tion				
/ SIR year		$/ (\mathrm{mol}\mathrm{dm}^{-3})$	$/(\mu g g^{-1})$	$/({ m gcm^{-3}})$	
IFIN-HH	AgNO <sub>3</sub> in	0.1	Ag: 25	1	$^{108m}$ Ag: <0.01 %
1983	$HNO_3$				
LNE-LNHB	AgCN in	1	AgCN: 10	0.966	$^{108m}$ Ag: <0.003 %
2001	$\rm NH_3$				
NIST 1988	AgNO <sub>3</sub> in	0.1	Ag: 180	1.002	$^{108m}$ Ag: 1.07(11) %
	$HNO_3$				
NPL 1993	Ag in NH <sub>3</sub>	1	Ag: 120	1	$^{108m}$ Ag: 0.432(60) %
PTB 2015	$AgNO_3$ and	-	AgNO <sub>3</sub> : $30$	approx.	-
	KCN in wa-		KCN: 1000	1.000	
	ter				

... Continuation of Table 3.

<sup>a</sup> the ratio of the activity of the impurity to the activity of <sup>110m</sup>Ag at the reference date

### 4. Results

All the submissions to the SIR since its inception in 1976 are maintained in a database known as the "master-file". The latest submission has added 1 ampoule for the activity measurements for <sup>110m</sup>Ag giving rise to 7 ampoules in total. The SIR equivalent activity,  $A_{\rm ei}$ , for each ampoule received from each NMI, *i*, including both previous and new results, is given in Table 4.

The relative standard uncertainties arising from the measurements in the SIR are also shown. This uncertainty is additional to that declared by the NMI  $(u(A_i))$  for the activity measurement shown in Table 2. Although submitted activities are compared with a given source of <sup>226</sup>Ra, all the SIR results are normalized to the radium source number 5 [1]. No recent submission has been identified as a pilot study so the most recent result of each NMI is normally eligible for Appendix B of the MRA [2].

The impurity correction for the SIR measurements amounts to 1.0073 at maximum, for the NIST (1988).

NMI or labo-	$m_i$	$A_i$	$^{226}$ Ra	$A_{\mathbf{e}i}$	Relative	u <sub>ci</sub>	$A_{\mathbf{e}i}$	for
ratory			source		uncert.		KCRV	
					from			
					SIR			
/ SIR year	$/\mathbf{g}$	/kBq		/kBq	$/10^{-4}$	/kBq	/kBq	
/ <b>SIR year</b> BKFH 2000	/g 3.608 9	/ <b>kBq</b> 6308	5	/ <b>kBq</b> 5973	$/10^{-4}$ 7	/ <b>kBq</b> 17	/ <b>kBq</b> 5973(17)	
/ SIR year BKFH 2000 IFIN-HH 1983	/g 3.608 9 3.731 2	/ <b>kBq</b> 6308 1410	5 3	/ <b>kBq</b> 5973 6378	/10 <sup>-4</sup> 7 5	/ <b>kBq</b> 17 71	/ <b>kBq</b> 5973(17) - <sup>a</sup>	

Table 4: Results of SIR measurement of <sup>110m</sup>Ag.

		iemidaeron of	rable i.				
NMI or labo-	$m_i$	$A_i$	$^{226}$ Ra	$A_{\mathbf{e}i}$	Relative	$u_{\mathbf{c},i}$	$A_{\mathbf{e}}$ for KCRV
ratory			source		uncert.		
					from		
					SIR		
/ SIR year	/ <b>g</b>	/kBq		/kBq	/10 <sup>-4</sup>	/kBq	$/\mathbf{kBq}$
LNE-LNHB	3.462 14	3275	4	5985	5	7	5985(7)
2001							
NIST 1988	3.652 7	2278	4	5971	9	22	5971(22)
NPL 1993	3.602 56	1099	3	6005	7	48	6005(48)
PTB 2015	3.624 3	2173.5	4	5974	5	19	5974(19)

. Continuation of Table 4.

<sup>a</sup> Result considered as outlier

### 4.1. The key comparison reference value

In May 2013, the CCRI(II) decided to calculate the key comparison reference value (KCRV) by using the power-moderated weighted mean [6] rather than an unweighted mean, as had been the policy. This type of weighted mean is similar to a Mandel-Paule mean in that the NMIs' uncertainties may be increased until the reduced chisquared value is one. In addition, it allows for a power  $\alpha$  smaller than two in the weighting factor. As proposed in [6],  $\alpha$  is taken as 2 - 3/N where N is the number of results selected for the KCRV. Therefore, all SIR key comparison results can be selected for the KCRV with the following provisions:

- (a) only results for solutions standardized by primary techniques are accepted, with the exception of radioactive gas standards (for which results from transfer instrument measurements that are directly traceable to a primary measurement in the laboratory may be included);
- (b) each NMI or other laboratory may only use one result (normally the most recent result or the mean if more than one ampoule is submitted);
- (c) results more than 20 years old are included in the calculation of the KCRV but are not included in data shown in the KCDB or in the plots in this report, as they have expired;
- (d) possible outliers can be identified on a mathematical basis and excluded from the KCRV using the normalized error test with a test value of 2.5 and using the modified uncertainties;
- (e) results can also be excluded for technical reasons; and
- (f) the CCRI(II) is always the final arbiter regarding excluding any data from the calculation of the KCRV.

The data set used for the evaluation of the KCRVs is known as the KCRV file and is a reduced data set from the SIR master-file. Although the KCRV may be modified when other NMIs participate, on the advice of the Key Comparison Working Group of the CCRI(II), such modifications are made only by the CCRI(II) during one of its biennial meetings, or by consensus through electronic means (e.g., email) as discussed at the CCRI(II) meeting in 2013.

Consequently, using the recent result produces an updated KCRV for <sup>110m</sup>Ag in 2020 of **5980.8(64)** kBq with the power  $\alpha = 1.4$  that has been calculated using the previously published results, selected as shown in Table 4, for the NIST (1988), NPL (1993), BKFH (2000), LNE-LNHB (2001), and the present PTB (2015) result. This can be compared with the previous KCRV value of 5984(8) kBq published in 2002 [3].

### 4.2. Degrees of equivalence

Every participant in a comparison is entitled to have one result included in the KCDB as long as the NMI is a signatory or designated institute listed in the CIPM MRA, and the result is valid (i.e., not older than 20 years). Normally, the most recent result is the one included. An NMI may withdraw its result only if all other participants agree.

The degree of equivalence of a given measurement standard is the degree to which this standard is consistent with the KCRV [2]. The degree of equivalence is expressed quantitatively in terms of the deviation from the key comparison reference value and the expanded uncertainty of this deviation (k = 2). The degree of equivalence between any pair of national measurement standards is expressed in terms of their difference and the expanded uncertainty of this difference and is independent of the choice of key comparison reference value.

### 4.2.1. Comparison of a given NMI result with the KCRV

The degree of equivalence of the result of a particular NMI, i, with the key comparison reference value is expressed as the difference  $D_i$  between the values

$$D_i = A_{\rm ei} - \rm KCRV \tag{1}$$

and the expanded uncertainty (k = 2) of this difference,  $U_i$ , known as the equivalence uncertainty; hence

$$U_i = 2u(D_i) \tag{2}$$

When the result of the NMI i is included in the KCRV with a weight  $w_i$ , then

$$u^{2}(D_{i}) = (1 - 2w_{i})u_{i}^{2} + u^{2}(\text{KCRV})$$
(3)

However, when the result of the NMI i is not included in the KCRV, then

$$u^2(D_i) = u_i^2 + u^2(\text{KCRV}) \tag{4}$$

### 4.2.2. Comparison between pairs of NMI results

The degree of equivalence between the results of any pair of NMIs, i and j, is expressed as the difference  $D_{ij}$  in the values

$$D_{ij} = D_i - D_j = A_{ei} - A_{ej} \tag{5}$$

and the expanded uncertainty (k = 2) of this difference,  $U_{ij} = 2u(D_{ij})$ , where

$$u^{2}(D_{ij}) = u_{i}^{2} + u_{j}^{2} - 2u(A_{ei}, A_{ej})$$
(6)

where any obvious correlations between the NMIs (such as a traceable calibration, or correlations normally coming from the SIR or from the linking factor in the case of linked comparison) are subtracted using the covariance  $u(A_{ei}, A_{ej})$  (see [7] for more detail). However, the CCRI decided in 2011 that these pair-wise degrees of equivalence no longer need to be published as long as the methodology is explained.

Table B1 shows the matrix of all the degrees of equivalence as they will appear in the KCDB. It should be noted that for consistency within the KCDB, a simplified level of nomenclature is used with  $A_{ei}$  replaced by  $x_i$ . The introductory text is that agreed for the comparison. The graph of the results in Table 5, corresponding to the degrees of equivalence with respect to the KCRV (identified as  $x_R$  in the KCDB), is shown in Figure C1. This graphical representation indicates in part the degree of equivalence between the NMIs but obviously does not take into account the correlations between the different NMIs. It should be noted that the final data in this paper, while correct at the time of publication, will become out-of-date as NMIs make new comparisons. The formal results under the CIPM MRA [2] are those available in the KCDB.

### 5. Conclusion

The BIPM ongoing key comparison for <sup>110m</sup>Ag, BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m, currently comprises 2 results. The KCRV has been recalculated to include the result from the PTB (Germany). The results have been analyzed with respect to the updated KCRV, providing degrees of equivalence for 2 national metrology institutes. The degrees of equivalence have been approved by the CCRI(II) and are published in the BIPM key comparison database. Other results may be added when other NMIs contribute <sup>110m</sup>Ag activity measurements to this comparison or take part in other linked comparisons.

### 6. References

- Ratel, G. The Système International de Référence and its application in key comparisons, *Metrologia*, 2007, 44(4), S7-S16.
- [2] CIPM MRA: Mutual recognition of national measurement standards and of calibration and measurement certificates issued by national metrology institutes, International Committee for Weights and Measures, 1999, pp. 45.
- [3] Ratel G. and Michotte C., BIPM comparison BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m of the activity measurements of the radionuclide <sup>110m</sup>Ag, *Metrologia*, 2002, 39, Tech. Suppl., 06001.

- [4] BNM-CEA/DTA/DAMRI/LPRI, Nucleide, Nuclear and Atomic Decay DataVersion : 1-98 19/12/98 CD ROM, BNM-LNHB, Gif-sur-Yvette.
- [5] Grigorescu E.L., Sahagia M., Razdolescu A., Luca A. and Radwan R.M., Standardization of <sup>110m</sup>Ag and <sup>75</sup>Se by the beta-efficiency extrapolation method, *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 1998, 49, 1165-1170.
- [6] Pommé S. and Keightley J., Determination of a reference value and its uncertainty through a power-moderated mean, *Metrologia*, 2015, 52(3), S200.
- [7] Michotte C. and Ratel G., Correlations taken into account in the KCDB, CCRI(II) working document, 2003, CCRI(II)/03-29.

# Key comparison BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m

# MEASURAND: Equivalent activity of <sup>110m</sup>Ag

Key comparison reference value: the SIR reference value  $x_{\rm R}$  for this radionuclide is 5980.8 kBq, with a standard uncertainty,  $u_{\rm R}$  equal to 6.4 kBq (see Section 4.1 of the Final Report). The value  $x_i$  is taken as the equivalent activity for a laboratory i.

and  $U_i$ , its expanded uncertainty (k = 2), both expressed in kBq, and  $U_i = 2((1 - 2w_i)u_i^2 + u_R^2)^{1/2}$ , where  $w_i$  is the weight of The degree of equivalence of each laboratory with respect to the reference value is given by a pair of terms:  $D_i = (x_i - x_R)$ laboratory i contributing to the calculation of  $x_{\rm R}$ .

## Appendix B. Table of degrees of equivalence for BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m

Table B1: The table of degrees of equivalence for BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Ag-110m

NMI i	$D_i / \mathbf{kBq}$	$U_i / \mathbf{kBq}$
LNE-LNHB	4	12
PTB	-7	35





Appendix D. Uncertainty budgets for the activity of  $^{110\mathrm{m}}\mathrm{Ag}$  submitted to the SIR

### Detailed Uncertainty Budget (4P-LS-MX-00-00-CN)

Laboratory: \_\_PTB\_\_\_\_\_; Radionuclide: \_Ag-110m\_ ; Ampoule number: 2015-1001 Uncertainty components\*, in % of the activity concentration, due to

### Remarks Evaluation

type	(A	or	B)
------	----	----	----

counting statistics	0.07	std. dev. of mean of 5 samples	A
weighing	_ 0.02		B
dead time	_0.10		B
background	_ 0.07		A
pile-up	_n.a		
counting time	_0.01_		B
adsorption	_0.05		B
impurities	_ 0.03	none detected	B
Tracer ( <sup>3</sup> H)	_0.13	including interpolation	B
model and decay data	_0.25		B
ionization quenching			
and kB (model)	_0.2		B
interpolation from calibration curve decay correction		included in tracer uncertainty $T_{1/2} = (249.79 \pm 0.20) \text{ d}$	B
dilution	_0.03		B
PMT asymmetry	_ 0.07		B
combined uncertainty	_0.39		

(as quadratic sum of all uncertainty components)

<sup>\*</sup> The uncertainty components are to be considered as approximations of the corresponding standard deviations (see also *Metrologia*, 1981, **17**, 73 and *Guide to expression of uncertainty in measurement*, ISO, corrected and reprinted 1995).

### Detailed Uncertainty Budget (4P-LS-MX-00-00-TD)

Laboratory: \_\_PTB\_\_\_\_\_; Radionuclide: \_Ag-110m\_ ; Ampoule number: 2015-1001 Uncertainty components\*, in % of the activity concentration, due to

### Remarks Evaluation

type (A or B)

counting statistics	_ 0.07	std. dev. of mean of 5 samples	A
weighing	_ 0.02		B
dead time	_ 0.03		B
background	_ 0.03		A
pile-up	_ n.a		
counting time	_ 0.01 _		B
adsorption	_0.05		B
impurities	_0.03	none detected	B
TDCR value and fit	_0.12	including interpolation	B
model and decay data	_0.25		B
ionization quenching			
and kB (model)	_0.45		B
interpolation from calibration curve decay correction		included in TDCR uncertainty $T_{1/2} = (249.79 \pm 0.20) \text{ d}$	B
dilution	_0.03		B
PMT asymmetry	_0.05		B
combined uncertainty	_0.54		

(as quadratic sum of all uncertainty components)

<sup>\*</sup> The uncertainty components are to be considered as approximations of the corresponding standard deviations (see also *Metrologia*, 1981, **17**, 73 and *Guide to expression of uncertainty in measurement*, ISO, corrected and reprinted 1995).

### Appendix E. Acronyms used to identify different measurement methods

Each acronym has six components, geometry-detector (1)-radiation (1)-detector (2)-radiation (2)-mode. When a component is unknown, ?? is used and when it is not applicable 00 is used.

Geometry	acronym	Detector	acronym
$4 \pi$	4P	proportional counter	PC
defined solid angle	SA	press. Prop. Counter	PP
$2 \pi$	2P	liquid scintillation counting	LS
undefined solid angle	UA	NaI(Tl)	NA
		Ge(HP)	GH
		Ge(Li)	GL
		Si(Li)	SL
		CsI(Tl)	CS
		ionization chamber	IC
		grid ionization chamber	GC
		Cerenkov detector	CD
		calorimeter	CA
		solid plastic scintillator	SP
		PIPS detector	PS

Radiation	acronym	Mode	acronym
positron	РО	efficiency tracing	ET
beta particle	BP	internal gas counting	IG
Auger electron	AE	CIEMAT/NIST	CN
conversion electron	CE	sum counting	SC
mixed electrons	ME	coincidence	СО
bremsstrahlung	BS	anti-coincidence	AC
gamma rays	GR	coincidence counting with	СТ
		efficiency tracing	
x-rays	XR	anti-coincidence counting	AT
		with efficiency tracing	
photons $(x + \gamma)$	PH	triple-to-double coincidence	TD
		ratio counting	
photons + electrons	PE	selective sampling	SS
alpha particle	AP	high efficiency	HE
mixture of various radi-	MX	digital coincidence counting	DC
ation			

Examples of methods	acronym
$4\pi(\text{PC})\beta$ - $\gamma$ coincidence counting	4P-PC-BP-NA-GR-CO
$4\pi(\text{PPC})\beta$ - $\gamma$ coincidence counting	4P-PP-MX-NA-GR-CT
eff. trac	
defined solid angle $\alpha$ -particle	SA-PS-AP-00-00-00
counting with a PIPS detector	
$4\pi(\text{PPC})\text{AX-}\gamma(\text{GeHP})$ -	4P-PP-MX-GH-GR-AC
anticoincidence counting	
$4\pi \text{CsI-}\beta, \text{AX}, \gamma \text{ counting}$	4P-CS-MX-00-00-HE
calibrated IC	4P-IC-GR-00-00-00
internal gas counting	4P-PC-BP-00-00-IG