

# Report on EURAMET Bilateral Angle Block Comparison

# <Euramet #1321> EURAMET L-K3.2009.1

**Final Report** 

J. SALGADO (LNE), Nurul Alfiyati (KIM-LIPI),.

Paris, October 1

1	Doc	ument control	2
2	Intro	oduction	2
3	Orga	anization	2
	3.1	Participants	2
	3.2	Schedule	3
4	Arte	facts	3
	4.1	Description of artefacts	3
	4.2	Stability of artefacts	4
	4.3	Condition of artefacts at start/end of comparison	4
5	Mea	isuring instructions	4
	5.1	Measurands	4
6	Resu	ılts	5
	6.1	Results and standard uncertainties as reported by participants	5
	6.2	Measurement uncertainties	6
7	Anal	ysis	6
	7.1	Calculation of the KCRV	6
	7.2	Calculation of Degrees of Equivalence	8
	7.3	Discussion of results	8
	7.4	Linking of result to other comparisons	9
	7.5	Conclusions regarding CMCs	9
8	Арр	endix A: Equipment and measuring processes of the participants	.0
	8.1	LNE 1	.0
	8.2	KIM-LIPI	.0
9	Арр	endix B: Uncertainty budgets 1	.2
	9.1	LNE 1	.2
	9.2	KIM-LIPI	.3
	9.2.2	1 30° Angle block 1	.3
	9.2.2	2 5° Angle block 1	.4
	9.2.3	3 30' Angle block 1	.5
	9.2.4	4 5' Angle block 1	.6
	9.2.5	5 10" Angle block 1	.7

Version Draft A.1	Issued on 0	1 December 2014.
Version Draft A.2	Issued on 0	9 December 2014.
Version Draft B.1	Issued on 1	7 February 2015.
Final Report	Issued on 0	6 July 2015.
Final report corrections	Issued on 0	1 October 2015.

# 2 Introduction

The metrological equivalence of national measurement standards and of calibration certificates issued by national metrology institutes is established by a set of key and supplementary comparisons chosen and organized by the Consultative Committees of the CIPM or by the regional metrology organizations in collaboration with the Consultative Committees.

The comparison is organised within the EU-Indonesia Trade Support Programme II, Sub-project Number APE12-06b, "Improvement of traceability of Metrology and Calibration measurements of Puslit KIM".

Two National Metrology Institutes take part in this comparison: LNE (France) and KIM-LIPI (Indonesia).

LNE is acting as the pilot laboratory and in this function is responsible for providing the travelling standard, the evaluation of the measurement results and the final report.

The comparison will be accomplished in accordance with the EURAMET Guidelines on Conducting Comparisons and BIPM Guidelines for Planning, Organising, Conducting and Reporting Key, Supplementary and Pilot Comparisons.

The comparison was registered in BIPM KCDB, artefact circulation started in August 2014 and was completed in October 2014.

# 3 Organization

### 3.1 Participants

Laboratory Code	Contact person, Laboratory	Phone, Fax, email
LNE	Mr. José SALGADO LNE Laboratoire National de Métrologie et d'Essais 1, rue Gaston Boissier F-75015 Paris France	Tel. +33 1 40 43 39 57 Fax +33 1 40 43 37 37 e-mail: jose.salgado@lne.fr
KIM-LIPI	Ms. Nurul Alfiyati <b>KIM LIPI</b> :Pusat Penelitian Kalibrasi, Instrumentasi, dan Metrologi Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia (Puslit KIM- LIPI) Kompleks PUSPIPTEK Gedung 420 Tangerang Selatan, <b>Banten Indonesia</b>	Tel. +62-21-7560533 ext 3078 Fax. +62-21-7560568 e-mail: nurul@kim.lipi.go.id

**Table 1.** List of participant laboratories and their contacts.

#### 3.2 Schedule

 Table 2. Schedule of the comparison.

RMO	Laboratory	Original schedule	Date of measurement	Results received
EURAMET	LNE	August 2014	August 2014	November 2014
APMP	KIM-LIPI	September 2014	Sept- Oct 2014	November 2014
EURAMET	LNE	October 2014	Oct – Nov 2014	November 2014

## **4** Artefacts

#### 4.1 Description of artefacts

Five angle blocks of 10", 5', 30', 5° and 30° were used to test the calibration capabilities of the laboratories.



**Figure 1**: Image of the angle block box. There are 25 angle blocks, only the 10", 5', 30', 5°, 30° angle blocks will be measured. The others should be kept untouched under grease protection.

The angle blocks will be KOBA blocks with a measuring face of (50 x 8) mm.

 Table 3. List of artefacts.

Identification	Nominal angle	Manufacturer
LNE-1	10"	КОВА
LNE-1	5'	КОВА
LNE-1	30'	КОВА
LNE-1	5°	КОВА
LNE-1	30°	КОВА

#### 4.2 Stability of artefacts

Acting as pilot LNE has measured the set of angle block twice. First measurement was performed on August 4<sup>th</sup> (labelled (1) in Figure 2), the second measurement was performed on October 27<sup>th</sup> (labelled (2) in Figure 2). The differences between second measurements and first ones is plotted Figure 2, where the errors bars represent the standard uncertainties (k=1). No significant drift is seen within the reported uncertainties at 95% confidence level (k=2).



**Figure 2.** Stability of 5 angle blocks between the first LNE measurement (1: August 2014) and the second measurement (2: October 2014). In order to have all the values in the same range, the "0" value correspond to the deviation from nominal angle of the first measurements (1) for each angle block. Error bars correspond to the standard uncertainty (k=1).

### 4.3 Condition of artefacts at start/end of comparison

During the comparison no damage has been noticed for all the angle blocks.

# 5 Measuring instructions

#### 5.1 Measurands

The angle blocks must be measured using an aperture which is 1 mm less (on the edge) than the overall face.

The autocollimator must be adjusted as precisely as possible, with its optical axis perpendicular and in true alignment to the table's axis of rotation and central to the centre of the angle block faces.

The angle blocks are to be measured in both the normal and inverted positions, but only the mean will be reported. The angle blocks must be adjusted for eccentricity and must be laterally adjusted so that the measuring faces have a minimum run-out.

The deviation from the nominal angle  $d = \alpha_m - \alpha_n$  must be reported in seconds.

# 6 Results

#### 6.1 Results and standard uncertainties as reported by participants

The reported results are shown in Table 4. Both measurement results from LNE are given but only the first one is taken into account for the reference value. Graphs illustrating the results and their uncertainties are plotted in Figure 3.



**Figure 3.** Results for deviation from nominal angle *d* in seconds (") for all of the angle blocks. Error bars: Standard uncertainty (k=1).

**Table 4.** Deviation from nominal angle ( $d = \alpha_m - \alpha_n$ ) and reported standard uncertainties (k=1) (u) in seconds (") for each angle block.

	10" block		5' block		30' block		5° block		30° block	
	d (")	u (")	d (")	u (")	d (")	u (")	d (")	u (")	d (")	u (")
LNE 1	0.79	0.10	-2.03	0.10	-0.17	0.10	0.04	0.10	-0.36	0.10
KIM-LIPI	0.97	0.14	-1.05	0.36	0.05	0.14	- 0.29	0.14	- 0.70	0.13
LNE 2	0.75	0.10	-2.02	0.10	-0.13	0.10	0.09	0.10	-0.34	0.10

#### 6.2 Measurement uncertainties

Participants had to submit their uncertainty budget. Details are given in Appendix B. LNE have CMC U = 0,1" on this topic. These CMC assumes that flatness deviation is less than 0,1 µm. Since this was not measured for the angle blocks of this comparison, LNE assumed the maximum flatness deviation admitted by the standards: 0,25 µm. This explains why the uncertainties reported in this comparison are higher than LNE's CMC.

# 7 Analysis

#### 7.1 Calculation of the KCRV

Following the recommendations of CCL MRA, we use the weighted mean to compute the reference value. For the following calculations only one of LNE's (LNE1) results have been taken into account.

The analysis for each measurand proceeds as follows:

We assume the total number of participants submitting a result is *I*.

Each laboratory reports a measured value,  $x_i$ , and its associated standard uncertainty  $u(x_i)$ .

We compute the normalised weight,  $w_i$ , for the result  $x_i$  given by:

$$w_i = C \cdot \frac{1}{\left[u(x_i)\right]^2} \tag{1}$$

where the normalising factor, C, is given by:

$$C = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} \left(\frac{1}{u(x_i)}\right)^2}$$
(2)

Then calculate the weighted mean,  $\bar{x}_w$ , which is given by:

$$\overline{x}_{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} w_{i} \cdot x_{i}$$
(3)

The uncertainty of the weighted mean is calculated by:

$$u(\bar{x}_w) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{l} \left(\frac{1}{u(x_i)}\right)^2}} = \sqrt{C}$$
(4)

After deriving the weighted mean and its associated standard uncertainty, the deviation of each laboratory's result from the weighted mean is determined simply as  $x_i - \bar{x}_w$ . The uncertainty of this deviation is calculated as a combination of the uncertainties of the result,  $u(x_i)$ , and the uncertainty of the weighted mean  $u(\bar{x}_w)$ . The uncertainty of the deviation from the weighted mean is given by equation (5), which includes a minus sign to take into account the correlation between the two uncertainties (it would be a plus sign if dealing with uncorrelated uncertainties, such as when comparing data from two separate laboratories).

$$u(x_{i} - \bar{x}_{w}) = \sqrt{[u(x_{i})]^{2} - [u_{int}(\bar{x}_{w})]^{2}}$$
(5)

For the determination of the key comparison reference value KCRV, statistical consistency of the results contributing to the KCRV is required. A check for statistical consistency of the results with their associated uncertainties can be made by calculating the  $E_n$  value for each laboratory's result, where  $E_n$  is defined as the ratio of the deviation from the weighted mean, divided by the expanded uncertainty of this deviation – the expanded uncertainty is obtained from the standard uncertainty by multiplying by a suitable value of k to obtain a 95 % confidence level.

$$E_{n} = \frac{x_{i} - \bar{x}_{w}}{\sqrt{[U(x_{i})]^{2} - [U_{int}(\bar{x}_{w})]^{2}}}$$
(6)

The results are examined and any for which  $|E_n| > 1$  is considered as inconsistent result.

A statistically better way to check for consistency than the criterion  $|E_n| < 1$  is to investigate by the socalled Birge ratio  $R_B$  which compares the observed spread of the results with the spread expected from the individual reported uncertainties.

The application of least squares algorithms and the  $\chi^2$ -test leads to the Birge ratio

$$R_B = \frac{u_{ext}(\bar{x}_w)}{u(\bar{x}_w)},\tag{7}$$

where  $u_{ext}(\bar{x}_{w})$  is the external standard deviation

$$u_{ext}(\bar{x}_{w}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(I-1)} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{I} w_{i}(x_{i} - \bar{x}_{w})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{I} w_{i}}}.$$
(8)

The Birge ratio has an expectation value of  $R_B = 1$ , when considering standard uncertainties. For a coverage factor of k = 2, the expectation value is increased and the data in a comparison are consistent provided that

$$R_B < \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{8/(I-1)}}$$
(9)

where *I* is the number of laboratories. For the case *I* = 2, a value of  $R_B < 1.96$  indicates consistency (for k = 2). The results of calculations are shown in Table 6.

**Table 5:** Key comparison reference value  $\bar{x}_w$  and associated standard uncertainty  $u(\bar{x}_w)$ , Birge ratio and  $|E_n|$  values for each participant.

		ANGLE BLOCKS NOMINAL VALUE									
	10"	10" 5' 30' 5° 30°									
$\overline{x}_{w}$ (")	0.851	-1.962	-0.098	-0.075	-0.484						
$u(\overline{x}_w)$ (")	0.081	0.096	0.081	0.080	0.079						
R <sub>B</sub>	1.05	<mark>2.63</mark>	1.30	<mark>1.98</mark>	<mark>2.10</mark>						
$ E_n $ LNE	0.52	<mark>1.31</mark>	0.65	<mark>0.99</mark>	<mark>1.05</mark>						
$ E_n $ KIM-LIPI	0.52	<mark>1.31</mark>	0.65	<mark>0.99</mark>	<mark>1.05</mark>						

## 7.2 Calculation of Degrees of Equivalence

The Degree of Equivalence, DoE, for a laboratory result  $x_i$  is calculated simply as  $x_i - \overline{x}_w$ . The standard uncertainty of the DoE is calculated using

 $u(x_i - \overline{x}_w) = \sqrt{[u(x_i)]^2 - [u_{int}(\overline{x}_w)]^2}$  for results which contributed to the weighted mean

Angle Block	Participant	$(x_i - \overline{x}_w)$ (")	$U(x_i - \overline{x}_w)$ (")
10"	LNE 1	-0.06	0.12
10	KIM-LIPI	0.12	0.23
5'	LNE 1	-0.07	0.05
5	KIM-LIPI	0.91	0.69
30'	LNE 1	-0.08	0.12
50	KIM-LIPI	0.15	0.23
۶°	LNE 1	0.12	0.12
5	KIM-LIPI	-0.22	0.22
30°	LNE 1	0.13	0.12
	KIM-LIPI	-0.22	0.21

**Table 6:** Degrees of equivalence  $x_i - \overline{x}_w$  and associated expanded uncertainty  $U(x_i - \overline{x}_w)$ .

# 7.3 Discussion of results

From Table 5 we can see that the results for 3 angle blocks (5', 5°, 30°) are statistically inconsistent since they failed to fulfil the Birge ratio criteria. This even if for 2 of these blocks (5° and 30°) the  $E_n$  values are less or close to 1.

Clearly there is a problem for the 5' angle block. This block is also the one which has a large difference between the normal and inverted measurements (difference close to 1"). But since the measurand was the average of both normal and inverted position this pyramidal effect should have cancelled (this is shown in stability check measurement). After the comparison LNE did some straightness measurement over 3 lines of the main surface of the 5' angle block. A straightness deviation of 0.6  $\mu$ m was measured on the lines. This deviation is much higher than the assumption made for LNE uncertainty budget (0.25  $\mu$ m). If we take a flatness deviation of 0.6  $\mu$ m, the final enlarged uncertainty for the 5' angle block would have been 0.45".

For the 5° angle block,  $E_n$  values are just lower than 1 but Birge ratio is a little bit larger than the limit (1.96) given by Eq (9), but can be considered as acceptable if we look at Table 6.

For the 30° angle block,  $E_n$  values are a little bit larger than 1 and the Birge ratio is larger than the limit (1.96) given by Eq (9).

#### 7.4 Linking of result to other comparisons

Results from this comparison can be linked to the former comparison (CCL L-K3) for 10", 30', and 5° angle blocks. This comparison failed to link results for 5' angle block and case of 30° angle block needs to be discussed.

#### 7.5 Conclusions regarding CMCs

- 1- LNE's existing CMCs are unaffected
- 2- The revised CMC claim at KIM-LIPI cannot be supported by this comparison unless the uncertainties are increased.

# 8 Appendix A: Equipment and measuring processes of the participants

#### 8.1 LNE



Figure 4 : LNE set-up for angle block calibration

LNE used their angular reference platen, developed at LNE, and a MÖLLER-WEDEL ELCOMAT HR autocollimator.

The principle which is used for the measurement of angle turned in the LNE instrument is as follow:

Two Heidenhain RON 905 encoders mounted in opposite on a common hub rotate continuously making one revolution in 2 s. The body of the lower encoder is fixed on the base while the body of the upper encoder is moving with the worktable.

During one revolution about 9000 synchronous acquisitions are done on both encoders in order to obtain a mean value for the relative position of the two bodies.

The rotation of the worktable occurs during rotation of the upper body and a second set of data is obtained giving the second relative position of the bodies.

The angle turned is then obtained by subtraction of these two relative positions. So that the effect of lines positions of each encoder are reduced to a negligible amount by recording a great number of positions on one revolution and also by recording the angle position through a complete revolution of each grating.

### 8.2 KIM-LIPI

SelfA47 (the rotary table with self-calibration function) is used as instrument for the measurement performed by KIM-LIPI. It was made by NMIJ - National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) Japan. The SelfA47 system consists of 10 pieces of sensor head and rotary scale disc. The rotary scale disc has 18 000 graduation lines with scale pitch of 20  $\mu$ m and angle interval corresponds to 72". The operational and measurement data analysis of SelfA use LabView and IGOR Pro program.



Figure 5 :KIM-LIPI set up measurement of angle block

Angle block was measured in two different positions, i.e. normal position and inverted position. Each position was measured 5 times. To minimize the influence of electronic error of autocollimator DA400 reading, the average readings of autocollimator was taken with delay time of 2 s. The final result was determined from the average of the two positions (according to technical protocol).

Before performing the measurement, a tilt table has been adjusted to get eccentricity less than 10  $\mu$ m and also perpendicularity of angle block has been set less than 1".

All measurements were carried out in a laboratory with temperature of (20  $\pm$  0.3) °C and relative humidity of (50  $\pm$  10) %.

# 9 Appendix B: Uncertainty budgets

## 9.1 LNE

LNE's uncertainty budget for all angle blocks is given in the following Table.

Uncertainty source	Standard uncertainty <i>u<sub>i</sub></i>	Degrees of freedom <i>v</i> <sub>i</sub>	$C_i = \partial I / \partial x_j$	<i>C<sub>i</sub>.u<sub>i</sub></i>
Platen error corrections	0.0100''	200	1.414	0.014''
Double encoder reading process	0.0040''	200	1	0.004''
AC Bias error	0.0100''	200	1	0.01''
repeatability	0.0300''	200	1	0.03''
Flatness deviation of measuring faces ( $\delta A_f$ )	0.0900''	200	1	0.09''
Pyramidal errors of measuring faces ( $\delta A_P$ )	0.0040''	200	1	0.004''
Eccentricity errors ( $\delta A_e$ )	0.0040''	200	1	0.004''
Platen and AC resolution	Negligible			
	Combined	0.10''		
	Effective degre	238		
	Expanded unce	rtainty at k = 2, L	I <sub>95</sub>	0.20''

# 9.2 KIM-LIPI

## 9.2.1 <u>30° Angle block</u>

Uncertainty Source	Unit	Dist. Type	Variability interval (a)	Divisor	Deg. of freedo m ( <i>v<sub>i</sub></i> )	Standard uncertaint y (u <sub>i</sub> )	Sens. Coef <i>f. (c<sub>i</sub>)</i>	( <i>u<sub>i</sub> . c<sub>i</sub></i> )^2	(u <sub>i</sub> . c <sub>i</sub> )^4 / v
repeatability (θ1)	"	Туре А	0.11	3.162	9	0.0348	1	0.001210	1.627E-07
rotary encoder (SelfA) compensatio n (θ2)	"	Rect.	0.1	1.732	200	0.0577	1	0.003333	5.556E-08
calibration uncertainty of autocollimat or (θ3)	"	Normal	0.19	2	200	0.0950	1	0.009025	4.073E-07
readability of autocollimat or (θ4)	"	Rect.	0.05	1.732	1000	0.0289	1	0.000833	6.944E-10
difference between the normal and inverted angle block position (δAd)	n	Rect.	0.07	1.732	50	0.0392	1	0.001537	4.725E-08
flatness deviation of measuring face (δAf)	μm	Rect.	0.08	1.732	13	0.0462	0.35	0.000261	5.464E-09
pyramidal error of measuring face (δAp)	II	Rect.	1	1.732	13	0.5774	0.05	0.000833	5.556E-08
eccentricity errors in setup of angle block (δAe)	mm	Rect.	0.2	1.732	13	0.1155	0.05	0.000033	8.889E-11
Combined uncertainty, <i>u</i> <sub>c</sub>						nty, u <sub>c</sub>		0.13"	
					degrees of	f freedom, v <sub>eff</sub>			397
Expanded uncertainty at $k = 2$ , $U_{95}$							0.26"		

\_

# 9.2.2 <u>5° Angle block</u>

Uncertainty Source	Unit	Dist. Type	Variability interval (a)	Divisor	Deg. of freedom ( <i>v<sub>i</sub></i> )	Standard uncertaint <i>y (u<sub>i</sub>)</i>	Sens. Coef <i>f.</i> (c <sub>i</sub> )	( <i>u<sub>i</sub></i> . <i>c<sub>i</sub></i> )^2	(u <sub>i</sub> . c <sub>i</sub> )^4 / v
repeatability (θ1)	II	Туре А	0.11	3.162	9	0.0348	1	0.001210	1.627E-07
rotary encoder (SelfA) compensation (θ2)	"	Rect.	0.1	1.732	200	0.0577	1	0.003333	5.556E-08
calibration uncertainty of autocollimator (θ3)	"	Normal	0.19	2	200	0.0950	1	0.009025	4.073E-07
readability of autocollimator (θ4)	"	Rect.	0.05	1.732	1000	0.0289	1	0.000833	6.944E-10
difference between the normal and inverted angle block position (δAd)	II	Rect.	0.09	1.732	50	0.0519	1	0.002697	1.455E-07
flatness deviation of measuring face (δAf)	μm	Rect.	0.08	1.732	13	0.0462	0.35	0.000261	5.464E-09
pyramidal error of measuring face (δAp)	"	Rect.	1	1.732	13	0.5774	0.05	0.000833	5.556E-08
eccentricity errors in setup of angle block (δAe)	mm	Rect.	0.2	1.732	13	0.1155	0.05	0.000033	8.889E-11
				Combine	d uncertaint	γ, <mark>u</mark> c		0.135"	
				Effective degrees of freedom, $v_{eff}$					399
				Expanded	d uncertainty	y at k = 2, U <sub>95</sub>		0.27"	

# 9.2.3 <u>30' Angle block</u>

Uncertainty Source	Unit	Dist. Type	Variability interval (a)	Divisor	Deg. of freedom ( <i>v<sub>i</sub></i> )	Standard uncertaint y (u <sub>i</sub> )	Sens. Coef <i>f.</i> (c <sub>i</sub> )	( <i>u<sub>i</sub> . c<sub>i</sub></i> )^2	(u <sub>i</sub> . c <sub>i</sub> )^4 / v
repeatability (θ1)	"	Туре А	0.16	3.162	9	0.0506	1	0.002560	7,282E-07
rotary encoder (SelfA) compensation (θ2)	"	Rect.	0.1	1.732	200	0.0577	1	0.003333	5,556E-08
calibration uncertainty of autocollimator (θ3)	"	Normal	0.19	2	200	0.0950	1	0.009025	4,073E-07
readability of autocollimator (θ4)	"	Rect.	0.05	1.732	1000	0.0289	1	0.000833	6,944E-10
difference between the normal and inverted angle block position (δAd)	"	Rect.	0.10	1.732	50	0.0556	1	0.003087	1,906E-07
flatness deviation of measuring face (δAf)	μm	Rect.	0.095	1.732	13	0.0548	0.35	0.000369	1,086E-08
pyramidal error of measuring face (δΑp)	11	Rect.	1	1.732	13	0.5774	0.05	0.000833	5,556E-08
eccentricity errors in setup of angle block (δAe)	mm	Rect.	0.2	1.732	13	0.1155	0.05	0.000033	8,889E-11
				Combined uncertainty, <i>u<sub>c</sub></i>				0.14"	
				Effective	degrees of f	reedom, v <sub>eff</sub>			278
				Expanded	d uncertainty	y at $k = 2, U_{95}$		0.28"	

Uncertainty Source	Unit	Dist. Type	Variability interval (a)	Divisor	Deg. of freedom ( <i>v<sub>i</sub></i> )	Standard uncertaint y (u <sub>i</sub> )	Sens. Coef <i>f.</i> <i>(c<sub>i</sub>)</i>	( <i>u<sub>i</sub> . c<sub>i</sub></i> )^2	(u <sub>i</sub> . c <sub>i</sub> )^4 / v
repeatability (θ1)	"	Туре А	0.16	3.162	9	0.0506	1	0.002560	7.282E-07
rotary encoder (SelfA) compensation (θ2)	"	Rect.	0.1	1.732	200	0.0577	1	0.003333	5.556E-08
calibration uncertainty of autocollimator (θ3)	"	Normal	0.19	2	200	0.0950	1	0.009025	4.073E-07
readability of autocollimator (θ4)	"	Rect.	0.05	1.732	1000	0.0289	1	0.000833	6.944E-10
difference between the normal and inverted angle block position (δAd)	"	Rect.	0.58	1.732	50	0.3348	1	0.112090	2.513E-04
flatness deviation of measuring face (δAf)	μm	Rect.	0.095	1.732	13	0.0548	0.35	0.000369	1.086E-08
pyramidal error of measuring face (δAp)	11	Rect.	1	1.732	13	0.5774	0.05	0.000833	5.556E-08
eccentricity errors in setup of angle block (δAe)	mm	Rect.	0.2	1.732	13	0.1155	0.05	0.000033	8.889E-11
				Combined uncertainty, <i>u</i> <sub>c</sub>				0.36	
Effective degrees of freedom, v <sub>eff</sub>								66	
		Expanded uncertainty at $k = 2$ , $U_{95}$				0.72"			

# 9.2.5 <u>10" Angle block</u>

Uncertainty Source	Unit	Dist. Type	Variability interval (a)	Divisor	Deg. of freedom ( <i>v<sub>i</sub></i> )	Standard uncertainty (u <sub>i</sub> )	Sens. Coef <i>f.</i> <i>(c<sub>i</sub>)</i>	( <i>u<sub>i</sub> . c<sub>i</sub></i> )^2	(u <sub>i</sub> . c <sub>i</sub> )^4 / v
repeatability (θ1)	"	Туре А	0.12	3.162	9	0.0379	1	0.001440	2.304E-07
rotary encoder (SelfA) compensation (θ2)	"	Rect.	0.1	1.732	200	0.0577	1	0.003333	5.556E-08
calibration uncertainty of autocollimator (θ3)	"	Normal	0.19	2	200	0.0950	1	0.009025	4.073E-07
readability of autocollimator (θ4)	"	Rect.	0.05	1.732	1000	0.0289	1	0.000833	6.944E-10
difference between the normal and inverted angle block position (δAd)	II	Rect.	0.10	1.732	50	0.0568	1	0.003223	2.078E-07
flatness deviation of measuring face (δAf)	μm	Rect.	0.095	1.732	13	0.0548	0.35	0.000369	1.086E-08
pyramidal error of measuring face (δAp)	"	Rect.	1	1.732	13	0.5774	0.05	0.000833	5.556E-08
eccentricity errors in setup of angle block (δAe)	Mm	Rect.	0.2	1.732	13	0.1155	0.05	0.000033	8.889E-11
				Combined uncertainty, <i>u</i> <sub>c</sub>				0.14	
		Effective degrees of freedom, $v_{eff}$					376		
		Expanded uncertainty at $k = 2$ , $U_{95}$				0.28"			