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Abstract

From August 1995 to September 1998, the CCEM-K6.c Key Comparison (which started as the CCE 92-05 comparison) of ac-dc voltage transfer standards at selected high frequencies between 1 MHz and 100 MHz was carried out. Subsequently, from December 1998 until mid 2000, some participants carried out additional measurements. Two travelling standards were measured by 15 national standard institutes. The results at all selected frequencies in the range from 1 MHz to 100 MHz show a good agreement between most of participants. The agreement at 1 MHz is within 10 µV/V for most of the participants. The span of the majority of the reported ac-dc differences at 50 MHz is less than 0.6 mV/V, which is similar to a previous comparison but with more participants. Even at 100 MHz, most obtained results are within a span of 3 mV/V, which is considered to be a good agreement.

Note:
The CCEM has agreed to a simplified analysis of the results of CCEM-K6.c but this comparison and its analysis should not be taken a model for future comparisons.
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1. Background and summary of key comparison CCEM-K6.c

This summary endeavors to put this comparison in the present context of key comparisons organized by the Consultative Committee on Electricity and Magnetism (CCEM). It is meant to explain why the methods of organizing and carrying out the comparison differed significantly from the present rules for key comparisons based on the CIPM Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) of October 1999 and the accompanying Guidelines for CIPM Key Comparisons [1].

In June 1992 the Consultative Committee on Electricity (CCE, later renamed CCEM) agreed CCE comparison 92-5 of single junction thermal voltage converters at frequencies between 1 MHz and 50 MHz and at a voltage of 4 V with the Nederlands Meetinstituut, Van Swinden Laboratorium (NMi-VSL) as pilot laboratory. Between October 1994 and February 1995 the NMi-VSL characterized the travelling standards; in August 1995 a "European loop" of the comparison began. For practical purposes, the European loop included participants in a technically identical comparison called EUROMET project 348 as well as participants in CCE 92-5. Present CIPM key comparison rules require finishing a CCEM comparison before carrying out regional metrology organization (RMO) comparisons with the same travelling standards. They also require that the CCEM and RMO comparisons be linked, usually through the participation of several laboratories in both comparisons. In the present case, EUROMET project 348 and CCEM-K6.c are linked through the repeated measurements of the pilot laboratory.

In March 1997 the European loop finished and the “worldwide loop” began. Customs problems were encountered, causing a delay in the transportation of the standards from the U.S.A. to Canada. In order to maintain the original schedule, it was decided to postpone the participation of the National Research Council (NRC-INMS) of Canada to the end of the comparison. Therefore, NRC participated in September 1998. Preliminary results of the comparison were presented at the Conference on Precision Electromagnetic Measurements in July 1998 [2], [3]. Under present rules the pilot laboratory is not allowed to present the comparison results in public before all participants have completed their measurements and the draft A comparison report has been agreed by all participants. In January 1999 the National Physical Laboratory, U.K., (NPL-UK), participated a second time, after having completed its facilities for measuring between 10 MHz and 100 MHz. The National Measurement Laboratory of Australia (CSIRO-NML), whose first participation in the comparison took place in August 1997, participated for a second time, in October 1999, following changes in its apparatus and techniques. Under present rules institutes are not allowed to participate in the original comparison after public presentation of the comparison results but the CCEM accepts that in these particular circumstances, which took place before the signing of the MRA and the formulation of the CIPM key comparison guidelines, these two comparisons can be considered as subsequent bilateral comparisons.

Another peculiarity of this comparison is that, beginning with the characterization measurements at the NMi-VSL, measurements were carried out at some optional frequencies. A total of twelve participants measured at 100 MHz and the CCEM agrees with the participants' request to include this frequency in the final results.

In some respects this comparison is similar to key comparison CCEM-K6.a of ac-dc...
transfer at low frequency [4]. In the latter case the CCEM also explicitly accepted to include so many participants from the EUROMET region, and to consider the second participation of some institutes as subsequent bilateral comparisons. Besides, the CCEM is aware that significant correlations exist between the results of institutes using essentially identical basic reference standards. Since the correlation coefficients between these institutes have not been determined, explicit values of degrees of equivalence between participants cannot be presented in the comparison report. At its meeting of September 2002, the CCEM agreed to make similar exceptions in the case of CCEM-K6.c.

The key comparison has 15 participants, who, of course, are also members of the Meter Convention. A total of 17 laboratories applied for participation in the comparison, but two participants have withdrawn: SIQ, Slovenia and Telecom, Finland.
2. Introduction

At low frequencies (up to 1 MHz) the primary ac-dc transfer standards are realised using Single or Multi Junction Thermal Converters (SJTC or MJTC) [5]. The ac-dc voltage transfer difference and the corresponding uncertainty have decreased to the level of several µV/V in the audio frequency range [6]. In the high frequency range (1 MHz to 100 MHz or more) coaxial thermal converters (UHF-type) or calorimetric systems are commonly used as primary ac-dc voltage standards [7]-[12]. Furthermore, the transfer difference and uncertainty strongly increase with frequency in this range.

In instrumentation, significant progress has been made in ac calibration and measurement equipment. An added option of modern calibrators is the so-called wideband option, which generates or measures ac voltage in the MHz range with relatively high accuracy.

To be able to establish worldwide traceability for ac-dc transfer at high frequencies, the Comité Consultatif d'Électricité et Magnétisme (CCEM) decided to organize an international comparison. There has never been an extensive CCEM comparison in this range, only an (informal) comparison between six laboratories has been carried out in 1993 [13]. In the meantime, the CCEM has designated this type of comparison as one of its key comparisons.
3. Scope of the comparison

3.1. Definition of the measurand

The ac-dc transfer difference $\delta$ of the travelling standards is defined as:

$$\delta = \frac{V_{ac} - V_{dc}}{V_{dc}}$$

where $V_{ac}$ is the root-mean-square (rms) value of the applied ac voltage, and $V_{dc}$ is the direct voltage which, when reversed, produces the same mean output voltage of the standard as $V_{ac}$.

In this comparison, two travelling standards were used. The participants have also been asked to compare both standards against each other, using the T-connector provided with the standards. The result of this measurement can be used by the participants to have a consistency check of their measuring set-ups. Systematic deviations in the participant's set-up or problems with the travelling standards can be detected by the pilot laboratory when the reported ac-dc transfer differences are analysed.

$$\delta_{A55-HF} = \delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}$$

3.2. Definition of the frequency range

During the last decades, several ac-dc transfer comparisons have been carried out concerning the low frequency range, up to 1 MHz, one of them as a CCEM key comparison [6]. The scope of the presented comparison is to extend the frequency range up to 100 MHz (see Table 1). This range covers the transition from the LF ac-dc voltage transfer to the RF voltage in 50 $\Omega$ systems. The 0.5 MHz and 1 MHz point are included to create an overlap with the CCEM-K6.a comparison [4].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Mandatory frequencies (MHz)</th>
<th>Optional frequencies (MHz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TS-HF</td>
<td>4 V</td>
<td>1, 10, 30, 50 and 100 *)</td>
<td>0.5 and 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-A55</td>
<td>3 V</td>
<td>1, 10, 30, 50 and 100 *)</td>
<td>0.5 and 70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-HF vs. TS-A55</td>
<td>3 V</td>
<td>0.5, 1, 10, 30, 50, 70 and 100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) Initially 100 MHz was considered as an optional frequency, but later, some of the participants proposed to include 100 MHz as a required frequency. The CCEM has accepted this proposal.
4. Travelling standards

4.1. Description of the standards

Two travelling standards were used in the comparison:
- **NMi-VSL Calculable HF ac-dc transfer standard (TS-HF)** [7], [8]
  This ac-dc standard consists of a 5 mA thermoelement in series with a range resistor made by the NMi-VSL for this purpose. This combination has a nominal input voltage of 4 V and a corresponding output voltage of 7 mV. The standard is equipped with a type-N male input connector.
- **Fluke A55 thermal converter (TS-A55)**
  A commercial Fluke A55 3 V thermal converter is used as the second travelling standard which is equipped with a GR-874 input connector. It has a nominal input voltage of 3 V and also a 7 mV output voltage.

4.2. Connectors and reference plane

The middle of a T-connector defines the reference plane for the ac-dc transfer measurements. Using different input connectors can cause connector compatibility problems. Therefore, an asymmetrical T-connector was provided with an N (female) connector on one side and a GR-874 connector on the other side.
5. Participating laboratories

The NMi Van Swinden Laboratorium is the pilot laboratory for this comparison. The effect of transportation on the long-term stability of the travelling standards had not been characterized before beginning the comparison. The pilot laboratory therefore initially scheduled three check measurements during the comparison. However, serious transportation problems arose during the comparison and additional comparisons were subsequently added at the end of the main comparison. All of this has led to the need for additional check measurements so that a total of seven check measurements was carried out by the pilot laboratory.

NRC-INMS had been scheduled in the main comparison after NIST. Custom problems between the U.S.A. and Canada caused a delay in the transport of the standards. In order to maintain the original schedule, it was decided to postpone the NRC participation to the end of the comparison. After this, additional bilateral comparisons were carried out; the NPL-UK asked to participate a second time, but now at frequencies above 1 MHz and the NML-CSIRO requested a subsequent bilateral comparison after taking actions to improve its measurements [17] following its first measurements in August 1997.

The participants are listed below in the chronological order in which they have participated:

2. Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Germany, M. Klonz and D. Janik.
5. National Physical Laboratory (NPL-UK1), United Kingdom, G. Jones (up to 1 MHz).
7. AREPA Test & Kalibrering A/S (AREPA), Denmark, T. Lippert.
8. Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation (METAS)², Switzerland, M. Flüeli.
9. SP Sverige Provnings- och Forskningsinstitut (SP), Sweden, K.-E. Rydler (up to 30 MHz).
10. Centro Español de Metrología (CEM), Spain, J.M. Balmisa, M. Neira, S. Ramiro, and M. Martínez

¹ BNM-LCIE (Bureau National de Métrologie - Laboratoire Central des Industries Electriques) at the time of the measurements
² OFMET (Office Fédéral de Métrologie) at the time of the measurements
Subsequent bilateral comparisons

5. National Physical Laboratory (NPL-UK2), United Kingdom, G. Jones (above 1 MHz).


Note: In this report, the laboratories are referred to by the acronyms as given in the list above. For laboratories which have performed more than one series of measurements (NMi-VSL, NPL-UK and CSIRO-NML), a sequential number is added to the acronym to specify which series of measurements is referred to.

Table 2 Reference standards and measurement procedure used by the institutes (independent realisations of the reference standard are printed in bold on a green background).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institute</th>
<th>Primary standard &lt;= 1 MHz</th>
<th>Primary standard =&gt; 10 MHz</th>
<th>Measurement system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL</td>
<td>VSL HF SJTC (SJTC + 700 Ω)</td>
<td>VSL HF SJTC (SJTC + 700 Ω)</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>PTB MJTC</td>
<td>PTB Calorimetric voltage standard</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>VNIIM converter (SJTC + 1 kΩ)</td>
<td>VNIIM converter (SJTC + 1 kΩ)</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (manual)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>OMH MJTC</td>
<td>Calorimetric voltage standard</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK</td>
<td>SJTC + 900 Ω</td>
<td>EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Holt 20 converter</td>
<td>Holt 20 converter</td>
<td>RF-dc manual system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>MJTC (PTB-cal.)</td>
<td>EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>MJTC (PTB-cal.) EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>EUR HF SJTC</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>NIST SJTC + 1 kΩ</td>
<td>NIST SJTC</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML</td>
<td>NML TC</td>
<td>Twin line calorimeter</td>
<td>Aut. Comparison system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>NPLI MJTC</td>
<td>Calorimetric voltage standard</td>
<td>Semi-aut. Comparison System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>KRISS MJTC (PTB type +cal)</td>
<td>RF power and impedance standard</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>NRC Calorimetric voltage standard</td>
<td>NRC Calorimetric voltage standard</td>
<td>ac-dc transfer system (automatic)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Laboratory procedures and standards

The laboratory procedures and reference standards used by the participants have been described in more or less detail in the measurement reports, which the participants provided to the pilot laboratory. In cases where the report contained insufficient information or if unusually large ac-dc deviations were reported, the participant was asked to give additional information.

In most of the participating laboratories the reference standards for frequencies up to 1 MHz are different from those used at higher frequencies. Table 2 lists the reference standards used by the participants for the two frequency ranges. Six European national institutes (NMi-VSL, METAS, SP, CEM, AREPA, and NPL-UK) use the calculable HF ac-dc transfer standard (the EUR HF SJTC), fabricated by the NMi-VSL, as their primary standard. One of these instruments was also used as the travelling standard (TS-HF). All of these standards used by the participants in this comparison were initially calibrated by NMi-VSL. Other institutes use different primary reference standards. These are either coaxial types of thermal converters or calorimetric systems. All independent realisations are printed in bold on a green background in Table 2.

Almost all participants use an automatic or semi-automatic system to compare the travelling standards against their reference standard. In general, the ac-dc measurement consists of an input signal sequence dc+, ac, dc-, ac, dc+, etc.. Some institutes use a two-step method to determine the ac-dc difference, first the ac-ac(ref) measurement and second the ac(ref)-dc measurement. The reference frequency is chosen between 1 kHz and 100 kHz. The outputs of the standards are read simultaneously. Depending on the system, this is done directly by DVM’s and/or the difference between the two standards to be compared is taken. The number of measurements differs from one institute to another. In general, measurements were carried out on several days with 5 to 10 cycles per single measurement to obtain a mean value of the ac-dc transfer difference.
7. Uncertainty statements

The participants have been asked to report the uncertainty analysis in accordance with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement [14]. Most have provided a detailed uncertainty calculation in their report. However, some participants just reported the total uncertainty in their first version of the measurement report. Additionally, they provided more detailed budgets after being requested to do so by the pilot laboratory.

In July 2000, the participants were asked to present their uncertainty budgets according to a format as specified by the pilot laboratory. Only two institutes didn’t reply completely and their budgets have been directly reproduced from the received measurement reports. The complete set of uncertainty budgets of all the participants is found in Appendix D.

From the reported uncertainty calculations, it was concluded that the determination of the uncertainty of the reference standard is essential for the determination of the total uncertainty. Most of the participants didn't provide any detailed information about the uncertainty of their reference standard in their measurement report. The support group of this comparison, however, insisted that such information should be provided, at least by those participants of which the results have been used to determine the key comparison reference values as discussed in the next section. In February 2003, those laboratories have been asked to provide additional information about the uncertainty in their reference standard. These extended uncertainty budgets have been reported in Appendix E. One participant, NIST, has not been able to trace back this information after such a long time, for its measurements above 1 MHz. NIST reported that these facilities and reference standards have been changed after the participation in the comparison. The information about the previous system is no longer available. As an exception to the CIPM key comparison rules, in this comparison, NIST has not been excluded and the results have been included in the calculation of the reference values, as discussed in the next chapter. One positive result of this comparison is that for the first time the uncertainty budgets are under detailed and open discussion. This is a widely acknowledged benefit of this comparison.
8. Analysis of the measurement results

8.1. Corrections

The measurement results obtained by the participants were sent to the pilot laboratory. For each travelling standard and for each frequency, the result is reported as a value, $\delta_i$, and an expanded uncertainty, $U_i$. The expanded uncertainty is obtained from the combined standard uncertainty, $u_i$, multiplied by a coverage factor, $k_i$. All participants used a coverage factor $k_i = 2$.

During the course of the comparison, the pilot laboratory performed several measurements on the travelling standards. Only one measurement result of the pilot laboratory is taken as the actual participation of NMi-VSL to this comparison; this is the first measurement, indicated by NMi-VSL1. All other measurements of the pilot laboratory are only used to monitor the long-term stability of the standards.

Before the results of the participants can be compared, some corrections have to be applied.

The travelling standard TS-HF has been broken once during the comparison. The instrument was repaired but this has resulted in a shift of its ac-dc transfer difference. Measurement results obtained after this accident are corrected for this step. The pilot laboratory has determined the step, $\delta_{\text{step}}$, at all measurement frequencies. The travelling standard was measured shortly before the break down during one of the normally scheduled checks and, of course, measured again after the repair. Based on these measurements, an uncertainty, $u_{\text{step}}$, was determined for each value of $\delta_{\text{step}}$. This uncertainty is added to the reported uncertainties of all participants, before and after the step occurred. The complete characterisation took one month.

The travelling standards used in this comparison hadn't been extensively characterised before the start of the comparison. From experience with similar standards, no serious problems were expected with regard to the behaviour of the standards. At the end of the comparison, the behaviour of the standards was analysed by looking at the measurements from the pilot laboratory. For both standards, at each frequency, a linear fit was calculated through the measurements of the pilot laboratory. The slope of this linear fit is considered to be a measure for the drift of the standard. The root mean squared (rms) deviation of the pilot’s results from the linear fit is chosen to be an estimate of the uncertainty in the drift, $U_{\text{drift}}$, and at the same time, it is a measure of the consistency of the measurements. Here, a remarkable difference is observed between the two travelling standards. The deviations from the linear fit are significantly larger for TS-A55. This behaviour is not only seen in the results of the pilot laboratory, but also in the results from the other participants. It is reasonable to assume that the difference in behaviour is mainly caused by the type of input connector of the standards. It is commonly known that the contacts made by the GR-874 connector of TS-A55 do not reproduce as good as the contacts from the N connector of TS-HF. Furthermore, one participant reported that TS-A55 had been slightly overloaded during the measurements. As a result of this, TS-A55 may have become less stable. For these two reasons, it was decided that this report should be based only on the results of TS-HF. The results of TS-A55, given in Appendix B, have been worked out in a similar way as for the TS-HF converter.
Drift corrections, $\delta_{\text{drift}}$, have been calculated for all participants at each measured frequency. These values are determined at the average measurement date of the participant.

The corrected results, $\delta_{\text{ic}}$, are now found from:

$$\delta_{\text{ic}} = \delta_{i} + \delta_{\text{step}} + \delta_{\text{drift}}$$

(3)

with a combined uncertainty, $u_{\text{ic}}$:

$$u_{\text{ic}} = \sqrt{u_{i}^2 + u_{\text{step}}^2 + u_{\text{drift}}^2}$$

(4)

The results of TS-HF at the mandatory frequencies are given in Table 3 to Table 7. The corrected values $\delta_{\text{ic}}$ are also plotted in Figure 1 to Figure 5. The results of TS-HF at the optional frequencies are presented in Appendix A. The results of TS-A55 are given in Appendix B and the measurements of TS-A55 versus TS-HF are shown in Appendix C.

8.2. Calculation of the key comparison reference value

After having applied the corrections, the results from the participants are compared to find the level of agreement. For this purpose, a key comparison reference value (KCRV), $\delta_{R}$, has been determined for each of frequencies included in this comparison. The results of participants to be included in the calculation of the KCRV have to meet the following criteria:

- The results are obtained from an independently realised reference standard. This means that correlated results, such as those derived from reference standards calibrated at NMI-VSL or PTB, do not contribute to the KCRV. For the pilot laboratory, only one measurement result is taken as the contribution to the key comparison reference value: NMI-VSL1.

- The results yielded an ac-dc transfer difference consistent with the other independent realisations. The presence of outliers is tested by an approach as proposed in [15]. A robust estimate of the standard deviation $\sigma$ of the underlying distribution is obtained by using the median of absolute deviations (MAD), defined by:

$$\sigma \approx S(\text{MAD}) \equiv 1.4826 \cdot \text{median} \left| \delta_{\text{ic}} - \delta_{\text{med}} \right|$$

(5)

where $\delta_{\text{med}}$ is the median of the independent results $\delta_{\text{ic}}$, and the factor of 1.4826 is a normalisation factor that produces the correct estimate of $\sigma$ for Gaussian error distributions. A value of $\delta_{\text{ic}}$ which differs from the median by more than 2.5 times $S(\text{MAD})$ will be considered an outlier. So, if:

$$\left| \delta_{\text{ic}} - \delta_{\text{med}} \right| > 2.5 \cdot S(\text{MAD})$$

(6)

the point $\delta_{\text{ic}}$ is identified as an outlier.

- The results are given with an acceptable uncertainty supported by a sound uncertainty budget. This was already discussed in chapter 7.
According to equation (6), the following independent results were identified as outliers: OMH at 10 MHz and 30 MHz; KRISS at 50 MHz and 100 MHz; NPL-I at 100 MHz.

The reference value of a comparison should be the best possible estimate of the measurand being tested. There are several possibilities on how to determine a reference value. The most straightforward way, would be using the arithmetical mean of the results meeting the criteria as mentioned above. However, such an approach would only be justified if all these participants have reported uncertainties of the same order of magnitude. In this comparison, at some frequencies, differences in reported uncertainties of two orders of magnitude are observed. Therefore, the participants in this comparison have decided that the key comparison reference value, $\delta_R$, should be taken as the weighted mean of the TS-HF results, $\delta_i$, meeting the criteria mentioned above:

$$\delta_R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}$$

(7)

The weight, $w_i$, for each laboratory, $i$, is found from the inverse of the squared standard uncertainty:

$$w_i = \frac{1}{u_i^2}$$

(8)

The uncertainty of the key comparison reference value, $u_R$, is calculated as experimental standard deviation of the average of the results meeting the above mentioned criteria:

$$u_R = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}$$

(9)

The expanded uncertainty, $U_R$, is given by:

$$U_R = k_R \cdot u_R$$

(10)

where the coverage factor $k_R = 2$ is used to obtain a confidence level of approximately 95 % (see Appendix G).

The KCRV's, $\delta_R$, and their expanded uncertainties, $U_R$, for TS-HF at the mandatory frequencies are given on the bottom lines of Table 3 to Table 7. In these tables, laboratories whose results have been included in the KCRV, are indicated by an asterisk (*) in the first column. For these laboratories, the last column of the table shows the percentage of contribution to the KCRV.

In Figure 1 to Figure 5 the KCRV is shown as a solid line and the expanded uncertainty is indicated by dashed lines. Participants contributing to the KCRV are indicated by blue diamonds; participants not contributing to the KCRV are indicated by red squares; the characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory are indicated by green triangles. Some of the results may be out of the scale of the graph. In those cases, the values can be found in the corresponding table.
Figure 1 Corrected values of the results, \( \delta_{ic} \), and expanded uncertainties, \( U_{ic} \), at 1 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, \( \delta_{R} \), and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, \( U_{R} \) in the reference value.

Table 3 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-HF at 1 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).
Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 10 MHz.
(blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

Table 4 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-HF at 10 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value.)
Figure 3 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 30 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_{R}$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_{R}$ in the reference value.

Table 5 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-HF at 30 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{unc}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{corr}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{R}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>Contr. to $\delta_{R}$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-0.184</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.184</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>-0.398</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.398</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-0.700</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.700</td>
<td>1.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>-0.178</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.178</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>2.900</td>
<td>0.700</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>2.899</td>
<td>1.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>-0.200</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.201</td>
<td>0.261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-0.153</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.183</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-0.160</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.190</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>-0.102</td>
<td>0.270</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.132</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.174</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.179</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>-0.261</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.291</td>
<td>1.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>-0.156</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>0.355</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.126</td>
<td>0.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-0.604</td>
<td>2.436</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.635</td>
<td>4.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.174</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-0.148</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.179</td>
<td>0.139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.119</td>
<td>0.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-0.321</td>
<td>0.764</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.353</td>
<td>1.528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 4 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 50 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

Table 6 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-HF at 50 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).
**Figure 5** Corrected values of the results, $\delta_i$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_i$, at 100 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

**Table 7** Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-HF at 100 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$u_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{exp}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{r}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{r}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{ic}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\text{ic}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>Contr. to $\delta_R$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-5.180</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.180</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-4.000</td>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-3.999</td>
<td>2.630</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>-6.687</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-6.683</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-5.920</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.913</td>
<td>2.020</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>-5.127</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.117</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>-5.206</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.190</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-4.591</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.187</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-4.600</td>
<td>1.100</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.195</td>
<td>2.236</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>-4.381</td>
<td>1.230</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-4.973</td>
<td>2.492</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>-4.588</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.179</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>-3.282</td>
<td>4.000</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-3.870</td>
<td>8.010</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>-4.648</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.229</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-0.741</td>
<td>1.130</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-1.320</td>
<td>2.296</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-7.943</td>
<td>2.576</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-8.514</td>
<td>5.167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>-4.517</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.086</td>
<td>5.167</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-4.390</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-4.953</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>54.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>-4.642</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.203</td>
<td>2.039</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>-4.965</td>
<td>1.087</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-5.525</td>
<td>2.210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-3.952</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>-0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>-4.500</td>
<td>2.478</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9. Degree of equivalence

In the MRA the estimation of the degree of equivalence is used to express the agreement between pairs of participating laboratories or between a laboratory and the KCRV.

During the drafting of the final report on the key comparison CCEM-K6.a, the correlations between participants have been extensively discussed. From this discussion [4] it is stated by the CCEM WGKC/2001-20: “The WGKC of the CCEM judges that significant correlations exist among the results of participants whose reference standard of ac-dc difference is based on calibration carried out by another participating laboratory. Although these correlations have a profound effect on the uncertainty of the degrees of equivalence between pairs of NMI’s, a sufficiently accurate evaluation of covariance terms has not been identified. Consequently this appendix B entry of the KCDB does not include explicit values and uncertainties of degrees of equivalence among pairs of participants.” In stead, only the degrees of equivalence of participants with the reference value, \( D_i \), are presented. In the September 2002 meetings of the CCEM Working Group on Key Comparisons and the CCEM itself, it was agreed to allow applying the same simplified presentation of the results of CCEM-K6.c.

The values of the degrees of equivalence with the reference value \( D_i \) are given by:

\[
D_i = \delta_{ic} - \delta_R
\]  

(11)

For participants who are not included in the KCRV the expanded uncertainty \( U_i \) in \( D_i \) is:

\[
U_i = k_D \cdot \sqrt{U_{ic}^2 + U_R^2}
\]  

(12)

In case the laboratory contributes to the KCRV the expanded uncertainty \( U_i \) is given by:

\[
U_i = k_D \cdot \sqrt{U_{ic}^2 - U_R^2}
\]  

(13)

In equations (12) and (13) \( k_D = 2 \) (see Appendix G).

The degree of equivalence \( D_{ij} \) between any pair of laboratories \( i \) and \( j \) is given by:

\[
D_{ij} = D_i - D_j
\]  

(14)

The correlation coefficients between pairs of laboratories have not been evaluated. Therefore, the expanded uncertainty \( U_{ij} \) in \( D_{ij} \) can only be roughly estimated, ignoring all correlations:

\[
U_{ij} = \sqrt{U_{ic}^2 + U_{jc}^2}
\]  

(15)

where \( U_{ic} \) and \( U_{jc} \) are derived from equation (4) multiplied by the coverage factor \( k = 2 \).

The degrees of equivalence, \( D_i \), and their expanded uncertainties, \( U_i \), for TS-HF at the mandatory frequencies, are shown in Figure 6 to Figure 10. These values are also presented in the corresponding tables: Table 8 to Table 12. The degrees of equivalence for TS-HF at optional frequencies are given in Appendix A, and for TS-A55 at all frequencies in Appendix B.
**Figure 6** Degree of equivalence with the key comparison reference value at 1 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the KCRV; red squares: not included in the KCRV)

**Table 8** Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 1 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with (*) are included in the KCRV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (µV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-3.7</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-UK1</td>
<td>-8.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>193.5</td>
<td>900.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>52.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-7.2</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7 Degree of equivalence with the key comparison reference value at 10 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the KCRV; red squares: not included in the KCRV)

Table 9 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 10 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with (*) are included in the KCRV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$</th>
<th>$U_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(µV/V)</td>
<td>(µV/V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>519.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-89.4</td>
<td>198.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>-323.4</td>
<td>1160.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>-260.4</td>
<td>501.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>-135.0</td>
<td>605.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8 Degree of equivalence with the key comparison reference value at 30 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the KCRV; red squares: not included in the KCRV)

Table 10 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 30 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with (*) are included in the KCRV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-0.197</td>
<td>0.325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>-0.499</td>
<td>1.166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>3.100</td>
<td>1.404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>-0.090</td>
<td>1.597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>-0.433</td>
<td>4.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>-0.152</td>
<td>1.525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 9 Degree of equivalence with the key comparison reference value at 50 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). (blue diamonds: included in the KCRV; red squares: not included in the KCRV)

Table 11 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 50 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). Participants indicated with (*) are included in the KCRV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>(D_i) (mV/V)</th>
<th>(U_i) (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>1.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-0.315</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>-0.413</td>
<td>1.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>4.530</td>
<td>1.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>-0.080</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>3.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>1.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRIS</td>
<td>-0.936</td>
<td>4.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>-0.064</td>
<td>2.442</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 10 Degree of equivalence with the key comparison reference value at 100 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the KCRV; red squares: not included in the KCRV)

Table 12 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 100 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with (*) are included in the KCRV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>1.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>1.161</td>
<td>2.547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-1.523</td>
<td>1.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>-0.754</td>
<td>1.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>2.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>2.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>1.290</td>
<td>7.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>3.840</td>
<td>2.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-3.354</td>
<td>5.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>-0.365</td>
<td>2.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>2.390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10. Discussion of the results of the key comparison

The goal of this comparison is to establish the degree of equivalence between laboratories for AC-DC voltage transfer measurements at the highest level of accuracy. Therefore, the participants and the support group of this comparison agreed that the determination of this degree of equivalence should be based on a consistent set of measurement results. In the analysis of the results it has been found that the results of TS-A55 are less consistent than those of TS-HF in the sense that the uncertainties in the reference values are larger and the deviations from the reference value are larger for TS-A55. Therefore, it has been agreed that the degrees of equivalence in the comparison should be based only the results of TS-HF. The results of TS-HF have been found to be sufficiently reliable for this purpose. (The results of TS-A55 have been presented in Appendix B).

At all frequencies, it has been observed that the BNM-LNE results have a large deviation from the KCRV's compared to other participants and at some frequencies the expanded uncertainties do not overlap with the reference value. After the comparison results had been shown to all participants, BNM-LNE informed the pilot laboratory that their reported results consider the connector of the travelling standard as the reference plane, while all other participants (following the protocol) used the center of the T-connector as the reference plane. For this reason, the results of BNM-LNE should not be compared directly with the other results. BNM-LNE is recommended to determine corrections for this shift of the reference plane. After applying these corrections, the BNM-LNE results can be compared with the other results.

Disregarding the results of BNM-LNE, there is a very good agreement between the results of the participants at all frequencies. Except for NPL-I at 100 MHz, all reported results are in agreement with the KCRV's within the reported expanded uncertainties.

At 1 MHz, 13 out of 15 participants have a deviation from the KCRV of less than 10 µV/V. The results and uncertainties published in the CCEM-K6.a LF ac-dc key comparison [4] at 1 MHz are of the same order of magnitude. So, there is a good agreement between the two comparisons. It has to be noted that some participants reported uncertainties different by a factor of two for the two comparisons without an explanation. They may have used different facilities or reference standards in these comparisons.

At 10 MHz, the deviations from the KCRV are less than 100 µV/V for 11 out of 15 participants. At frequencies above 1 MHz some of the laboratories change to another type of independent realisation: from thermal converters to a calorimetric voltage standard. This is the case for PTB, OMH, CSIRO-NML and NPL-I. For these laboratories, the uncertainties are significantly larger than for laboratories using a thermal converter as an independent reference standard. The difference in the uncertainties is typically about a factor of 10. It is remarkable that the uncertainty of NRC-INMS, using a calorimetric standard, is of the same order of magnitude as laboratories using thermal converters.

At 30 MHz, the deviations from the KCRV are less than 0.20 mV/V for 12 out of 15 participants.

SP didn't report any results at frequencies above 30 MHz, because these facilities were not available or operational at the time of the comparison.

At 50 MHz, the deviations from the KCRV are less than 0.30 mV/V for 12 out of 14
BNM-LNE and AREPA didn’t report results above 50 MHz.

At 100 MHz, the deviations from the KCRV are less than 1.5 mV/V for 10 out of 12 participants.

So, in general, there is a good agreement between the reported results at all frequencies. Although there are some differences in the reported uncertainties, the level of agreement between the independent participants doesn’t seem to be influenced significantly by the type of realisation of the reference standards. In other words, there is a good agreement between reference standards based on thermal voltage converters and calorimetric voltage standards.

For 10 MHz and above, the results of 6 out of 15 participants are obtained by using a reference standard which is based on the NMi-VSL calculable HF ac-dc standard [7], [8]. The agreement between these participants is close at all frequencies, and the reported uncertainties are all of the same order of magnitude. It is therefore concluded that the influence of the measurement set-up on the total uncertainty of the ac-dc transfer for this type of reference standard is relatively small [16].

As mentioned in chapter 7, NIST was not able to present the detailed uncertainty budget of the reference at frequencies above 1 MHz. Under the current CIPM rules for key comparisons, any results without a detailed uncertainty budget wouldn’t be acceptable to be included a comparison report. However, as an exception to the CIPM rules, the CCEM has allowed that in this case, the NIST results above 1 MHz can be included in the report. Even more, since the contributions of the NIST results to the KCRV’s are relatively small, the NIST results have not been excluded from the calculations of the KCRV’s. Nevertheless, NIST is recommended to demonstrate its capabilities of its new facilities in another (bilateral) comparison, in which the results should be supported by a sound and detailed uncertainty budget. (In the mean time, an additional comparison [18] between NRC, NIST, PTB and NMi-VSL with another type of travelling standard has been carried out which confirmed the results of the present comparison but the results are not included in the present report.)

VNIIM has revised its uncertainty budget after the results of this comparison had been presented to the participants. Under the present CIPM rules for key comparisons, participants are not allowed to change their uncertainty budget after the results have been seen by all participants. The pilot laboratory has studied the changes in the uncertainty budget and is convinced that VNIIM has gained better insight in its uncertainty contributions and that the revised uncertainty budget contains more details than the first. As an exception to the CIPM rules for key comparison, the CCEM has allowed that these changes can be included in the comparison report.

The results for the TS-A55 are comparable to the TS-HF results. For the same reason as mentioned above, the BNM-LNE results for TS-A55 cannot be compared with the other results. Except for NPL-I at 100 MHz, all reported results agree with the reference values within the reported uncertainties. The typical deviations from the reference value are larger for TS-A55 than for TS-HF. This difference is mainly attributed to the input connectors of the standards. The TS-A55 has a GR-874 and the TS-HF an N-type input connector. At frequencies above 1 MHz, for a few participants the deviations from the reference values for TS-HF and TS-A55 do not quite match (see Appendix C). It is expected that this is
caused by the use of (T-) adapters (and especially the deviation of its electrical length for different connectors) to connect the travelling standard to the reference plane in the measurement set-up [19]. In most cases, the difference is within the reported uncertainty for the measurement of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. However, participants for which the uncertainty doesn't cover the difference in the results are recommended to review their uncertainties, to be sure that none of the contributions have been underestimated.

*In this comparison, the CCEM has allowed several exceptions from the CIPM rules and guidelines for key comparisons. These exceptions are given by the CCEM on a case-by-case basis. Therefore, in this respect, this report should not be used as a general model for reports of CCEM key comparisons.*

### 11. Conclusions

In 1998, the measurements of the CCE 92-05 comparison of ac-dc voltage transfer standards at high frequencies were completed. This comparison was later identified as the CCEM-K6.c key comparison. The report gives the results of 15 participants, one participant withdrew his results and another one didn't report any results.

The comparison was carried out with two travelling standards. The results of one of these standard were found to be more reliable than those of the other standard. Therefore, the final results of this comparison are only based on the most reliable travelling standard.

The KCRV's in this comparison are calculated as the weighted mean of the results of participants using an independent realisation of a reference standard. Results identified as outliers do not contribute to the KCRV. The degree of equivalence with the KCRV has been determined for all participants at each measured frequency. For all frequencies in the range from 1 MHz to 100 MHz, there is a good agreement between the results of most participants. Except for a few cases, the calculated deviations from the reference values are covered by the reported expanded uncertainty.

The uncertainties in the measurements are mainly determined by the uncertainties in the reference standards of the participants.
12. Lessons learned

In the area of (key) comparisons, many things have changed since the start of this comparison. It is commonly recognized that the introduction of rules and guidelines for comparison has brought more structure in comparisons and has improved the quality of the results. Nevertheless, at the end of a project it is always useful to look back at the positive and negative aspects that have been experienced during the course of the project. Therefore, the authors have summarized some lessons that have been learned that could be useful for future coordinators and participants in comparisons.

Coordination:
- The coordinator should be familiar with the CIPM guidelines for comparisons and the GUM.
- It is recommended that at least two persons:
  - are involved in the coordination of the comparison,
  - know the actual status of the comparison and
  - are capable of performing the measurements for the comparison, just in case one of them becomes ill or decides to leave the department.
- The pilot laboratory should avoid replacement of the coordinator during the course of a comparison.

Project management:
- The project leader (pilot laboratory) should have tools to enforce the participants to handle in agreement with the protocol as they committed to do. (In the worst case, a participant could be excluded from the comparison.)
- Make back-up copies of paper and electronic documents.
- It is recommended that the pilot laboratory starts with the analysis of the results as soon as the first results come in. This avoids surprises at the end and besides, the complete results will be available almost immediately after the last participant has reported his results.

Protocol:
- The protocol should not be changed after the comparison has started. Therefore, the protocol should be carefully written.
- Describe/provide a format for reporting results
- Describe/provide a format for reporting the uncertainty budget
- The pilot laboratory should not accept other formats
- Give a list of uncertainty contributions that have to be included
- Clearly describe the required level of detail for the uncertainty budgets.
- Limit the number of measurement points and/or items to be measured to a reasonable minimum.
- All participants should respect the protocol. If, in the measurements or in the reporting of the results, a participant does not follow the protocol, this participant should be excluded from the comparison.

Schedule:
- Try to complete the measurements within about 12 to 18 months.
- The analysis of the result and writing of the draft reports will take another 6 to 12 months.
- Don't allow additional entries after the schedule has been approved by the participants.
- If a participant is not able to complete the mandatory measurements within the time that was agreed in the schedule, the pilot laboratory should not allow extra time for this participant. The comparison should go on as scheduled. Even more, this participant should not be allowed to retry performing the measurements at the end of the comparison, because this will delay the completion of the comparison. If this participant wants to retry, a supplementary comparison should be organized.
Travelling standard and its transport:
- The behaviour of the travelling standard should be studied before the comparison starts. It is not useful to use two (or more) standards if one is significantly less stable than the other.
- A back-up travelling standard should be readily available.
- Use a suitable package
- Be aware of all customs regulations that may raise difficulties during shipment of the standard(s).
- The pilot laboratory should always know where the travelling standard is. Therefore, communication before and after shipment is very important.

Reporting of the measurement results:
- Participants should report their results within the given time limit.
- Participants should report their results in a single, complete and comprehensible document, rather than sending incoherent bits and pieces of information to the pilot laboratory.
- Upon receipt of a measurement report from a participant, the pilot laboratory should check as soon as possible that the report contains all required information in the required formats. If not, the participant should be informed immediately, giving the participant another chance to provide the required information within the agreed time limit.
- Reporting more than one measurement value for a single measurement quantity is unacceptable. If, by using different methods or different set-ups, a participant obtains more than one measurement value for the same measurement quantity, the participant should decide which of the measurement values is taken as the result for this comparison. This decision should not be made by the pilot laboratory. It is also the authors' opinion that the result of a participant should not be based on the (weighted) mean of measurement values obtained by different measurement methods or set-ups. The "best measurement capability" of a national measurement institute should be based on one method of measurement performed on one specified set-up.

Analysis of the results:
- The participants should agree on a method of analysis of the results before the start of the comparison. (This is now included in the guidelines for key comparisons, but in practice, this doesn't always happen.)
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The results of this key comparison are valuable; especially the fact that the uncertainty budgets are discussed among the experts in the fields of ac-dc transfer and RF voltage. Due to extensive discussion on the way to present the results of a key comparison in the field of ac-dc transfer difference the drafting of the report of this key comparison has been significantly delayed but has consequently improved the quality of the report.
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A. Appendix: Results of TS-HF at optional frequencies

In this comparison, the frequencies 0.5 MHz and 70 MHz have been selected as optional frequencies. Several participants have reported results at these frequencies. These results for travelling standard TS-HF are reported here below. For the calculations of the results, the same approach is used as for the mandatory frequencies.

**A.1. Calculation of the results**

For each optional frequency, the result is reported as a value, $\delta_i$, and an expanded uncertainty, $U_i$. The expanded uncertainty is obtained from the combined standard uncertainty, $u_i$, multiplied by a coverage factor, $k_i$. All participants used a coverage factor $k_i = 2$.

Only one measurement result of the pilot laboratory is taken as the actual participation of NMi-VSL to this comparison; this is the first measurement, indicated by NMi-VSL1. All other measurements of the pilot laboratory are only used to monitor the behaviour of the standards.

The travelling standard TS-HF has been broken once during the comparison. The instrument was repaired but this has resulted in a shift of its ac-dc transfer difference. Measurement results obtained after this accident are corrected for this step. The pilot laboratory has determined the step, $\delta_{\text{step}}$, at all measurement frequencies. The travelling standard was measured shortly before the break down during one of the normally scheduled checks and, of course, measured again after the repair. Based on these measurements, an uncertainty, $u_{\text{step}}$, was determined for each value of $\delta_{\text{step}}$. This uncertainty is added to the reported uncertainties of all participants, before and after the step occurred.

At the end of the comparison, the behaviour of the standards was analysed by looking at the measurements from the pilot laboratory. At each frequency, a linear fit was calculated through the measurements of the pilot laboratory. The slope of this linear fit is considered to be a measure for the drift of the standard. The root mean squared (rms) deviation of the pilot's results from the linear fit is chosen to be an estimate of the uncertainty in the drift, $u_{\text{drift}}$.

Drift corrections, $\delta_{\text{drift}}$, have been calculated for all participants at each measured frequency. These values are determined at the average measurement date of the participant.

The corrected results, $\delta_{ic}$, are now found from:

$$\delta_{ic} = \delta_i + \delta_{\text{step}} + \delta_{\text{drift}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A - 1)

with a combined uncertainty, $u_{ic}$:

$$u_{ic} = \sqrt{u_i^2 + u_{\text{step}}^2 + u_{\text{drift}}^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A - 2)

The results of TS-HF at the optional frequencies are given in Table A 1 and Table A 2.
corrected values $\delta_c$ are also plotted in Figure A 1 and Figure A 2.

After having applied the corrections, the results from the participants can be compared with each other to find the level of agreement. For this purpose, a reference value, $\delta_R$, has to be determined for each optional frequency in this comparison. The results of participants to be included in the calculation of $\delta_R$ have to meet the following criteria (as described in detail in section 8.2):

- obtained from an independently realised reference standard,
- yielded an ac-dc transfer difference consistent with the other independent realisations and,
- given with an acceptable uncertainty supported by a sound uncertainty budget.

For the pilot laboratory, only one measurement result is taken as the contribution to the reference value: NMI-VSL1.

The following independent results have been identified as outliers: NPL-I at 0.5 MHz; KRISS at 70 MHz.

The participants in this comparison have decided that the reference value, $\delta_R$, should be taken as the weighted mean of the results, $\delta_c$, meeting the criteria mentioned above:

$$\delta_R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{ic}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i} \quad (A - 3)$$

The weight, $w_i$, for each laboratory, $i$, is found from the inverse of the squared standard uncertainty:

$$w_i = \frac{1}{u_{\delta_c}^2} \quad (A - 4)$$

The uncertainty of the reference value, $u_R$, is calculated as experimental standard deviation of the average of the results meeting the above mentioned criteria:

$$u_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}} \quad (A - 5)$$

The expanded uncertainty, $U_R$, is given by:

$$U_R = k_R \cdot u_R \quad (A - 6)$$

where the coverage factor $k_R = 2$ is used to obtain a confidence level of approximately 95 %.

The values of $\delta_R$, and their expanded uncertainties, $U_R$, for TS-HF at the optional frequencies are given on the bottom lines of Table A 1 and Table A 2. In these tables, laboratories whose results have been included in the $\delta_R$, are indicated by an asterisk (*) in the first column. For these laboratories, the last column of the table shows the percentage of contribution to the reference value. The results are plotted in Figure A 1 and Figure A 2. Some of the results may be out of the scale of the graph. In those cases, the values can be found in the corresponding table.
Figure A 1 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 0.5 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$, in the reference value.

Table A 1 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-HF at 0.5 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$\omega$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$</th>
<th>$\omega_{\text{exp}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$</th>
<th>$\omega_{\text{drift}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$</th>
<th>$U_{ic}$</th>
<th>Contr. to $\delta_R$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>650.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>300.3</td>
<td>1300.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-38.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-38.0</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure A 2 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 70 MHz.
(blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference;
green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$, in the reference value.

Table A 2 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-HF at 70 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{exp}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\text{drift}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{ic}}$</th>
<th>$U_{\text{ic}}$</th>
<th>Contr. to $\delta_R$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-1.964</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.964</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-1.500</td>
<td>1.020</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.499</td>
<td>2.047</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-2.480</td>
<td>0.900</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-2.474</td>
<td>1.808</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>-1.938</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.929</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>-1.982</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.968</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-1.702</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.965</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-1.690</td>
<td>0.510</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.953</td>
<td>1.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>-1.474</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.734</td>
<td>1.430</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>-1.704</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.963</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>-0.596</td>
<td>2.900</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.853</td>
<td>5.803</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>-1.730</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.980</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-0.754</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.022</td>
<td>1.579</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-3.476</td>
<td>2.463</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-3.718</td>
<td>4.929</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>-1.670</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.910</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-1.680</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.916</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>66.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>-1.747</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.980</td>
<td>1.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-1.669</td>
<td>1.224</td>
<td>-0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-1.890</td>
<td>2.454</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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A.2. Degrees of equivalence

The values of the degrees of equivalence with the reference value $D_i$ are given by:

$$D_i = \delta_{ic} - \delta_R$$  \hspace{1cm} (A - 7)

For participants who are not included in the reference value the expanded uncertainty $U_i$ in $D_i$ is:

$$U_i = k_D \cdot \sqrt{u^2_{bc} + u^2_R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A - 8)

In case the laboratory contributes to the reference value the expanded uncertainty $U_i$ is given by:

$$U_i = k_D \cdot \sqrt{u^2_{bc} - u^2_R}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A - 9)

In equations (A-8) and (A-9), $k_D = 2$.

The degrees of equivalence, $D_i$, and their expanded uncertainties, $U_i$, for TS-HF at the optional frequencies, are shown in Figure A 3 and Figure A 4. These values are also presented in the corresponding tables: Table A 3 and Table A 4.
**Figure A 3** Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 0.5 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in the $\delta_R$)

**Table A 3** Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 0.5 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with * are included in the $\delta_R$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (µV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-UK1</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>295.8</td>
<td>1300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>-42.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure A 4 Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 70 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in the $\delta_R$)

Table A 4 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 70 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with * are included in the $\delta_R$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-0.093</td>
<td>0.934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>0.373</td>
<td>2.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>-0.603</td>
<td>1.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>1.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>5.789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>1.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-1.846</td>
<td>4.945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>-0.045</td>
<td>0.281</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>-0.019</td>
<td>2.422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B. Appendix: Results of TS-A55

In this comparison, two different standards were used as travelling standards: TS-HF and TS-A55. During the analysis of the results, it was observed that the results of TS-A55 are less consistent than those of TS-HF. The TS-A55 results of the pilot laboratory show an rms deviation from the calculated linear drift which is typically about 10 times (between 2 and 20 times) larger than for the TS-HF results. Similarly, the results of the participants also show a larger deviation from the linear drift in the case of TS-A55. Furthermore, one participant reported that TS-A55 had been slightly overloaded during the measurements. As a result of this, TS-A55 may have become less stable. For these reasons, it was decided that the official results of this comparison should only be based on the results of TS-HF. Nevertheless, the reported results for TS-A55 have been analysed as well and are shown in this appendix. For this analysis the same approach was used as for TS-HF.

B.1. Calculation of the results

For each frequency, the result for TS-A55 is reported as a value, $\delta_i$, and an expanded uncertainty, $U_i$. The expanded uncertainty is obtained from the combined standard uncertainty, $u_i$, multiplied by a coverage factor, $k_i$. All participants used a coverage factor $k_i = 2$.

Only one measurement result of the pilot laboratory is taken as the actual participation of NMi-VSL to this comparison; this is the first measurement, indicated by NMi-VSL1. All other measurements of the pilot laboratory are only used to monitor the behaviour of the standards.

The travelling standard TS-A55 has not been broken during the comparison and no steps or jumps have been observed in its behaviour. Therefore, the step correction, $\delta_{\text{step}}$, and its uncertainty, $u_{\text{step}}$, can be set to zero for TS-A55 at all measurement frequencies.

At the end of the comparison, the behaviour of the standards was analysed by looking at the measurements from the pilot laboratory. At each frequency, a linear fit was calculated through the measurements of the pilot laboratory. The slope of this linear fit is considered to be a measure for the drift of the standard. The root mean squared (rms) deviation of the pilot's results from the linear fit is chosen to be an estimate of the uncertainty in the drift, $u_{\text{drift}}$. Drift corrections, $\delta_{\text{drift}}$, have been calculated for all participants at each measured frequency. These values are determined at the average measurement date of the participant.

The corrected results, $\delta_{ic}$, are now found from:

$$\delta_{ic} = \delta_i + \delta_{\text{step}} + \delta_{\text{drift}} \quad \text{(B - 1)}$$

with a combined uncertainty, $u_{ic}$:

$$u_{ic} = \sqrt{u_i^2 + u_{\text{step}}^2 + u_{\text{drift}}^2} \quad \text{(B - 2)}$$

The results of TS-A55 are given in Table B 1 to Table B 7. The corrected values $\delta_{ic}$ are also plotted in Figure B 1 to Figure B 7.
After having applied the corrections, the results from the participants can be compared with each other to find the level of agreement. For this purpose, a reference value, $\delta_R$, has to be determined for each frequency in this comparison. The results of participants to be included in the calculation of $\delta_R$ have to meet the following criteria (as described in detail in section 8.2):

- obtained from an independently realised reference standard,
- yielded an ac-dc transfer difference consistent with the other independent realisations and,
- given with an acceptable uncertainty supported by a sound uncertainty budget.

For the pilot laboratory, only one measurement result is taken as the contribution to the reference value: NMI-VSL1.

One independent result has been identified as an outlier: NPL-I at 0.5 MHz.

The participants in this comparison have decided that the reference value, $\delta_R$, should be taken as the weighted mean of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, meeting the criteria mentioned above:

$$
\delta_R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \delta_{ic}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}
$$

The weight, $w_i$, for each laboratory, $i$, is found from the inverse of the squared standard uncertainty:

$$
w_i = \frac{1}{u_{ic}^2}
$$

The uncertainty of the reference value, $u_R$, is calculated as experimental standard deviation of the average of the results meeting the above mentioned criteria:

$$
u_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i}}
$$

The expanded uncertainty, $U_R$, is given by:

$$
U_R = k_R \cdot u_R
$$

where the coverage factor $k_R = 2$ is used to obtain a confidence level of approximately 95%.

The values of $\delta_R$, and their expanded uncertainties, $U_R$, for TS-A55 are given on the bottom lines of Table B 1 to Table B 7. In these tables, laboratories whose results have been included in the $\delta_R$, are indicated by an asterisk (*) in the first column. For these laboratories, the last column of the table shows the percentage of contribution to the reference value. The results are plotted in Figure B 1 to Figure B 7. Some of the results may be out of the scale of the graph. In those cases, the values can be found in the corresponding table.
Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 0.5 MHz.

The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

Table B 1 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-A55 at 0.5 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$u_i$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$</th>
<th>$u_{\text{exp}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$</th>
<th>$u_{\text{drift}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$</th>
<th>$U_{ic}$</th>
<th>Contr. to $\delta_R$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>650.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>297.1</td>
<td>1300.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-3.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-7.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-22.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-29.7</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-9.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-14.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\delta_R$, $U_R$
Figure B 2 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 1 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

Table B 2 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-A55 at 1 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_i$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$u_i$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{step}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{step}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{drift}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{ic}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>Contr. to $\delta_R$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>450.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>295.9</td>
<td>900.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-6.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-7.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-13.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-16.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-20.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\delta_R$, $U_R$
Figure B 3 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 10 MHz.
(blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference;
green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference
value, $\delta_{R}$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_{R}$ in the reference value.

Table B 3 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the
reference value for TS-A55 at 10 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the
bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_{i}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$u_{i}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{exp}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{drift}}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{ic}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>Contr. to $\delta_{R}$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>230.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>460.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
<td>201.7</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-200.0</td>
<td>580.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>-200.5</td>
<td>1160.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>300.0</td>
<td>500.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>299.0</td>
<td>1000.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>260.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>520.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>38.3</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>-63.0</td>
<td>400.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>-65.0</td>
<td>800.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>73.1</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-215.0</td>
<td>251.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>-217.6</td>
<td>502.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-35.2</td>
<td>2432.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-3.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>-38.4</td>
<td>4864.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-3.3</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>76.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>155.2</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-23.0</td>
<td>303.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-4.9</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>-27.9</td>
<td>606.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\delta_{R}$, $U_{R}$
**Figure B 4** Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 30 MHz.
(blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

**Table B 4** Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-A55 at 30 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value.)
Figure B 5 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{iR}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{iR}$, at 50 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_{iR}$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_{iR}$ in the reference value.

Table B 5 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-A55 at 50 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value).
Figure B 6 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{\text{ic}}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{\text{ic}}$, at 70 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

Table B 6 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-A55 at 70 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value.)
Figure B 7 Corrected values of the results, $\delta_{ic}$, and expanded uncertainties, $U_{ic}$, at 100 MHz. (blue diamonds: participants included in the reference value; the red squares: not included in the reference; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory.) The solid line shows the reference value, $\delta_R$, and the dashed lines indicate the expanded uncertainty, $U_R$ in the reference value.

Table B 7 Measurement results, uncertainties, corrections and the percentage of contribution to the reference value for TS-A55 at 100 MHz. The reference value and its expanded uncertainty are given at the bottom line. (Laboratories indicated with * contribute to the reference value.)
B.2. Degrees of equivalence

The values of the degrees of equivalence with the reference value $D_i$ are given by:

$$D_i = \delta_i^c - \delta_R \quad \text{(B - 7)}$$

For participants who are not included in the reference value the expanded uncertainty $U_i$ in $D_i$ is:

$$U_i = k_{D_i} \cdot \sqrt{u_{sic}^2 + u_R^2} \quad \text{(B - 8)}$$

In case the laboratory contributes to the reference value the expanded uncertainty $U_i$ is given by:

$$U_i = k_{D_i} \cdot \sqrt{u_{sic}^2 - u_R^2} \quad \text{(B - 9)}$$

In equations (B-8) and (B-9) $k_D = 2$.

The degrees of equivalence, $D_i$, and their expanded uncertainties, $U_i$, for TS-A55 are shown in Figure B 8 to Figure B 14. These values are also presented in the corresponding tables: Table B 8 to Table B 14.
Figure B 8 Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 0.5 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in the $\delta_R$)

Table B 8 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 0.5 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with * are included in the $\delta_R$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (µV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-UK1</td>
<td>-7.7</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>284.1</td>
<td>1300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>-10.1</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>-42.7</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-6.4</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure B 9** Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 1 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). (blue diamonds: included in the \(\delta_R\); red squares: not included in the \(\delta_R\))

**Table B 9** Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 1 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). Participants indicated with * are included in the \(\delta_R\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>(D_i) (µV/V)</th>
<th>(U_i) (µV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-9.9</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIINM</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>59.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>-6.5</td>
<td>37.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-UK1</td>
<td>-8.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>282.4</td>
<td>900.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>-15.5</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
<td>92.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure B 10 Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 10 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). (blue diamonds: included in the \(\delta R\); red squares: not included in the \(\delta R\)).

Table B 10 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 10 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). Participants indicated with * are included in the \(\delta R\).
Figure B 11 Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 30 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). (blue diamonds: included in the \(\delta_R\); red squares: not included in the \(\delta_R\))

Table B 11 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 30 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). Participants indicated with * are included in the \(\delta_R\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>(D_i) (mV/V)</th>
<th>(U_i) (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-0.012</td>
<td>0.704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td>0.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>-0.302</td>
<td>1.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>3.200</td>
<td>1.420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>-0.266</td>
<td>1.593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>-0.405</td>
<td>4.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure B 12** Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 50 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties (k = 2). (blue diamonds: included in the \( \delta_R \); red squares: not included in the \( \delta_R \)).

**Table B 12** Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 50 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties (k = 2). Participants indicated with * are included in the \( \delta_R \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>( D_i ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>( U_i ) (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-0.107</td>
<td>1.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-0.529</td>
<td>0.966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>-0.327</td>
<td>1.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>4.983</td>
<td>1.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>0.273</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>-1.304</td>
<td>3.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>1.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>-0.895</td>
<td>4.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>1.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>2.438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table B 13 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 70 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with * are included in the $\delta_R$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-0.509</td>
<td>1.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>1.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>-1.058</td>
<td>1.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>1.395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>-2.632</td>
<td>5.781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>-2.259</td>
<td>4.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>1.647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>2.404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure B 13 Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 70 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in the $\delta_R$)
Figure B 14 Degree of equivalence with the reference value at 100 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (blue diamonds: included in the $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in the $\delta_R$)

Table B 14 Values of the degree of equivalence with the reference value at 100 MHz with the corresponding expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). Participants indicated with * are included in the $\delta_R$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-1.225</td>
<td>3.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>2.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>-1.431</td>
<td>2.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>-1.686</td>
<td>2.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>2.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>-6.556</td>
<td>8.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>4.749</td>
<td>2.300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>-4.221</td>
<td>5.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>2.962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>2.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>2.479</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. Appendix: Results of TS-A55 versus TS-HF

The protocol of this comparison asks the participants also to measure both travelling standards against each other, using the special T-connector that is supplied with the travelling standards. Although, this measurement is optional, it should be considered a useful exercise for the participants to check the consistency of their measuring system and for the pilot laboratory to check the behaviour of the standards with respect to each other.

C.1. Calculation of the results

For frequency, the result is reported as a value, $\delta_i$, and an expanded uncertainty, $U_i$. The expanded uncertainty is obtained from the combined standard uncertainty, $u_i$, multiplied by a coverage factor, $k_i$. All participants used a coverage factor $k_i = 2$.

Only one measurement result of the pilot laboratory is taken as the actual participation of NMi-VSL to this comparison; this is the first measurement, indicated by NMi-VSL1. All other measurements of the pilot laboratory are only used to monitor the behaviour of the standards.

The travelling standard TS-HF has been broken once during the comparison. The instrument was repaired but this has resulted in a shift of its ac-dc transfer difference. Of course, this shift of TS-HF is directly reflected in the measurements of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. Measurement results obtained after this accident are corrected for this step. The pilot laboratory has determined the step, $\delta_{\text{step}}$, at all measurement frequencies. The travelling standard was measured shortly before the break down during one of the normally scheduled checks and, of course, measured again after the repair. Based on these measurements, an uncertainty, $u_{\text{step}}$, was determined for each value of $\delta_{\text{step}}$. This uncertainty is added to the reported uncertainties of all participants, before and after the step occurred.

In the analysis of these results, no corrections are made for the drift of the travelling standards, so $\delta_{\text{drift}}$ and $u_{\text{drift}}$ are set to zero.

The corrected results, $\delta_c$, are now found from:

$$\delta_c = \delta_i + \delta_{\text{step}} + \delta_{\text{drift}} \quad (C - 1)$$

with a combined uncertainty, $u_c$:

$$u_c = \sqrt{u_i^2 + u_{\text{step}}^2 + u_{\text{drift}}^2} \quad (C - 2)$$

The results of TS-A55 vs. TS-HF at all measurement frequencies are given in Table C 1 to Table C 7. The corrected values $\delta_c$ are also plotted in Figure C 1 to Figure C 7.
Figure C.1 Corrected measurement results of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 0.5 MHz with the expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (Red squares: participants; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory)

Table C.1 Values of the measurement results $\delta_i$ and corrections $\delta_{\text{rep}}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 0.5 MHz with their standard uncertainties ($k = 1$), and the corrected results $\delta_{ic}$ with their expanded uncertainties $U_{\delta_{ic}}$ ($k = 2$). There are no corrections applied for the drift of the standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_i$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$u_i$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{rep}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\delta_{\text{rep}}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{drift}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{ic}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-U1K1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-U2K</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 2 Corrected measurement results of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 1 MHz with the expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (Red squares: participants; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory)

Table C 2 Values of the measurement results $\delta_i$ and corrections $\delta_{\text{step}}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 1 MHz with their standard uncertainties ($k = 1$), and the corrected results $\delta_{\text{ic}}$ with their expanded uncertainties $U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}} (k = 2)$. There are no corrections applied for the drift of the standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_i$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\nu_i$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{step}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{step}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{drift}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{ic}}$ ($\mu$V/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}} (\mu$V/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table C 3 Values of the measurement results $\delta$ and corrections $\delta_{\text{step}}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 10 MHz with their standard uncertainties ($k = 1$), and the corrected results $\delta_c$ with their expanded uncertainties $U_{\delta c}$ ($k = 2$). There are no corrections applied for the drift of the standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta$</th>
<th>$u_{\delta}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{step}}$</th>
<th>$u_{\delta_{\text{step}}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$</th>
<th>$u_{\delta_{\text{drift}}}$</th>
<th>$\delta_c$</th>
<th>$U_{\delta c}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>270.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>540.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 4 Corrected measurement results of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 30 MHz with the expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (Red squares: participants; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory)

Table C 4 Values of the measurement results $\delta$ and corrections $\delta_{\text{step}}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 30 MHz with their standard uncertainties ($k = 1$), and the corrected results $\delta_{\text{ic}}$ with their expanded uncertainties $U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}}$ ($k = 2$). There are no corrections applied for the drift of the standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\nu$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{exp}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{\text{exp}}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{step}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{\text{step}}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{ic}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}}$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>0.554</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>0.504</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>0.618</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>0.491</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.519</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>0.335</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-LK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.466</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 5 Corrected measurement results of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 50 MHz with the expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$). (Red squares: participants; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory)

Table C 5 Values of the measurement results $\delta_i$ and corrections $\delta_{\text{step}}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 50 MHz with their standard uncertainties ($k = 1$), and the corrected results $\delta_{ic}$ with their expanded uncertainties $U_{\delta_{ic}}$ ($k = 2$). There are no corrections applied for the drift of the standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\nu_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{step}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{step}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{step}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{step}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{drift}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{ic}}$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.322</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure C 6** Corrected measurement results of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 70 MHz with the expanded uncertainties \((k = 2)\). (Red squares: participants; green triangles: characterisation measurements of the pilot laboratory)

**Table C 6** Values of the measurement results \(\delta\) and corrections \(\delta_{\text{step}}\) of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 70 MHz with their standard uncertainties \((k = 1)\), and the corrected results \(\delta_{\text{ic}}\) with their expanded uncertainties \(U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}}\) \((k = 2)\). There are no corrections applied for the drift of the standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>(\delta) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
<th>(\nu) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
<th>(\delta_{\text{step}}) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
<th>(u_{\text{step}}) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
<th>(\delta_{\text{drift}}) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
<th>(u_{\text{drift}}) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
<th>(\delta_{\text{ic}}) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
<th>(U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}}) ((\text{mV/V}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-1.311</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.311</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-1.130</td>
<td>1.090</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.130</td>
<td>2.186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMIH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-1.550</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.550</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>-0.960</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.960</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>-0.953</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.953</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-1.209</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.929</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-1.120</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.840</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>-0.997</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-0.717</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>-1.538</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.258</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-1.301</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.021</td>
<td>0.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-2.441</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-2.161</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>-2.040</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.760</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-IMMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-2.230</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.950</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>-2.018</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.738</td>
<td>0.284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-1.841</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.082</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-1.561</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table C7

Values of the measurement results $\delta_i$ and corrections $\delta_{\text{step}}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF at 100 MHz with their standard uncertainties ($k = 1$), and the corrected results $\delta_{\text{ic}}$ with their expanded uncertainties $U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}}$ ($k = 2$). There are no corrections applied for the drift of the standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_i$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
<th>$\nu_i$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{step}}$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{step}}$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{drift}}$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
<th>$u_{\text{drift}}$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
<th>$\delta_{\text{ic}}$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{\text{ic}}}$ ($\text{mV/V}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-9.917</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-9.917</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-9.620</td>
<td>1.400</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-9.620</td>
<td>2.827</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-10.540</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-10.540</td>
<td>0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL2</td>
<td>Mar-96</td>
<td>-9.185</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-9.185</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3a</td>
<td>Aug-96</td>
<td>-9.265</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-9.265</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL3b</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-9.869</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-9.254</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-9.690</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-9.075</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL4</td>
<td>Feb-97</td>
<td>-9.495</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-8.880</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL5</td>
<td>Sep-97</td>
<td>-10.457</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-9.842</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-9.255</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-8.640</td>
<td>0.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-12.066</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-11.451</td>
<td>0.468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL6</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>-11.393</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-10.778</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-11.700</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-11.085</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL7</td>
<td>Dec-98</td>
<td>-11.367</td>
<td>0.274</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-10.752</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>-9.017</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-8.402</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-11.000</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>-10.385</td>
<td>0.413</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C.2. Consistency of the participants' measurements

By combining the results of TS-A55 vs. TS-HF and the individual measurement of TS-A55 and TS-HF for each participant according to Figure C 8, an impression is obtained of the consistency of the measurements of this participant.

In Figure C 8:
\( \delta_{HF} \) is the measurement of TS-HF against the laboratory's reference standard,
\( \delta_{A55} \) is the measurement of TS-A55 against the laboratory's reference standard,
\( \delta_{A55-HF} \) is the measurement of TS-A55 against TS-HF.

In this discussion, the values of \( \delta_{HF} \) and \( \delta_{A55-HF} \) have been corrected for the \( \delta_{step} \), but none of the measurement values have been corrected for \( \delta_{drift} \).

From \( \delta_{HF} \) and \( \delta_{A55} \) the difference \( (\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}) \) can be calculated. The difference \( \delta_{calc-meas} \) between this calculated value and the measured value \( \delta_{A55-HF} \) is an indication of the (in)consistency of the participant's measurements.

\[
\delta_{calc-meas} = (\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}) - \delta_{A55-HF} \tag{C - 3}
\]

The results of the measured value of \( \delta_{A55-HF} \), \( U_{A55-HF} \), the calculated value \( (\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}) \) and \( \delta_{calc-meas} \) at all measurement frequencies are given in Table C 8 to Table C 14. The values \( \delta_{calc-meas} \) are also plotted in Figure C 9 to Figure C 15. The uncertainty bars represent \( U_{A55-HF} \), which is not the same as the actual uncertainty in \( \delta_{calc-meas} \).
Figure C 9 Consistency of the measurements at 0.5 MHz expressed as the difference $\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}$ as obtained from separate measurements of TS-A55 and TS-HF, compared with a direct measurement $\delta_{A55-HF}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. The uncertainty bars indicate the reported expanded uncertainties for the measurement of $\delta_{A55-HF}$, $U_{A55-HF}$.

Table C 8 Measured and calculated values of TS-A55 versus TS-HF and the difference between the calculated and measured value for each participant at 0.5 MHz. The "T" column indicates whether or not the participant has used the special T-connector supplied with the travelling standards to compare both standards against each other. (Y: the supplied T-connector was used; N: the supplied T-connector was not used; ?: the participant didn't report which T-connector was used.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Measured $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated $\delta_{A55-HF} - \delta_{HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{calc-meas}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 10 Consistency of the measurements at 1 MHz expressed as the difference $\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}$ as obtained from separate measurements of TS-A55 and TS-HF, compared with a direct measurement $\delta_{A55-HF}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. The uncertainty bars indicate the reported expanded uncertainties for the measurement of $\delta_{A55-HF}$.

Table C 9 Measured and calculated values of TS-A55 versus TS-HF and the difference between the calculated and measured value for each participant at 1 MHz. The "T" column indicates whether or not the participant has used the special T-connector supplied with the travelling standards to compare both standards against each other. (Y: the supplied T-connector was used; N: the supplied T-connector was not used; ?: the participant didn't report which T-connector was used.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Measured $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{A55-HF} - \delta_{HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{calc-meas}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIST</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 11 Consistency of the measurements at 10 MHz expressed as the difference $\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}$ as obtained from separate measurements of TS-A55 and TS-HF, compared with a direct measurement $\delta_{A55-HF}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. The uncertainty bars indicate the reported expanded uncertainties for the measurement of $\delta_{A55-HF}$, $U_{A55-HF}$.

Table C 10 Measured and calculated values of TS-A55 versus TS-HF and the difference between the calculated and measured value for each participant at 10 MHz. The "T" column indicates whether or not the participant has used the special T-connector supplied with the travelling standards to compare both standards against each other. (Y: the supplied T-connector was used; N: the supplied T-connector was not used; ?: the participant didn't report which T-connector was used.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Measured $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{calc-meas}$ (µV/V)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>540.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>-3.6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 12 Consistency of the measurements at 30 MHz expressed as the difference \( \delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF} \) as obtained from separate measurements of TS-A55 and TS-HF, compared with a direct measurement \( \delta_{A55-HF} \) of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. The uncertainty bars indicate the reported expanded uncertainties for the measurement of \( \delta_{A55-HF} \), \( U_{A55-HF} \).

Table C 11 Measured and calculated values of TS-A55 versus TS-HF and the difference between the calculated and measured value for each participant at 30 MHz. The “T” column indicates whether or not the participant has used the special T-connector supplied with the travelling standards to compare both standards against each other. (Y: the supplied T-connector was used; N: the supplied T-connector was not used; ?: the participant didn't report which T-connector was used.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Measured ( \delta_{A55-HF} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>Measured ( U_{A55-HF} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated ( \delta_{A55-HF} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated ( \delta_{calc-meas} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.410</td>
<td>-0.020</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.570</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.568</td>
<td>-0.016</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>0.524</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>0.305</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.363</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.590</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>0.378</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 13 Consistency of the measurements at 50 MHz expressed as the difference δ\text{A55} - δ\text{HF} as obtained from separate measurements of TS-A55 and TS-HF, compared with a direct measurement δ\text{A55-HF} of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. The uncertainty bars indicate the reported expanded uncertainties for the measurement of δ\text{A55-HF}, U_{A55-HF}.

Table C 12 Measured and calculated values of TS-A55 versus TS-HF and the difference between the calculated and measured value for each participant at 50 MHz. The "T" column indicates whether or not the participant has used the special T-connector supplied with the travelling standards to compare both standards against each other. (Y: the supplied T-connector was used; N: the supplied T-connector was not used; ?: the participant didn't report which T-connector was used.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Measured δ\text{A55-HF} (mV/V)</th>
<th>U_{A55-HF} (mV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated δ\text{A55-δHF} (mV/V)</th>
<th>δ\text{calc}-\text{meas} (mV/V)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>0.538</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td>1.361</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>-0.090</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.580</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISs</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>0.343</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>-0.235</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A55-HF: $(\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}) - \delta_{A55-HF}$ @ 70 MHz

Figure C 14 Consistency of the measurements at 70 MHz expressed as the difference $\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}$ as obtained from separate measurements of TS-A55 and TS-HF, compared with a direct measurement $\delta_{A55-HF}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. The uncertainty bars indicate the reported expanded uncertainties for the measurement of $\delta_{A55-HF}$. $U_{A55-HF}$.

Table C 13 Measured and calculated values of TS-A55 versus TS-HF and the difference between the calculated and measured value for each participant at 70 MHz. The "T" column indicates whether or not the participant has used the special T-connector supplied with the travelling standards to compare both standards against each other. (Y: the supplied T-connector was used; N: the supplied T-connector was not used; ?: the participant didn't report which T-connector was used.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Measured $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{A55-HF}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated $\delta_{A55-\delta_{HF}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{calc-meas}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-1.311</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>-1.305</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>-1.130</td>
<td>2.186</td>
<td>-1.310</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>-1.550</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>-1.430</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>-0.840</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>-0.900</td>
<td>-0.060</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>-1.021</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>-1.084</td>
<td>-0.063</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-2.161</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>-1.868</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>-1.950</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>-0.740</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>-1.561</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>-1.618</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure C 15 Consistency of the measurements at 100 MHz expressed as the difference $\delta_{A55} - \delta_{HF}$ as obtained from separate measurements of TS-A55 and TS-HF, compared with a direct measurement $\delta_{A55-HF}$ of TS-A55 versus TS-HF. The uncertainty bars indicate the reported expanded uncertainties for the measurement of $\delta_{A55-HF}$, $U_{A55-HF}$.

Table C 14 Measured and calculated values of TS-A55 versus TS-HF and the difference between the calculated and measured value for each participant at 100 MHz. The "T" column indicates whether or not the participant has used the special T-connector supplied with the travelling standards to compare both standards against each other. (Y: the supplied T-connector was used; N: the supplied T-connector was not used; ?: the participant didn't report which T-connector was used.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Measured $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{A55-HF}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>Calculated $\delta_{A55-HF}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$\delta_{A55-HF}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>-9.917</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td>-9.922</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTB</td>
<td>-9.620</td>
<td>2.827</td>
<td>-9.800</td>
<td>-0.180</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>-10.540</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>-9.810</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>-9.075</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>-9.285</td>
<td>-0.210</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>-8.640</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>-8.671</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>-11.451</td>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>-10.637</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC-INMS</td>
<td>-11.085</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>-8.695</td>
<td>2.390</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>-8.402</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>-9.120</td>
<td>-0.718</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>-10.385</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>-10.505</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C.3. Discussion of the consistency results

It is noted that we should be very careful when drawing conclusions from the results in Figure C 9 to Figure C 15. If the triangle of Figure C 8 doesn't close within the given uncertainties, it is still not clear where this inconsistency comes from. Even the measurement reports from the participants do not always provide sufficient information to answer this question.

At least one participant, NRC-INMS, informed the pilot laboratory that the differences in the results had been investigated by additional measurements. The reference standard used at NRC-INMS has an N-type connector. So, TS-HF was measured with a symmetrical T-connector and TS-A55 was measured with the NRC asymmetrical T-connector. TS-HF vs. TS-A55 was measured with the T-connector that was provided by the pilot laboratory. It was suspected that the differences that were found resulted from using two different asymmetrical T-connectors to measure TS-A55. The electrical lengths of both T-connectors were measured on a vector network analyzer and corrections were calculated for both T-connector. After applying these corrections the agreement between the different measurements was much better.

Other participants which observed inconsistencies are also recommended to investigate the reason for these discrepancies, if they haven't done this so far.

On the other hand, participants for which the consistency triangle of Figure C 8 closes within the given uncertainties, should realize that systematic deviations may still exist. For example, if a participant measures \( \delta_{A55} \) and \( \delta_{A55-HF} \) with the same asymmetrical T-connector, the triangle can be consistent, but there can be a systematic deviation in the measurement of TS-A55 due to the asymmetry of the T-connector.
**D. Appendix: Uncertainty budgets of the participants**

**Institute:** NMI-VSL  
**Remarks:** Measurement period during the comparison as pilot laboratory.  
Uncertainties in µV/V.  
The values of contributions for which a rectangular distribution is assumed, are given as the  
half width of the probability interval. Values of contributions for which a normal (gaussian)  
distribution is assumed are given as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$).

**Travelling standard:** TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total unc (k=1):          | 10            | 15             | 90             | 250            | 1000           |
| **Expanded unc (k=2):**   | 20            | 30             | 180            | 500            | 2000           |

**Travelling standard:** TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total unc (k=1):          | 15            | 30             | 150            | 400            | 1500           |
| **Expanded unc (k=2):**   | 30            | 60             | 300            | 800            | 3000           |
Institute: PTB

Remarks: Values in $\mu$V/V

The values of contributions for which a rectangular distribution is assumed, are given as the half width of the probability interval. Values of contributions for which a normal (gaussian) distribution is assumed are given as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$).

**Travelling standard:** TS-HF (4V)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| .......... |
| .......... |
| .......... |

| total unc (k=1): | 13 | 260 | 380 | 630 | 1300 |
| Expanded unc (k=2): | 26 | 520 | 760 | 1260 | 2600 |

**Travelling standard:** TS-A55 (3V)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| .......... |
| .......... |
| .......... |

| total unc (k=1): | 13 | 230 | 360 | 580 | 1130 |
| Expanded unc (k=2): | 26 | 460 | 720 | 1160 | 2260 |
Institute: VNIIM

Remarks: Revised uncertainty budget 22/01/1999
Values in µV/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties (k = 1)

**Travelling standard: TS-HF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of: ppm(10⁻⁶)</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. Of measurement</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-connector</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change in external conditions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Travelling standard: TS-A55**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of: ppm(10⁻⁶)</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. Of measurement</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>492</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-connector</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change in external conditions</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Institute:** OMH

**Remarks:** The calibrations are valid in the reference plane of the supplied T-connector
Values in $\mu$V/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)

### Travelling standard: TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Connectors Included in line of measurement set-up

| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Total unc (k=1): 18 580 580 600 990

Expanded unc (k=2): 36 1160 1160 1200 1980

### Travelling standard: TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Connectors Included in line of measurement set-up

| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Total unc (k=1): 18 580 580 600 990

Expanded unc (k=2): 36 1160 1160 1200 1980
**Institute:** NPL-UK1

**Remarks:**
Frequencies 1 MHz and below
Values in µV/V
The values of contributions for which a rectangular distribution is assumed, are given as the half width of the probability interval. Values of contributions for which a normal (gaussian) distribution is assumed are given as standard uncertainties (k = 1).

**Travelling standard:** TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard @ 1kHz</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freq. dependence of the standard</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

..........  

**Travelling standard:** TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>GAUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard @ 1kHz</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>freq. dependence of the standard</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

..........  

**Total unc (k=1):** 8.3  
**Expanded unc (k=2):** 17
Institute: NPL-UK2

Remarks: Frequencies 10 MHz and above
Values in μV/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties (k = 1)

**Travelling standard: TS-HF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>248</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance for drift in Standard .................</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>289</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage dependence of standardars</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ..........                                       |              |                |                |                |                 |            |       |

| total unc (k=1):                                | 13.5         | 97.2           | 276.5          | 1087           |                 |            |       |
| expanded unc (k=2):                             | 27           | 195            | 553            | 2174           |                 |            |       |

**Travelling standard: TS-A55**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>GAUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gauss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>63.5</td>
<td>248</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowance for drift in Standard .................</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>289</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage dependence of standardars</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>173</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>RECT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ..........                                       |              |                |                |                |                 |            |       |

| total unc (k=1):                                | 13.5         | 97.2           | 276.5          | 1087           |                 |            |       |
| expanded unc (k=2):                             | 27           | 195            | 553            | 2174           |                 |            |       |
Institute: BNM-LNE

Remarks: All uncertainties are given in $\mu$V/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)

### Travelling standard: TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gauss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gauss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage meas.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gauss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. standard drift</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector sensibility</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage interpolation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total unc (k=1): 450 500 700 550

**Expanded unc (k=2):**

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Travelling standard: TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gauss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gauss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage meas.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gauss.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. standard drift</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector sensibility</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage interpolation</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total unc (k=1): 450 500 700 550

**Expanded unc (k=2):**

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institute: AREPA

Remarks: Reference Standard: VSL SJTC EUR-53
Values in $\mu$V/V
The values of contributions for which a rectangular distribution is assumed, are given as the half width of the probability interval. Values of contributions for which a normal (gaussian) distribution is assumed are given as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$).

**Travelling standard:** TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gaus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gaus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total unc (k=1): 10 35 130 300

Expanding unc (k=2): 20 70 260 600

**Travelling standard:** TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Gaus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Gaus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total unc (k=1): 10 35 130 300

Expanding unc (k=2): 20 70 260 600
Institute: METAS

Remarks: All uncertainties in µV/V
"Measurement set-up" and "connectors" components grouped in one contribution.
The values of contributions for which a rectangular distribution is assumed, are given as the half width of the probability interval. Values of contributions for which a normal (gaussian) distribution is assumed are given as standard uncertainties (k = 1).
Compiled by Marc Flüeli.

**Travelling standard: TS-HF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. Of measurement</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>N, 1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>N, 1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up and connectors</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error on sensitivity of thermal converter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Travelling standard: TS-A55**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. Of measurement</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>N, 1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>N, 1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up and connectors</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error on sensitivity of thermal converter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"N" stands for Normal Distribution and "R" for Rectangular Distribution
Institute: SP

Remarks: Values in $\mu$V/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)

**Travelling standard: TS-HF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                | total unc (k=1): | 26 | 260 | 270 |
|                | Expanded unc (k=2): | 52 | 520 | 540 |

**Travelling standard: TS-A55**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                | total unc (k=1): | 26 | 260 | 340 |
|                | expanded unc (k=2): | 52 | 520 | 680 |
### Institute:
CEM

### Remarks:
Values in $\mu$V/V

Nº 12 Measurements for each point

All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)

#### Travelling standard: TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors and lines</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>__________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>__________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total unc (k=1): 15 42 135 406 1230

Expanded unc (k=2): 30 84 270 812 2460

#### Travelling standard: TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors and lines</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>__________</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectang.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>__________</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>__________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total unc (k=1): 15 42 135 406 __________

Expanded unc (k=2): 30 84 270 812 __________
Institute: NIST (Gaithersburg)

Remarks: 1 MHz
Values in µV/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties (k = 1)

Travelling standard: TS-HF and TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type A contribution</th>
<th>0.80</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type B contributions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary standard MJTCs</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability of standards</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator system for transfer to reference TVC</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage step-up, each step</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two steps</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermoelement model</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transimpedance of resistor</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current standing wave</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tee and connector standing wave ratio</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency extension</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connector reproducibility</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin effect</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator system</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage step-down, each step</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two steps</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root-sum-of-squares (k=1)</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanded unc (k=2)</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Institute:** NIST (Boulder)

**Remarks:** RF part ( > 1 MHz )
Values in µV/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties (k = 1)

**Travelling standard:** TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>3872</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Travelling standard:** TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>3872</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institute: CSIRO-NML2

Remarks: LF department; measurements at 1 MHz
Values in µV/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties (k = 1)

**Travelling standard: TS-HF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Travelling standard: TS-A55**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Institute:** CSIRO-NML2  

**Remarks:** Values in $\mu V/V$  
Frequencies > 1 MHz  
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)  
Submitted by Stephen Grady

**Travelling standard:** TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>302.4</td>
<td>763.8</td>
<td>1225.6</td>
<td>1220.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total unc (k=1):</strong></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>1226</td>
<td>1223</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expanded unc (k=2):</strong></td>
<td>606</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>2452</td>
<td>2446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Travelling standard:** TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>302.0</td>
<td>763.6</td>
<td>1225.2</td>
<td>1218.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>U</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total unc (k=1):</strong></td>
<td>303</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>1226</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expanded unc (k=2):</strong></td>
<td>605</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>2451</td>
<td>2441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institute: NPL-I

Remarks: Uncertainty contribution is in $\mu$V/V
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)

**Travelling Standard: TS-HF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of</th>
<th>Unc. 1.0 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape of distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set up</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value of exponent</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tee &amp; connector SWR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>U shaped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                          |              |             |             |             |              |             |                      |
| total unc. (k=1)         | 13           | 251         | 355         | 563         | 1130         |             |                      |
| Expanded unc. (k=2)      | 26           | 502         | 710         | 1126        | 2260         |             |                      |

**Travelling Standard: TS-A55**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of</th>
<th>Unc. 1.0 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape of distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1003</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set up</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>value of exponent</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tee &amp; connector SWR</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>U shaped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|                          |              |             |             |             |              |             |                      |
| total unc. (k=1)         | 13           | 251         | 355         | 563         | 1130         |             |                      |
| expanded unc. (k=2)      | 26           | 502         | 710         | 1126        | 2260         |             |                      |
Institute: KRISS

Remarks: Values in $\mu$V/V
Measurements at 1 MHz
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)
Submitted by Sung-Won Kwon (Electricity Group)

### Travelling standard: TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrepancy due to different reference standards</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total unc ($k=1$): 46

Expanded unc ($k=2$): 92

### Travelling standard: TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference standard</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement set-up</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrepancy due to different reference standards</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

total unc ($k=1$): 46

expanded unc ($k=2$): 92
**Institute:** KRISS  

**Remarks:** Values in $\mu$V/V  
Frequencies > 1 MHz  
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)  
Submitted by Jeong Hwan Kim (Electromagnetics Group)

**Travelling standard:** TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>2433</td>
<td>2435</td>
<td>2444</td>
<td>2544</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors repeatability + system drift</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| total unc (k=1): | 2433 | 2436 | 2447 | 2576 |
| expanded unc (k=2): | 4866 | 4872 | 4894 | 5152 |

**Travelling standard:** TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td>2432</td>
<td>2434</td>
<td>2442</td>
<td>2512</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connectors repeatability + system drift</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| total unc (k=1): | 2432 | 2435 | 2445 | 2545 |
| expanded unc (k=2): | 4864 | 4870 | 4890 | 5090 |
### Reference standard for TS-A55 (KRISS - continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>effective efficiency of thermistor mount</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parallel resistance of thermistor mount</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC substitution power meas.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voltage reference plane</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>difference from two thermistor mounts</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connector repeatability + system drift</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard transfer from lower TVC’s</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>239</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total unc (k=1):</td>
<td>2432</td>
<td>2434</td>
<td>2442</td>
<td>2512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>expanded unc (k=2):</td>
<td>4864</td>
<td>4868</td>
<td>4884</td>
<td>5024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reference standard for TS-HF (KRISS - continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>effective efficiency of thermistor mount</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>358</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parallel resistance of thermistor mount</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC substitution power meas.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>voltage reference plane</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>240</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>difference form two thermistor mounts</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>123</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connector repeatability + system drift</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>405</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard transfer form lower TVC’s</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>588</td>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| total unc (k=1):                          | 2433          | 2435           | 2444           | 2544           |                 |             |           |
| expanded unc (k=2):                       | 4866          | 4870           | 4888           | 5088           |                 |             |           |
Institute:  NRC-INMS

Remarks:  TS-HF  Test voltage: 3.85 V, Working Std.: NRC TVC#6e, Tee: NRC N/N/N #3,  
TS-A55  Test voltage: 2.8 V, Working Std.: VSL TS-HF, Tee: NRC GR874/N/BNC  
All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$)  
Results are given in $\mu$V/V

**Travelling standard:**  TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working standard</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>68.8</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>392.7</td>
<td>A+B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator drift</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift correction</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC tee asymmetry</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponent n ref TVC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponent n test TVC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total unc (k=1):              | 5.7           | 23.1          | 68.9          | 137.3         | 395.5         |
| Expanded unc (k=2):           | 12            | 46            | 14*10         | 28*10         | 79*10         |

**Travelling standard:**  TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contribution of:</th>
<th>Unc. f: 1 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 10 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 30 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 50 MHz</th>
<th>Unc. f: 100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B</th>
<th>Shape</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. dev. of measurement</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working standard</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>68.9</td>
<td>137.3</td>
<td>227.8</td>
<td>A+B</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparator drift</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drift correction</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRC Tee asymmetry</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>263.1</td>
<td>512.9</td>
<td>1406.2</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact repetition</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponent n ref. TVC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponent n test TVC</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total unc (k=1):              | 8.8           | 76.5          | 272.1         | 531.4         | 1465.6        |
| Expanded unc (k=2):           | 18            | 15*10         | 54*10         | 11*10²        | 29*10²        |
E. Appendix: More detailed uncertainty budgets

From the reported uncertainty calculations as given in Appendix D, it was concluded that the determination of the uncertainty of the reference standard is essential for the determination of the total uncertainty. Most of the participants didn't provide any detailed information about the uncertainty of their reference standard in their measurement report; only KRISS included these details in the original uncertainty budget. The support group of this comparison, however, insisted that such information should be provided, at least by those participants of which the results have been used to determine the key comparison reference values. In February 2003, those laboratories have been asked to provide additional information about the uncertainty in their reference standard. These extended uncertainty budgets have been reported here below.

NIST reported that, after such a long time, this information could not be traced back for their measurements at the frequencies of 10 MHz and above. The NIST measuring system has been modified in the meantime and the information of the previous system is no longer available.

In Appendix E, the quantity $u(\delta_{\text{total}})$ is the combined standard uncertainty associated with the measurement of the travelling standard in the participating laboratory. It is calculated as the root-sum-square of the preceding contributions in each column.

Note 1: All uncertainty contributions are expressed as standard uncertainties ($k = 1$).

Note 2: The pilot laboratory has observed small discrepancies between the uncertainty budgets in this appendix and the budgets given in appendix D. The pilot laboratory has not inquired about the reasons for these discrepancies. Since the differences are quite small, it is assumed that they result from either rounding errors or from the fact that participants were not able to trace back the exact information. It should be clear that these differences have no influence on the results of this comparison, because for the calculations only the uncertainty data as presented in appendix D has been used.
**Institute:** NMI - VSL  
**Country:** Netherlands  
**Remarks:**  
**Reference standard:** VSL HF SJTC + 700 Ω

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>Influence Quantity</th>
<th>1 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
<th>30 MHz</th>
<th>50 MHz</th>
<th>100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{Tn})$</td>
<td>Thermoduelectric effects</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{G,C})$</td>
<td>Capacitive losses in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{con})$</td>
<td>Skin effect and proximity effect in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{F,V})$</td>
<td>Current level effect in the heater</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{Th})$</td>
<td>Thermal converter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{Mech})$</td>
<td>Mechanical parameters</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{model})$</td>
<td>Model for T and N connector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{ SJTC})$</td>
<td>Model for the SJTC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{Model})$</td>
<td>Comparison model vs. measurements</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{Rep})$</td>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Voltage step-up or step-down**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>Comparator system</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>A / Norm.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{C})$</td>
<td>Comparator system</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{con})$</td>
<td>Input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison of the travelling SJTC standard**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>Standard deviation in the measurement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>A / Norm.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{Rep})$</td>
<td>Reproducibility</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B / Rect.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>Total uncertainty ($k=1$)</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>85</th>
<th>221</th>
<th>894</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>Expanded uncertainty ($k=2$)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institute: PTB  
Country: Germany  
Remarks:  
Reference standard: Multijunction Thermal Converter at 1 MHz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty $u$ in µV/V at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{TH}$</td>
<td>Thermoelectric effects</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{L,G,C}$</td>
<td>reactive components and dielectric losses in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{skin}$</td>
<td>skin effect and proximity effect in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{con}$</td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{EV}$</td>
<td>current level effect in the heater</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{L,G,C}$</td>
<td>reactive components and dielectric losses in resistor and connecting leads</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{skin}$</td>
<td>skin effect and proximity effect in resistor and connecting leads</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{stand}$</td>
<td>current standing wave</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{con}$</td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{A}$</td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{C}$</td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{con}$</td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{calib}$</td>
<td>use of different ac sources</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_{total}$</td>
<td>total uncertainty (k=1)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$U$ expanded uncertainty (k=2) 25
Institute: PTB  
Country: Germany  
Remarks:  
Reference standard: 3 Volt (TS-A55) traceable to calorimetric voltage standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>influence quantity</th>
<th>$u(\delta_{\text{AC}})$ Primary AC standard</th>
<th>1 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
<th>30 MHz</th>
<th>50 MHz</th>
<th>100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>B-Norm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Calorimetric voltage standard</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Pow}})$</td>
<td>Calorimetric rf power/ac power</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>B-Norm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Imp}})$</td>
<td>rf/ac impedance</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>B-Norm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Stab}})$</td>
<td>Stability of p. voltage std.</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>B-Rect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{ConStd}})$</td>
<td>Ref. plane and connector</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>B-Rect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Transfer v. standard to TVC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{ComSys}})$</td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{ComTC}})$</td>
<td>Ref. plane and connector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>B-Rect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Voltage step-up or step-down</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Step}})$</td>
<td>Step-up procedure</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>B-Rect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{ComSys}})$</td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Calibration</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{ComSys}})$</td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{ComTC}})$</td>
<td>Ref. plane and connector</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>B-Rect.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>total uncertainty (k=1)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>230</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>expanded uncertainty (k=2)</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>2260</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report of key comparison CCEM-K6.c  
Appendix E-4
Institute: VNIIM  
Country: Russia  
Remarks:  
Reference standard: PNTE-2 № 211 (SJTC + 1 kΩ)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>influence quantity</th>
<th>$1$ MHz</th>
<th>$10$ MHz</th>
<th>$30$ MHz</th>
<th>$50$ MHz</th>
<th>$100$ MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermal converter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Th})$</strong></td>
<td>Thermoelectric effects</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{G,C})$</strong></td>
<td>reactive components and dielectric losses in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Skin})$</strong></td>
<td>skin effect and proximity effect in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Con})$</strong></td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{θV})$</strong></td>
<td>current level effect in the heater</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resistor + thermal converter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{G,C})$</strong></td>
<td>reactive components and dielectric losses in resistor and connecting leads</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Skin})$</strong></td>
<td>skin effect and proximity effect in resistor and connecting leads</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Stand})$</strong></td>
<td>current standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Con})$</strong></td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voltage step-up or step-down</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{A})$</strong></td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A, normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{C})$</strong></td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A, normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Con})$</strong></td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparison of the travelling SJTC standard</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{A})$</strong></td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A, normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{C})$</strong></td>
<td>comparator system</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>A, normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Con})$</strong></td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>B, uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>$u(\delta_{Total})$</strong></td>
<td>use of different ac sources</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>968</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>expanded uncertainty ($k=2$)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Institute:** OMH  
**Country:** Hungary  
**Remarks:** The calibration is valid in the reference plane of the supplied Special T connector  

**Reference standard:** Guildline 7000/10 MJTC at 1MHz, and OMH made  
**Calorimetric standard at higher frequencies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty ( u ) in ( \mu \text{V/V} ) at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{AC}) )</td>
<td>Reference AC/DC voltage standard at specified low frequencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{AC\text{setup}}) )</td>
<td>measurement setup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{Stab}) )</td>
<td>Stability of voltage standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{Tr}) )</td>
<td>Voltage transformation measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{levelTr}}) )</td>
<td>Level dependence of voltage transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{ImpTr}}) )</td>
<td>Unc. due to impedance difference between absorber resistor and level meter used for transformation measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{ConTr}}) )</td>
<td>connector loss and transformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{Stddev}}) )</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{Comsys}}) )</td>
<td>comparator system, including Ref. plane and connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{ConTC}}) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transfer of calorimetric standard to TVC**

**Voltage step-up**

**Calibration**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty ( u )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{Stddev}}) )</td>
<td>standard deviation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{Comsys}}) )</td>
<td>comparator system, including Ref. plane and connector</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| \( u(\delta_{\text{total}}) \) total uncertainty \( (k=1) \) | 18 \( \mu \text{V/V} \) |
| **\( U \) expanded uncertainty \( (k=2) \) | 36 \( \mu \text{V/V} \) |

| Rounded values | 36 \( \mu \text{V/V} \) |
Institute: NPL-UK1  
Country: UK  
Remarks:  
Reference standard: NPL standard for 1 MHz  
(VSL standard calibrated by VSL above 1 MHz)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>1 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
<th>30 MHz</th>
<th>50 MHz</th>
<th>100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thermal converter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{TH}})$: Thermoelectric effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{G,C}})$: reactive components and dielectric losses in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{skin}})$: skin effect and proximity effect in heater and connecting leads</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$: input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{LEV}})$: current level effect in the heater</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resistor</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{G,C}})$: reactive components and dielectric losses in resistor and connecting leads</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{skin}})$: skin effect and proximity effect in resistor and connecting leads</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{stand}})$: current standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$: input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voltage step-up or step-down</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{A})$: comparator system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{C})$: comparator system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$: input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total for reference standard</strong></td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, normal, above 1 MHz uncertainties from VSL certificate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparison of the travelling SJTC standard</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{A})$: comparator system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A, normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{C})$: comparator system</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$: input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B, rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{calib}})$: use of different ac sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{total}})$: total uncertainty (k=1)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$: expanded uncertainty (k=2)</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Institute:** NIST, Gaithersburg  
**Country:** USA  
**Remarks:** The components of the uncertainty evaluated by statistical means are designated Type A components. Type B components in the uncertainty table are estimated from rectangular distributions* with limits ±b. The Type B entries in the table are the equivalent standard deviations of that distribution, equal to $b/\sqrt{3}$.

**Reference standard:** MJTC were used as primary standards at audio frequency. Frequency extension was done using SJTC mounted with range resistors in coaxial enclosures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty $u$ in $\mu$V/V at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_p)$</td>
<td>Primary standard MJTCs</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{ss})$</td>
<td>Stability of standards</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{cr})$</td>
<td>Comparator system for transfer to reference TVC</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{tm})$</td>
<td>Thermoelement model</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{su,1})$</td>
<td>Voltage step-up, each step</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two steps</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resistor + thermal converter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_r)$</td>
<td>Transimpedance of resistor</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{skin})$</td>
<td>Skin effect and proximity effect in resistor and connecting leads</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{stand})$</td>
<td>Current standing wave</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{con})$</td>
<td>Input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency extension up to 100 kHz</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Voltage step-up or step-down</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{su,2})$</td>
<td>Voltage step-down, each step</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two steps</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{con})$</td>
<td>Connector reproducibility</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparison of the travelling SJTC standard</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_s)$</td>
<td>Comparator system</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{sd})$</td>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{total})$</td>
<td>total uncertainty (k=1)</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>expanded uncertainty (k=2)</td>
<td>20.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Institute: NIST, Boulder  
Country: USA  
Remarks: The uncertainty budget is difficult to determine because the measurement system was poorly documented. Since this comparison a new measurement system has been built, new standards have been characterised, and new uncertainties are used in our calibrations.

Reference standard: SJTC + resistor-reference standard

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>influence quantity</th>
<th>1 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
<th>30 MHz</th>
<th>50 MHz</th>
<th>100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{TH})$</td>
<td>Thermoelectric effects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{G,C})$</td>
<td>reactive components and dielectric</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{skin})$</td>
<td>skin effect and proximity effect in</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{con})$</td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{calib})$</td>
<td>use of different ac sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>influence quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{total})$</td>
<td>total uncertainty ($k=1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>expanded uncertainty ($k=2$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standard measurement uncertainty $u$ in $\mu$V/V at the frequencies

Voltage step-up or step-down

| $u(\delta_{A})$ | comparator system |
| $u(\delta_{C})$ | comparator system |
| $u(\delta_{con})$ | input and T-connectors standing wave |

Comparison of the travelling SJTC standard

| $u(\delta_{A})$ | comparator system |
| $u(\delta_{C})$ | comparator system |
| $u(\delta_{con})$ | input and T-connectors standing wave |
| $u(\delta_{total})$ | use of different ac sources |

$U$ expanded uncertainty ($k=2$)
Institute: NPL-I  
Country: India  
Remarks:  
Reference standard: Multijunction Thermal Converter  
Travelling Standard: TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty $u$ in $\mu V/V$ at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{m}})$</td>
<td>Thermoelectric effects 0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{r},G,C})$</td>
<td>Reactive components and dielectric losses in heater and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{skm}})$</td>
<td>Skin effect and proximity effect in heater and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$</td>
<td>Input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{sh}})$</td>
<td>Current level effect in the heater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{r},G,C})$</td>
<td>Reactive components and dielectric losses in resistor and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{skin}})$</td>
<td>Skin effect and proximity effect in resistor and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{stand}})$</td>
<td>Current standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$</td>
<td>Input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{exp}})$</td>
<td>Value of exponent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Rectangular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage step-up or step-down</th>
<th>$u(\delta_{\text{c}})$ comparator system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{c}})$</td>
<td>Comparator system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$</td>
<td>Input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison of the travelling SJTC standard</th>
<th>$u(\delta_{\text{c}})$, Std. dev. of measurement 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{c}})$</td>
<td>Comparator system 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{con}})$</td>
<td>Input and T-connectors standing wave 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\exp})$</td>
<td>Value of exponent 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{total}})$</td>
<td>Total uncertainty (k=1) 13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$U$ expanded uncertainty (k=2) 26

- We have taken it zero because it is PSI make MJTC
- (a) This is not required as we have used 3 volt MJTC for comparison
- (b) This is also not required as we have used 3 volt MJTC for comparison
Institute: NPL-I  
Country: India  
Remarks:  
Reference standard: 3 volt thermal converter traceable to calorimetric reference standard  
Travelling Standard: TS-HF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty $u$ in $\mu$V/V at the frequencies</th>
<th>1 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
<th>30 MHz</th>
<th>50 MHz</th>
<th>100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{dc})$</td>
<td>Reference AC/DC voltage standard at specified low frequencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{r/df})$</td>
<td>Calorimetric rf /dc power</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>B: Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{imp})$</td>
<td>rf/dc impedance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>B: Normal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{stab})$</td>
<td>Stability of voltage standard</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>B: Rectangular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{plane})$</td>
<td>Reference plane and connector</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>B: Rectangular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Transfer of calorimetric standard to TVC

| $u(\delta_{comsys})$ | Comparator system | | 10 | 30 | 50 | 100 | B: Rectangular |
| $u(\delta_{comtc})$ | Reference plane and connector | - | 15 | 50 | 100 | 500 | B: Rectangular |

Voltage step-up (two steps)

| $u(\delta_{step})$ | Step-up procedure | | 100 | 150 | 210 | 350 | B: Rectangular |
| $u(\delta_{comsys})$ | Comparator system | - | 10 | 30 | 50 | 100 | B: Rectangular |
| $u(\delta_{comtc})$ | Reference plane and connector | - | 15 | 50 | 100 | 500 | B: Rectangular |

Calibration

| $u(\delta_{comsys})$ | Comparator system | | 10 | 30 | 50 | 100 | B: Rectangular |
| $u(\delta_{comtc})$ | Ref. plane and connector | - | 15 | 50 | 100 | 500 | B: Rectangular |
| $u(\delta_{exp})$ | Value of exponent | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | B: Rectangular |
| $u(\delta_{meas})$ | Std. dev. of measurement | - | 8 | 5 | 4 | 13 | A: Normal |

| $u(\delta_{total})$ | Total uncertainty (k=1) | 252 | 355 | 566 | 1130 |
| $U$ | Expanded uncertainty (k=2) | 504 | 710 | 1132 | 2260 |

(a) This is not required as we are using rf/dc substitution.
Institute: NRC-INMS  
Country: Canada  
Remarks:  
Reference standard: Calorimetric Thermal Voltage Converter CTVC#7, SJTC NRCTVC#6e  
Travelling standard: VSL TS-HF  
Test Voltage: 3.85 V

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>influence quantity</th>
<th>1 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
<th>30 MHz</th>
<th>50 MHz</th>
<th>100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{AC}})$</td>
<td>Reference AC/DC voltage standard at specified low frequencies</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A+B n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Step}})$</td>
<td>Comparison with SJTC Working Standard</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Mech}})$</td>
<td>Mechanical dimensions</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>B u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Design}})$</td>
<td>Design variations</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>B u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Rad}})$</td>
<td>Radiation losses</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>B u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Stab}})$</td>
<td>Internal solder connections</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>B u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Comp}})$</td>
<td>Thermal corrections</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>B u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{Comp}})$</td>
<td>Intercomparisons with other CTVCs</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>B u</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transfer of calorimetric standard to VSL TS-HF**

| $u(\delta_{\text{Comp}})$ | Comparison standard deviation | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | A n |
| $u(\delta_{\text{Comp,sys}})$ | Comparator system | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 3 | 8.5 | B u |
| $u(\delta_{\text{Comp,Drift}})$ | Drift correction | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 1.8 | 9.1 | B u |
| $u(\delta_{\text{Comp,Tee}})$ | Ref. plane Tee asymmetry | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 8.9 | 37.2 | B u |
| $u(\delta_{\text{ref,Comp}})$ | Comparison/n-meas reference | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | B u |
| $u(\delta_{\text{test,Comp}})$ | Comparison/n-meas test | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 3.9 | 25.2 | B u |

TS-HF VSL Calibration Result Uncertainty

| $u(\delta_{\text{test}})$ | total uncertainty (k=1) | 5.7 | 23.1 | 68.9 | 137.3 | 395.5 | A+B n |
| $U$ | expanded uncertainty (k=2) | 12 | 47 | 14*10$^{-1}$ | 28*10$^{-1}$ | 79*10$^{-1}$ | A+B n |

- $n$ – uncertainty distribution assumed normal
- $u$ - uncertainty distribution assumed rectangular
Institute: CSIRO-NML2  
Country: Australia  
Remarks: The last value of \( u(\delta) \) represents the estimate of the drift in the travelling standard during measurement.

Reference standard: NML Single-Junction Thermal Voltage Converter  
Travelling standard: TS-HF EUR54

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty ( u ) in µV/V at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{TH}) )</td>
<td>Thermoelectric effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{R,G,C}) )</td>
<td>reactive components and dielectric losses in heater and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{skin}}) )</td>
<td>skin effect and proximity effect in heater and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{stand}}) )</td>
<td>current standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{con}}) )</td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Resistor + thermal converter**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty ( u ) in µV/V at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{R,G,C}) )</td>
<td>reactive components and dielectric losses in resistor and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{skin}}) )</td>
<td>skin effect and proximity effect in resistor and connecting leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{stand}}) )</td>
<td>current standing wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{con}}) )</td>
<td>input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Voltage step-up or step-down**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty ( u ) in µV/V at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{A}) ) comparator system</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{C}) ) comparator system</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{con}) ) input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comparison of the travelling SJTC standard**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty ( u ) in µV/V at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{A}) ) comparator system</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{C}) ) comparator system</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{con}) ) input and T-connectors standing wave</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{alt}}) ) use of different ac sources</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( u(\delta_{\text{total}}) ) total uncertainty (k=1)</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( U \) expanded uncertainty (k=2) 18.4
Institute: CSIRO-NML2  
Country: Australia  
Remarks: $u(\delta_{\text{therm}})$ is the estimate due to thermal losses in calorimeter.  
Reference standard: NML Twin Joule Voltage Calorimeter  
Travelling standard: TS-A55

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influence quantity</th>
<th>Standard measurement uncertainty $u$ in µV/V at the frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$u$</td>
<td>$1$ MHz $10$ MHz $30$ MHz $50$ MHz $100$ MHz Type A or B and Distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{IC}})$</td>
<td>Reference AC/DC voltage standard at specified low frequencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{low}})$</td>
<td>Calorimetric rf/ac power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{imp}})$</td>
<td>rf/ac impedance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{stab}})$</td>
<td>Stability of voltage standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{constd}})$</td>
<td>Reference plane and connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{therm}})$</td>
<td>Thermal Losses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comsys}})$</td>
<td>Comparator system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comtc}})$</td>
<td>Reference plane and connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{step}})$</td>
<td>Step-up procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comsys}})$</td>
<td>Comparator system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comtc}})$</td>
<td>Ref. plane and connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comsys}})$</td>
<td>Reference plane and connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comsys}})$</td>
<td>Comparator system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comtc}})$</td>
<td>Ref. plane and connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comsys}})$</td>
<td>Comparator system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{comtc}})$</td>
<td>Ref. plane and connector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u(\delta_{\text{total}})$</td>
<td>Total uncertainty ($k=1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U$</td>
<td>Expanded uncertainty ($k=2$)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 MHz</th>
<th>10 MHz</th>
<th>30 MHz</th>
<th>50 MHz</th>
<th>100 MHz</th>
<th>Type A or B and Distribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>B Rect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>B Rect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>A Norm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>B Rect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>B Rect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>B Rect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>B Norm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>A Norm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>B Rect</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>1226</td>
<td>1221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>608</td>
<td>1528</td>
<td>2452</td>
<td>2442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. Appendix: Summary of key comparison CCEM-K6.c

A summary is given of the results and the degrees of equivalence with the key comparison reference values for the measurements of TS-HF at the mandatory frequencies.

For all mandatory frequencies in this comparison the degree of equivalence, $D_i$ with respect to the key comparison reference value, $\delta_R$, is found from:

$$D_i = \delta_{ic} - \delta_R$$

The expanded uncertainty $U_i$ is given by:

$$U_i = 2 \cdot \sqrt{u_{ic}^2 + u_R^2}$$

for participants that do not contribute to $\delta_R$.

For participants included in the reference value:

$$U_i = 2 \cdot \sqrt{u_{ic}^2 - u_R^2}$$

The degree of equivalence, $D_{ij}$ between any pair of participating laboratories is:

$$D_{ij} = D_i - D_j$$

The expanded uncertainty, $U_{ij}$ in $D_{ij}$ is roughly estimate by:

$$U_{ij} = \sqrt{U_{ic}^2 + U_{jc}^2}$$

ignoring all correlations between participants $i$ and $j$.

The Working Group on Key Comparisons of the CCEM judges that significant correlations exist among the results of participants whose reference standard of ac-dc difference is based on calibration carried out by another participating laboratory. Although these correlations have a profound effect on the uncertainty of the degrees of equivalence between pairs of NMI's, a sufficiently accurate evaluation of covariance terms has not been identified. Consequently this appendix B entry of the KCDB does not include explicit values and uncertainties of degrees of equivalence among pairs of participants.
CCEM-K6.c Key comparison of AC-DC voltage transfer standards at selected frequencies between 1 MHz and 100 MHz
Measurand:    AC-DC voltage transfer difference
Measurement frequency: 1 MHz
Nominal voltage: 4 V

The key comparison reference value, $\delta_R$, is chosen to be the weighted mean of independent results, $\delta_{ic}$, that have not been identified as outliers. The weight of each participant is proportional to its inversed squared uncertainty, $u_{\delta_{ic}}$, in this result. At 1 MHz, the results of 8 independent participants have been used in the calculation of $\delta_R$. The expanded uncertainty $U_R$ of $\delta_R$ is the standard uncertainty of the weighted mean multiplied by a coverage factor $k_R = 2$.

$\delta_R = 6.6 \mu V/V$ and $U_R = 7.0 \mu V/V$.

### Table F 1. Results at 1 MHz. Corrected measurement results $\delta_{ic}$ of the participants with the expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$), $U_{\delta_{ic}}$. Degrees of equivalence $D_i$ with respect to the KCRV and the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) $U_i$. Participants indicated with (*) contributed to the reference value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{ic}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK1</td>
<td>May-96</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-0.005</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>-0.011</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure F 1. Degree of equivalence with the reference value and the expanded uncertainty for the AC-DC voltage transfer difference at 1 MHz.
(Blue diamonds: included in $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in $\delta_R$)
CCEM-K6.c Key comparison of AC-DC voltage transfer standards at selected frequencies between 1 MHz and 100 MHz

Measurand: AC-DC voltage transfer difference
Measurement frequency: 10 MHz
Nominal voltage: 4 V

The key comparison reference value, \( \delta_R \), is chosen to be the weighted mean of independent results, \( \delta_{ic} \), that have not been identified as outliers. The weight of each participant is proportional to its inversed squared uncertainty, \( u_{\delta_{ic}} \), in this result. At 10 MHz, the results of 8 independent participants have been used in the calculation of \( \delta_R \). The expanded uncertainty \( U_R \) of \( \delta_R \) is the standard uncertainty of the weighted mean multiplied by a coverage factor \( k_R = 2 \).

\[ \delta_R = 34 \mu V/V \text{ and } U_R = 25 \mu V/V. \]

Table F 2. Results at 10 MHz. Corrected measurement results \( \delta_{ic} \) of the participants with the expanded uncertainties \( (k = 2), U_{\delta_{ic}} \). Degrees of equivalence \( D_i \) with respect to the KCRV and the expanded uncertainty \( (k = 2) U_i \). Participants indicated with (*) contributed to the reference value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>( \delta_{ic} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>( U_{\delta_{ic}} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>( D_i ) = ( \delta_{ic} - \delta_R ) (µV/V)</th>
<th>( U_i ) (µV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure F 2. Degree of equivalence with the reference value and the expanded uncertainty for the AC-DC voltage transfer difference at 10 MHz.
(Blue diamonds: included in \( \delta_R \); red squares: not included in \( \delta_R \))
CCEM-K6.c Key comparison of AC-DC voltage transfer standards at selected frequencies between 1 MHz and 100 MHz

Measurand: AC-DC voltage transfer difference
Measurement frequency: 30 MHz
Nominal voltage: 4 V

The key comparison reference value, \( \delta_R \), is chosen to be the weighted mean of independent results, \( \delta_{ic} \), that have not been identified as outliers. The weight of each participant is proportional to its inverses squared uncertainty, \( u_{\delta_{ic}} \), in this result. At 30 MHz, the results of 8 independent participants have been used in the calculation of \( \delta_R \). The expanded uncertainty \( U_R \) of \( \delta_R \) is the standard uncertainty of the weighted mean multiplied by a coverage factor \( k_R = 2 \).

\[ \delta_R = -0.20 \text{ mV/V} \quad \text{and} \quad U_R = 0.10 \text{ mV/V}. \]

Table F 3. Results at 30 MHz. Corrected measurement results \( \delta_{ic} \) of the participants with the expanded uncertainties \( (k = 2) \), \( U_{\delta_{ic}} \). Degrees of equivalence \( D_i \) with respect to the KCRV and the expanded uncertainty \( (k = 2) \), \( U_i \). Participants indicated with (*) contributed to the reference value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>( \delta_{ic} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>( U_{\delta_{ic}} ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>( D_i ) (mV/V)</th>
<th>( U_i ) (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP</td>
<td>Nov-96</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure F 3. Degree of equivalence with the reference value and the expanded uncertainty for the AC-DC voltage transfer difference at 30 MHz. (Blue diamonds: included in \( \delta_R \); red squares: not included in \( \delta_R \))

Report of key comparison CCEM-K6.c
CCEM-K6.c Key comparison of AC-DC voltage transfer standards at selected frequencies between 1 MHz and 100 MHz

Measurand: AC-DC voltage transfer difference
Measurement frequency: 50 MHz
Nominal voltage: 4 V

The key comparison reference value, $\delta_R$, is chosen to be the weighted mean of independent results, $\delta_{ic}$, that have not been identified as outliers. The weight of each participant is proportional to its inversed squared uncertainty, $u_{\delta_{ic}}$, in this result. At 50 MHz, the results of 8 independent participants have been used in the calculation of $\delta_R$. The expanded uncertainty $U_R$ of $\delta_R$ is the standard uncertainty of the weighted mean multiplied by a coverage factor $k_R = 2$. $\delta_R = -0.83 \text{ mV/V}$ and $U_R = 0.22 \text{ mV/V}$.

Table F 4. Results at 50 MHz. Corrected measurement results $\delta_{ic}$ of the participants with the expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$), $U_{\delta_{ic}}$. Degrees of equivalence $D_i$ with respect to the KCRV and the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) $U_i$. Participants indicated with (*) contributed to the reference value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{ic}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNM-LNE</td>
<td>Jun-96</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREPA</td>
<td>Jul-96</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-0.79</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure F 4. Degree of equivalence with the reference value and the expanded uncertainty for the AC-DC voltage transfer difference at 50 MHz.
(Blue diamonds: included in $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in $\delta_R$)
CCEM-K6.c Key comparison of AC-DC voltage transfer standards at selected frequencies between 1 MHz and 100 MHz

Measurand: AC-DC voltage transfer difference
Measurement frequency: 100 MHz
Nominal voltage: 4 V

The key comparison reference value, $\delta_R$, is chosen to be the weighted mean of independent results, $\delta_{ic}$, that have not been identified as outliers. The weight of each participant is proportional to its inversed squared uncertainty, $u_{\delta_{ic}}$, in this result. At 100 MHz, the results of 7 independent participants have been used in the calculation of $\delta_R$. The expanded uncertainty $U_R$ of $\delta_R$ is the standard uncertainty of the weighted mean multiplied by a coverage factor $k_R = 2$.

$\delta_R = -5.16 \text{ mV/V}$ and $U_R = 0.66 \text{ mV/V}$.

Table F 5. Results at 100 MHz. Corrected measurement results $\delta_{ic}$ of the participants with the expanded uncertainties ($k = 2$), $U_{\delta_{ic}}$. Degrees of equivalence $D_i$ with respect to the KCRV and the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) $U_i$. Participants indicated with (*) contributed to the reference value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\delta_{ic}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_{\delta_{ic}}$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$D_i$ (mV/V)</th>
<th>$U_i$ (mV/V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* NMI-VSL1</td>
<td>Aug-95</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* PTB</td>
<td>Sep-95</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* VNIIM</td>
<td>Nov-95</td>
<td>-6.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* OMH</td>
<td>Jan-96</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>METAS</td>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>-5.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEM</td>
<td>Jan-97</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NIST</td>
<td>Apr-97</td>
<td>-4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-I</td>
<td>Nov-97</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRISS</td>
<td>May-98</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NRC-INMS</td>
<td>Sep-98</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPL-UK2</td>
<td>Jan-99</td>
<td>-5.5</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* CSIRO-NML2</td>
<td>Oct-99</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure F 5. Degree of equivalence with the reference value and the expanded uncertainty for the AC-DC voltage transfer difference at 100 MHz. (Blue diamonds: included in $\delta_R$; red squares: not included in $\delta_R$)
In chapter 8, §8.2, a coverage factor $k_R = 2$ is used to calculate the expanded uncertainty in the key comparison reference values (KCRV's). To determine the value of $k_R$, knowledge is required about the effective degrees of freedom of the KCRV, $\nu_R$. Therefore, the effective degrees of freedom $\nu_i$ of the results from participants included in the KCRV are also required. Unfortunately, most participants didn't report their $\nu_i$, because this wasn't explicitly asked for in the comparison protocol. However, all participants have reported the number of measurements ($n$) and in Appendix D and E it can be seen that all participants have used $k_i = 2$ to calculate their expanded uncertainties. The pilot laboratory has analyzed the data to verify that $k_i = 2$ can be justified for all participants included in the KCRV.

Starting from the uncertainty budgets in Appendix D, a separation is made between contributions derived from type A evaluations, $u_A$, and contributions from type B evaluations, $u_B$. For all participants at all frequencies, $u_B >> u_A$. So, it is to be expected that $\nu_i$ will be determined by the degrees of freedom related to $u_B$.

The degrees of freedom $\nu_A$ related to $u_A$ are $(n-1)$. For the different participants, $n$ has values between 10 and 180.

For the degrees of freedom $\nu_B$ related to $u_B$, it is more difficult to make a reasonable estimate. Therefore, two different approaches will be shown. In all uncertainty budgets there are several type B contributions. Some of them are assumed to have a uniform (rectangular) probability distribution and others are assumed to have a normal probability distribution. A typical example of the latter one is the uncertainty in the reference standard. In Appendix E, the uncertainty in the reference standard is given in more detailed (type B) contributions. Most of these detailed contributions are assumed to have uniform distributions, but the convolved distribution of the combined uncertainty of the reference standard can often be approximated by a normal distribution.

Approach (1)
The uncertainty in a parameter that contributes to the measurement result is often given by limits within which the value of this parameter will be. The distribution of the values of this parameter is often unknown and therefore taken as uniform. However, the limits within which the parameter is expected to be are usually well known. Therefore, the degrees of freedom for uncertainty contributions evaluated by specified limits are often taken to be infinite ($\infty$). If the degrees of freedom for all individual type B contributions are estimated to be $\infty$, $\nu_B$ for the combined type B contribution will also be $\infty$.

If the total combined uncertainty $u_{\delta c}$ is given by:

$$ u_{\delta c} = \sqrt{u_A^2 + u_B^2} $$

the effective degrees of freedom $\nu_i$ for participant $i$ is found from the Welch-Satterthwaite equation [1]:

$$ \nu_i = \frac{u_{\delta c}^4}{\frac{u_A^4}{\nu_A} + \frac{u_B^4}{\nu_B}} $$

With this approach, in the worst case (PTB at 1 MHz), $\nu_i = 500$. This means that for all participants included in the KCRV, $2.000 < k_i \leq 2.005$. 
Approach (2)
The first approach assumes that the limits of parameter values in a type B evaluation are well known, but unfortunately this is not always the case. The number of degrees of freedom is a measure for the accuracy of an uncertainty contribution. Equation (G.3) in Annex G of the GUM [1], shows how the degrees of freedom can be estimated from the relative uncertainty in an uncertainty contribution:

\[ \nu_b \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Delta u(x_b)}{u(x_b)} \right)^2 \]

where the quantity in brackets is the relative uncertainty in \( u(x_b) \) and \( u(x_b) \) is the uncertainty in a parameter \( x_b \).

Suppose that for all type B uncertainty contribution \( \Delta u(x_b)/u(x_b) = 15 \% \) (which is a very conservative estimate), then all values of \( \nu_b \) become 22.

The effective degrees of freedom \( \nu_B \) from the combined type B contributions is now found from:

\[ \sum \nu_B = \frac{u_B^4}{\sum_b (u(x_b))^4} \nu_b \]

If \( u_B \) is not dominated by one single contribution of \( u(x_b) \), then typically \( \nu_B > 30 \). \( \nu_i \) is again found from the same formula as given above. So in this case, for each participant \( \nu_i > 30 \) and thus \( 2.000 \leq k_i \leq 2.087 \).

Approach (1) is rather common practice when making an uncertainty budget, while approach (2) can be considered as a very conservative estimate. The differences in the \( k_i \) values from both approaches are small. So, it can be concluded that if, in this report, we use \( k_i = 2 \) instead of the actual value of \( k_i \), the relative error in the expanded uncertainty of a participant will be less than 5 %.

The next step is to find \( \nu_R \) which is required to determine \( k_R \). From §8.2 the calculation of the KCRV, \( \delta_R \), and its uncertainty \( u_R \) are repeated here:

\[ \delta_R = \sum_{i=1}^{N} g_i \cdot \delta_{ic} \]

where \( \delta_{ic} \) are the lab results, \( N \) is the number participants included in the KCRV and \( g_i \) is defined as:

\[ g_i = \frac{w_i}{w} \]

and

\[ w_i = \frac{1}{u_{bic}^2} \]

The uncertainty in KCRV is given by:

\[ u_R = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( g_i \cdot u_{bic} \right)^2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{bic}^2}} \]

The number of effective degrees of freedom \( \nu_R \) is now easily found from the Welch-Satterthwaite formula by taking into accounting the different weights \( g_i \).
\[ \nu_R = \frac{u_R^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (g_i \cdot u_{bic})^2 / \nu_i} \]

In the case of approach (1), \( \nu_R > 10^5 \), and \( k_R \) is very close to 2. For approach (2), \( 50 < \nu_R < 170 \), and \( 2.015 < k_R < 2.051 \).

From this we conclude that by using \( k_R = 2 \) instead of the actual value of \( k_R \), even under conservative assumptions, the error in the expanded uncertainty in the KCRV is expected to be less than 3 \%.

From the conclusions mentioned above, it can easily be seen that, in chapter 9, it is also reasonable to use a coverage factor \( k_D = 2 \) for the expanded uncertainty \( U_i \) in the degrees of equivalence with the reference value \( D_i \).

H. Appendix: Technical protocol

CCE comparison 92-05

AC/DC transfer devices at high frequencies (1-50 MHz)

Instructions for Participants

1. Scope

During the last decades, several AC/DC transfer comparisons have been carried out concerning the low frequency range, below 1 MHz. The scope of this comparison is to extend the frequency range up until 50 MHz with a relative small uncertainty of the AC/DC transfer.

2. Definition of the comparison

The AC/DC transfer difference of a thermal converter ($\delta$) is defined as:

$$\delta = \frac{V_{ac} - V_{dc}}{V_{dc}}$$

where:

- $V_{ac}$ is the rms value of the applied ac voltage;
- $V_{dc}$ is the mean value of the direct and reversed dc voltages, which produce the same output voltage of the converter as $V_{ac}$.

3. The travelling standards

Two travelling standards are supplied:
- A VSL Calculable HF AC/DC transfer standard
- A Fluke A55 thermal converter

1) VSL Calculable HF AC/DC transfer standard (TS-HF)

A calculable HF AC/DC standard of VSL design (EUROMET project 223) is used as one of the standards. It consists of a 5 mA current thermoelement in series with a range resistor. The standard is equipped with a type-N male connector at the input. The main specifications are:

- Input voltage: 4 V
- Output voltage: 7 mV (at nominal input voltage)
- Input resistance: 800 $\Omega$ (nominal)
- Output resistance: 7 $\Omega$ (nominal)
2) Fluke A55 thermal converter (TS-A55)
A commercial Fluke A55-3V thermal converter is used as the other travelling standard. This device is equipped with a GR-874 connector at the input. The main specifications are:

- Input voltage: 3 V
- Output voltage: 7 mV (at nominal input voltage)
- Input resistance: 600 Ω (nominal)
- Output resistance: 8 Ω (nominal)

Connectors
The middle of a T-connector is used as reference plane for the AC/DC transfer measurements. Due to the fact that the input connectors are different for both standards, problems can arise due to compatibility of the connectors with the measurement equipment. Therefore, a special T (serial number N/N/874 3) is provided, which has at the input a type-N female connector and at the output on one side a type-N female connector and on the other side a GR-874 connector.
Two output adapters are also supplied, which connect the output of the standards to banana plugs.

4. Measuring conditions
The participating laboratories are asked to follow their usual measurement procedure to their best measurement capabilities in respect to the allowed time frame (1 month) for the comparison. Important remarks to be mentioned are:
- The reference plane for this calibration is the central plane of a T-connector. The T-connector chosen has to be reported for each travelling standard.
- The input and the output of the transfer devices have to be earthed in order to protect the insulation between the heater and the thermocouple.
- The measuring frequency has a significant influence on the AC/DC transfer, the accuracy and stability of the frequency should be reported.

5. Measuring scheme
1) Calibration of the separate travelling standards
The AC/DC transfer difference \( \delta \) of the travelling standards has to be measured at its nominal input voltage if possible. The measurement frequencies are given in table below with four required frequencies and the other three are optional.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( f_{\text{meas}} ) (MHz)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optional (MHz)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) Calibration of the two travelling standards against each other (if possible)
If it is possible with the existing set-up, an ac/dc measurement at the four frequencies (1, 10, 30 and 50 MHz) is required of both travelling standards at the nominal input voltage of 3 V. In this case the measured ac/dc transfer difference between both standards should be reported.
6. Uncertainty statements

The measurements should be performed at the lowest possible uncertainty level. A detailed uncertainty analysis for the measurements has to be reported in accordance with the GUIDE TO EXPRESSION OF UNCERTAINTY IN MEASUREMENT, first published in 1993 by BIPM/IEC/IFCC/ISO/IUPAP/OIML, based on the RECOMMENDATION INC-1 (1980) of the working group and the CIPM on the Statement of Uncertainties, English version published in Metrologia 17 (1981), p. 73. Participants are asked to evaluate Type A and B standard uncertainties, and to report them separately. At the end the standard measurement uncertainty should be stated. All uncertainties should be given at a confidence level of 63% (1 $\sigma$).

7. Report

After carrying out the measurements, each participating laboratory should send a report to the pilot laboratory within one month including the form ‘Results of CCE 92-05 Comparison’. Short response time is necessary to check the status of the standards and to finish the comparison in the shortest time frame possible.

The report should contain at least:
- A detailed description of the measurement set-up including some drawings, which can be used in the final report and a publication of the results;
- A detailed description of the measurement procedure;
- The mean measurement value and the statistical spread of the AC/DC transfer difference of the standards for each frequency measured, together with the number of measurements to produce this mean value;
- A detailed uncertainty budget in accordance with the GUIDE TO EXPRESSION OF UNCERTAINTY IN MEASUREMENT, first published in 1993 by BIPM/IEC/IFCC/ISO/IUPAP/OIML.

See also the form ‘Uncertainty budget of the CCE 92-05 comparison’, which is attached to this instruction set;
- The form ‘Results of CCE 92-05 Comparison’.

8. Transportation and customs

The devices should be (hand-) carried by car, train or plane as it appears the safest for the devices. For air-cargo or parcel service, the transporting case should be surrounded by a larger case filled with foam to protect the transporting case.

Inside the European Union no custom papers are necessary. So, an EU loop will be organized first. For all the participants outside the European Union, an ATA-carnet will be provided, if applicable.

9. Circulation time schedule

The time schedule will be arranged when the list of participating laboratories is completed. To finish the comparison within the shortest time frame possible, only one month is allowed for each participant, which also includes the transportation time. The definitive time schedule is attached to this instruction.
10. Organisation

The pilot laboratory for the comparison is the NMi Van Swinden Laboratorium (VSL). The travelling standards will be (or have been) dispatched from VSL at the end of August 1995 and will return after the completion of each loop. The number of loops is determined at 5, three within Europe and two as a Worldwide loop. It is the responsibility of the participating laboratory to inform the next participant in advance to arrange the transportation of the standards to him, and to inform the pilot laboratory about the date of transportation.

11. Contact person

If there are any questions concerning the comparison, the contact person at the pilot laboratory is:

Dr. Cees van Mullem
Nederlands Meetinstituut
Van Swinden Laboratorium
Schoemakerstraat 97
P.O. Box 654
2600 AR Delft
The Netherlands

Telephone: + 31 15 2 69 15 00
Telefax: + 31 15 2 61 29 71
E-mail: CvanMullem@nmi.nl
Results of CCE 92-05 Comparison

On this form, the participant is kindly requested to present an overview of the results of his/her measurements on the transfer standards, it means the number of measurements (# meas.), the average of the transfer difference (δ) and the corresponding total uncertainty (σt) expressed as a 1σ value. (It can be handwritten.)

Institute:

Date:

Remarks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travelling standard:</th>
<th>TS-HF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frequency (MHz)</td>
<td>0.5 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># meas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σt (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travelling standard:</th>
<th>TS-A55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>frequency (MHz)</td>
<td>0.5 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># meas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σt (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also, the measurement results of the TS-HF versus the TS-A55 can be put on this form with:

δ is the measured δ_DUT - δ_REF;

σm is the standard deviation of the measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travelling standards:</th>
<th>TS-HF versus TS-A55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REFERENCE:</td>
<td>..................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUT:</td>
<td>..................</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frequency (MHz)</td>
<td>0.5 (optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># meas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>σt (ppm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Uncertainty budget of the CCE 92-05 comparison

This form can be used as an example to determine and to express the uncertainty of the measurements. It can be filled in (handwritten) or put in an appendix of your report on a form like this one. You can add your own sources of uncertainty; this is just an example. Our main goal is to have a uniform presentation of the uncertainty budget and corresponding an easy comparison between the results of the different institutes. Thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Institute: 
Date: 
Remarks: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travelling standard:</th>
<th>TS-HF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of</td>
<td>Unc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement</td>
<td>f: MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total uncertainty (1σ):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Travelling standard:</th>
<th>TS-A55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contribution of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>st. dev. of</td>
<td>Unc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement</td>
<td>f: MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reference standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement set-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>connectors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total uncertainty (1σ):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Participation in the CCE Comparison 92-05
AC/DC Transfer devices at high frequencies (1-50 MHz)

Institute:

Address:

Country:

Person to contact:

Telephone:

Telefax:

E-mail (if available):

Please indicate your answer by crossing the appropriate box and adding any further comments:

☐ We are not interested in the participation of this comparison.

☐ We wish to participate in the CCE comparison 92-05.

To help us to arrange the time schedule, please indicate which periods in 1995 and 1996 are unacceptable for you to perform the measurements:

and which is the preferred period:

Please return this form to:

Dr. Cees van Mullem
Nederlands Meetinstituut
Van Swinden Laboratorium
PO Box 654
2600 AR Delft
The Netherlands
Telephone: 31 15 691500
Telefax: 31 15 612971