Guide to
the Realization of the ITS-90

Triple Point of Water
Guide to the Realization of the ITS-90
Fixed Points: Triple Point of Water

Triple Point of Water

CONTENTS

1 Introduction
2 Manufacture of a triple point of water cell
3 Isotopic composition of the cell water
4 Impurities
5 Hydrostatic pressure effect
6 Realization of the TPW temperature in a TPW cell
   6.1 Preparation of the ice mantle
   6.2 Aging of the ice mantle before use
   6.3 Generation of the defining water-ice interface (inner melt)
   6.4 Maintenance and lifetime of the ice mantle
   6.5 Operating conditions

Acknowledgement
References

Last updated 29 June 2017
Guide to the Realization of the ITS-90

Triple Point of Water

A Peruzzi, Dutch Metrology Institute, Delft, Netherlands
E. Mendez-Lango, Centro Nacional de Metrologia, Queretaro, Mexico
J. Zhang, National Institute of Metrology, Beijing, China
M. Kalemci, National Metrology Institute of Turkey, Gebze-Kocaeli, Turkey

ABSTRACT

This paper is a part of guidelines, prepared on behalf of the Consultative Committee for Thermometry, on the methods how to realize the International Temperature Scale of 1990.

It discusses all major issues linked to the triple point of water when used as a fixed point for the realization of the kelvin.
1. **Introduction**

The triple point of water (TPW) is the unique physical state of water in which all three phases (solid, liquid and vapour) coexist at thermodynamic equilibrium. The TPW is realized in practice by using TPW cells in sealed borosilicate glass- or fused-silica envelopes containing from 400 cm$^3$ to 500 cm$^3$ of high-purity water. A re-entrant well, located along the axis of the cell, allows for insertion of the Standard Platinum Resistance Thermometer (SPRT) to be calibrated. An ice mantle is produced around the thermometer well by one of the methods described in Section 5.1. After creating the ice mantle, the cell is accommodated in a water maintenance bath controlled at a temperature close to 0.01 °C (typically within few mK) or in a Dewar flask containing crushed ice, and the equilibrium between the three phases is automatically established within the cell and can be maintained for many weeks.

2. **Manufacture of a triple point of water cell**

The main steps in the manufacture of a TPW cell cover:

- The cell envelope (borosilicate or fused-silica) which is annealed to release strains and leak-tested to ensure that it is vacuum tight.
- A thoroughly cleaned and pre-conditioned cell-envelope to prevent its inner surface from dissolution into the water.
- A high-purity source water which is distilled, degassed and transferred into the cell envelope by distillation or by gravity.
- Flame-sealing of the cell at a constricted section of the filling tube.
- An isotopic and chemical analysis of a sample of the same water sealed in the cell.

Details of the manufacturing process of TPW cells can be found in [Barber et al. 1954, de Groot et al. 2002, Ya et al. 2005, Uytun et al. 2003]. Though some NMIs, mainly for research purposes, still manufacture TPW cells (CENAM, NIM, NMJJ, UME, VNIIM and VSL), nowadays the common choice for most users is to purchase TPW cells commercially available from different suppliers.

3. **Isotopic composition of the cell water**

Natural water is a mixture of four main isotopologues: $^1$H$_2$$^{16}$O, $^1$H$_2$$^{17}$O, $^1$H$_2$$^{18}$O and $^2$H$^1$H$^{16}$O. The *Mise en Pratique for the definition of the kelvin*, MeP-K [BIPM 2011] requires the water in the TPW cell to have the isotopic composition:

- 0.00015576 mole of $^2$H per mole of $^1$H,
- 0.0003799 mole of $^{17}$O per mole of $^{16}$O, and
- 0.0020052 mole of $^{18}$O per mole of $^{16}$O.
which are the amount-of-substance ratios of Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW), based on the best measurement available [De Laeter et al. 2003].

Natural fractionation effects ensure that most of the continental surface (fresh) water, from which cells are made, is depleted in the heavy isotope $^2$H (indicated with D in the following) and, to a lesser extent, $^{18}$O and $^{17}$O, with a strong dependence on the latitude, altitude and season at the location of the precipitation [Bowen and Revenaugh 2003]. During the distillation and degassing processes in the manufacture of the cells, the water may be further depleted or enriched [Nicholas et al. 1997, Peruzzi et al. 2007]. The combination of these effects leads to cells that allow realizing temperatures typically ranging between 110 µK below to 10 µK above the temperature realized with VSMOW water. Because of the dependence on source water and processing, the isotopic depression in cells is highly dependent on the cell manufacturer. Recently some manufacturers have adapted their manufacturing processes to obtain cells with water close to the VSMOW definition. The best of these cells may have an isotopic composition within 10 µK temperature equivalent of the ideal [Strouse and Zhao 2007, Tavener 2007].

Ideally, the isotopic composition of the cell water should be measured by taking a sample after the cell has been sealed [Nicholas et al. 1997, Strouse and Zhao 2007], and a correction $\Delta T_{iso}$ should be applied, that takes into account the deviation of the cell water from the VSMOW isotopic composition.

The deviation of the cell water from VSMOW is conventionally expressed in terms of $\delta$D, $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{17}$O (called "$\delta$-values"):

$$
\delta^{18}O_{CAL} = \frac{(^{18}O/^{16}O)_{sample} - (^{18}O/^{16}O)_{VSMOW}}{(^{18}O/^{16}O)_{VSMOW}}
$$

and similarly for $\delta$D and $\delta^{17}$O. The subscription CAL emphasizes that the $\delta$-value has been determined with respect to VSMOW. For all natural waters, the $\delta$-values are in the parts-per-thousand range, so are expressed in permil (‰, per thousand); they are usually negative for most cells.

Besides VSMOW, to further improve the inter-laboratory reproducibility of the water isotopic composition measurements, Standard Light Antarctic Precipitation (SLAP) water [Gonfiantini 1978, Li et al. 1988] is also used to define the scale. The $\delta$-values of SLAP have been defined based on consensus between expert laboratories taking part in a large international intercomparison [Gonfiantini, 1984]. They are $\delta$D $= -428$ ‰ and $\delta^{18}$O $= -55.5$ ‰ with respect to VSMOW. These consensus values are used in the definition of the so-called normalized VSMOW-SLAP scale:

$$
\delta^{18}O_{(sample)}^{NORM} = \left[ \delta^{18}O_{(sample)}^{CAL} - \frac{(-0.0555)}{\delta^{17}O_{(SLAP)}^{CAL}} \right]
$$
and similarly for D, with the value -0.428 in the nominator. All isotope laboratories usually report their isotope δ-values for water in this normalized way. It should be noted that the original reference VSMOW and SLAP waters are exhausted. Their successors, VSMOW2 and SLAP2 have been prepared with utmost care to resemble the originals to within the uncertainties. Only for SLAP2 the δD value is -427.5 ‰ instead of -428 ‰.

For all naturally occurring surface waters, the isotopic composition is sufficiently close to that of VSMOW, and the correlation between the 18O and the 17O contents is so strong [Meijer and Li 1998] that the correction ΔTiso to be applied to the triple-point temperature realized by a sample water can be specified by a linear function of only the δD and δ18O values:

\[ ΔT_{iso} = -A_D \cdot δD - A_O \cdot δ^{18}O, \]

where the last term on the right accounts for both the δ17O and the δ18O effects, but requires only the knowledge of the δ18O.

The most precise set of isotopic depression constants presently available, and those specified for use with the ITS-90, are by Faghihi et al. (2015a and 2015b). These values are: \( A_D = 673 \, \mu K \) and \( A_O = 630 \, \mu K \), with estimated standard uncertainties of 4 \( \mu K \) and 10 \( \mu K \), respectively.

The uncertainty in the isotope correction is usually dominated by the uncertainties in δD and A_D. For cells not more than 100 \( \mu K \) different from VSMOW, the uncertainties in the corrections are less than 2 \( \mu K \) at most, so are generally negligible.

The practice by some TPW cell manufacturers of adding un-natural, isotope-enriched waters to the initial source waters, to compensate for isotope depletion taking place during the purification process, is not recommended. After adding such enriched waters, the isotopic correction cannot be calculated using the recommended formula above and must be evaluated with the following instead:

\[ ΔT_{iso} = -A_D \cdot δD - A_O \cdot δ^{18}O - A_{17O} \cdot \{δ^{17}O - [1 + δ^{18}O]^{0.528} - 1]\],

which requires full isotopic analysis (δD, δ18O and δ17O) and the use of a third depression coefficient \( A_{17O} = 60 \, \mu K \), with estimated standard uncertainty of 1 \( \mu K \) [White and Tew 2010].

Most TPW cells made from continental fresh water can be expected to be within the temperature equivalents –110 \( \mu K \) and +10 \( \mu K \) of VSMOW, so a correction of +50 \( \mu K \) and a standard uncertainty of 35 \( \mu K \) will account for typical variations in isotopic composition. Such a simple alternative is acceptable where no isotopic information is available.

A further, smaller, isotopic effect occurs with isotopic fractionation between water and ice when the cell is in use [Nicholas et al. 1997]. In theory, the effect
causes the temperature to be dependent on the frozen fraction and ranges from no effect for zero frozen fraction to about \(-15 \, \mu K\) for a cell nearly fully frozen. In practice, the freezing rates for cells are sufficiently fast and the isotopic equilibration process is so slow that significant fractionation does not occur during the initial freezing of the mantle [Ferrick et al. 2002]. Measurements of the composition of the water and ice from partly frozen cells support the theory: cell frozen normally over a period of a few hours exhibit isotopic fractionation of no more than 2 \(\mu K\) [Nicholas et al. 1997, Renaot et al. 2005]. One cell frozen slowly over a few days exhibited fractionation of 7 \(\mu K\) [Tavener 2006]. However, additional fractionation occurs with freezing at the ice-water interface around the thermometer well. Detailed understanding of the effect of repeated freezing and melting is not known, but it could be responsible for a depression of a several microkelvin and some of the observed non-repeatability of cells.

4. **Impurities**

The temperature realized by a TPW cell is exactly 273.16 K only for ideally pure VSMOW water. Though extreme care in water purification is taken during the manufacture, the water enclosed within a TPW cell is never completely free of impurities.

Impurities in the water of TPW cells give rise to the most significant source of uncertainty and constitute the most difficult effect to assess. Recent TPW comparisons [Stock et al. 2006, Peruzzi et al. 2009] exhibited results dispersed over ranges exceeding 200 \(\mu K\), largely due to impurities.

There are four main sources of impurity in the water of a TPW cell:

1) Chemicals used in the cleaning and pre-conditioning of the cell may be a source of contamination. These may include HF, HCl and NH\(_4\). Most of these materials have a high dissociation constant, so are detectable from measurements of electrical conductivity [Ballico 1999].

2) Borosilicate glass, from which most cells and their manufacturing plant are made, is weakly soluble in water resulting in a temperature depression at the time of manufacture and additional drift with time. In high quality cells, actual depressions at the time of manufacture can be as low as a few microkelvin [Peruzzi et al. 2007, Strouse and Zhao 2007]. The drift rates range up to \(-20 \, \mu K/\text{year}\) with a mean rate of \(-4 \, \mu K/\text{year}\), although the variation between the cells is very large [Hill 2001]. With borosilicate cells, the drift rate is likely to increase with time and is very dependent on the treatment of the glass prior to the manufacture of the cells [White et al. 2005]. Storage of the cells near 0 °C and manufacture of the cells from fused silica both reduce the drift rate [Zief and Speights 1972, Strouse and Zhao 2007]. The use of fused silica cells may, depending on the manufacturing process, result in a higher level of particular impurities and a higher initial impurity level due to the higher temperature required to melt pure silica and seal the cell.
3) Low-volatility compounds in the source water: for example, light hydrocarbons have a similar boiling point to water so distillation may not remove them. The typical magnitude of this impurity effect is unknown, but anecdotal evidence suggests that cells subjected to a prolonged degassing during manufacture (approximately 2 days) can be 20 µK higher than other cells, after isotope corrections have been applied.

4) Residual gases in the cell water. However, since one quarter of the difference between the temperature of the ice point and the TPW is due to dissolved gasses [Ancsin 1982], it can be inferred that any impurity effect due to dissolved gasses is smaller than the residual-gas-pressure effect, which is generally negligible.

The preferred method for estimating the influence of impurities and the resulting uncertainties is the “Sum of Individual Estimates” (SIE) [Fellmuth et al. 2015], which requires the determination of the concentrations of all the relevant impurity species by applying an appropriate analysis technique to a representative sample of the cell water.

Inductively-Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICPMS), applied to water samples separated from the cell, showed that the total impurity concentration in high-quality TPW cells can be as low as 0.1 µmol·mol⁻¹ [Peruzzi et al. 2007]. ICPMS performed on water from old borosilicate cells resulted in a total impurity concentration of up to 4 µmol·mol⁻¹ [Hill 1999]. Nevertheless, the results of ICPMS are regarded as semi-quantitative due to intrinsic features of the technique and of the sample preparation. Moreover, ICPMS analysis can detect only a limited number (about 60) of elemental impurities and no organic impurities.

Impurity fractionation effects (or segregation) between water and ice, similar to those described in the previous section (isotopic fractionation), may occur during the preparation of the ice mantle and consequent storage of the cell in the maintenance bath. The size of such effects depends on 1) the amount of impurities species, 2) the equilibrium distribution coefficient $k_i^0$ of the impurities and 3) the details of freezing (freezing rate, diffusion coefficient of the impurities in the solid and in the liquid, presence of convection during freezing e.g. stirring of the liquid).

Ice was reported not to be able to dissolve any compound, e.g. $k_i^0 = 0$ for any water impurity, except for HCl, HF, NH₃, NH₃F, LiF, NaF and KF, $k_i^0 \neq 0$ [Lliboutry 1964, Workman and Reynolds 1950, Zaromb and Brill 1956, Jaccard and Levi 1961, Brill 1957, Granicher 1963, Gross 1967]. Even for such impurities, $k_i^0 \ll 1$ (for example, $k_{HCl}^0 = 0.01$ [Jaccard 1963] and $k_{HCl}^0 = 0.003$ [Levi and Lubart 1961]), so it is reasonable to assume $k_i^0 \ll 1$ for any impurity in water and apply Raoult’s law and the Overall Maximum Estimate (OME) method, see again [Fellmuth et al. 2015], to TPW cells. This means that a plot of the measured TPW temperature versus the inverse liquid fraction $1/F$ allows the determination of the impurity level and temperature depression [Mendez-Lango 2002].

During freezing, the impurities are rejected into the liquid by the solid-liquid interface or trapped in the ice at grain boundaries. When the first ice-water interface is formed around the thermometer well, the ice so formed is pure. Measuring the temperature realized by the cell in this state and again after the cell has been (gently) inverted several times to mix the inner melt with the main body of water, may give an indication of the impurity level [ASTM 2002]. This test must be carried out with the
first formation of the ice-water and measurements must be corrected for self heating. A similar effect occurs with extended use of a cell over a week or longer. The water around the well and the main body appear to mix slowly causing a gradual depression of the observed temperature with time [Stock et al. 2006].

The best uncertainty due to impurities is achieved in recently manufactured high-quality cells and is probably below 10 µK [Nguyen and Ballico 2007, Strouse and Zhao 2007, Tavener 2007]. The dispersion of results in recent international comparisons [Stock et al. 2006, Peruzzi et al. 2009], suggests that a depression and uncertainty due to impurities of about 50 µK is more typical for older cells.

5. Hydrostatic pressure effect

The definition of triple point temperature is realized only at the liquid surface of the TPW cell but the sensing element of the SPRT is located near the bottom of the thermometer well to provide for adequate immersion. The TPW temperature must therefore be corrected for the hydrostatic pressure difference between the liquid surface and the thermal centre of the SPRT sensing element. The correction is:

\[ \Delta T_{\text{hyd}} = - \frac{dT}{dh} \cdot (h_{\text{liq}} - h_{\text{SPRT}}) \]

where \( \frac{dT}{dh} \) is the hydrostatic pressure coefficient defined by the ITS-90, i.e. \(-0.73 \cdot 10^{-3}\) K m\(^{-1}\). The difference in vertical elevation between the liquid surface \( h_{\text{liq}} \) and the thermal centre of the SPRT sensing element \( h_{\text{SPRT}} \) amounts to 200 to 300 mm, depending on the size of the cell, translating into a hydrostatic pressure correction of 150 µK to 220 µK.

The uncertainty related to the hydrostatic pressure correction is typically a few µK [Stock et al. 2006]. When a profile of the TPW temperature as a function of the SPRT immersion is measured (immersion profile, see [Stock et al. 2006]), the departure of the measured immersion curve from the expected hydrostatic pressure line provides an estimate of the uncertainty due to immersion and stray thermal effects, see [Stock et al. 2006, White et al. 2008].

6. Realization of the TPW temperature in a TPW cell

6.1. Preparation of the ice mantle

The standard method for preparing an ice mantle around the thermometer well of a TPW cell is the “inner sheath method” [Cox and Vaughan 1982]. With this method, the ice mantle is grown from the inside outward by cooling the thermometer well. Depending on the coolant used (crushed solid CO\(_2\), heat pipe immersion cooler, liquid-nitrogen-cooled rod or liquid nitrogen), different variants can be adopted [Furukawa et al. 1997] which can be summarized as follows:
1) Crushed solid CO$_2$: the thermometer well is filled with crushed solid CO$_2$ up to the level of the water surface in the cell and solid CO$_2$ is added to maintain such level until a mantle of desired thickness is formed. Approximately 1 ml of ethanol is added to the well prior to (along with) the CO$_2$ to promote heat transfer and thicker mantle at the bottom.

2) Heat pipe immersion cooler [Evans and Sweger 1969]: approximately 1 ml of ethanol and 5 ml of finely crushed solid CO$_2$ are preliminarily added to the thermometer well to promote crystal nucleation, thicker mantle at the bottom and prevent water in the cell from supercooling. The immersion cooler, which consists of a cup for loading the coolant and the heat pipe tube, is then inserted in the thermometer well. The crushed solid CO$_2$ and ethanol are loaded into the cup of the immersion cooler, and the space between the thermometer well and the heat pipe tube is filled with ethanol up to the level of the water surface in the cell. The heat piping loop initiates, the evaporated refrigerant travels upward to the condenser, the coolant condenses the refrigerant back to liquid, and the liquid travels back down the walls of the tube to the bottom where the cycle restarts, resulting in the formation of the ice mantle.

3) Liquid-nitrogen-cooled rod: the thermometer well is filled with ethanol up to the level of the water surface in the cell and a metal (usually copper) rod pre-cooled in liquid nitrogen is inserted into the thermometer well. Several insertions will be needed to produce an adequate mantle and cooling is interrupted for short intervals during the exchange of the cooling rods.

4) Liquid nitrogen. This variant can have, on its turn, different sub-variants:
   a. Counter flowing cold nitrogen vapours and liquid through a multi-tube cooler inserted in the thermometer well. The cooling provided by the nitrogen counter-flow is transferred to the cell through ethanol filling the space between the cooler and the thermometer well (again up to the level of the water surface in the cell).
   b. Similar to a) but return flow of cold nitrogen vapour and liquid takes place directly in the space between the cooler and the thermometer well.
   c. The liquid nitrogen is repeatedly allowed directly in the thermometer well, initially at the bottom and later at higher vertical positions.

In all the variants described above, the cell must be preliminarily pre-cooled to a temperature close to 0 °C. During cooling, care must be taken to prevent solid bridging of the ice across the top surface (and the consequent possible rupture of the cell). Moreover, it is essential to remove all the water from the thermometer well before preparing the ice mantle, for example by rinsing the thermometer well with high-purity ethanol.

The time required for forming an ice mantle depends on the variant adopted: approximately 30 minutes for variants 1 and 3, 60 minutes or more for variant 2, 10 minutes to 120 minutes for variant 4.

With an alternative non-standard method, known as “outer sheath method” or “mush method”, the ice mantle is grown from the outside inward [Cox and Vaughan 1982]. With such method, the water is supercooled several kelvins below the TPW temperature, until crystallization occurs either spontaneously or as a result of shock.
6.2. Aging of the ice mantle before use

The TPW temperature realized by freshly prepared cells is lower than 273.16 K, typically by 0.2 mK in cells where the mantle is frozen slowly (e.g. with method 2) and as much as 1 mK for quickly frozen mantles (e.g. method 4) [Furukawa et al. 1997, Furukawa and Bigge 1982]. The cause is usually attributed to strains in the ice crystals and a high concentration of crystal defects due to the severe non-equilibrium conditions during the ice formation (see preparation of the ice mantle above). With time and on storage in the maintenance bath near the TPW temperature, the strains are relieved, the crystal defects are healed (this has also a visual confirmation in observing the disappearance of the cracks) and the crystal grains grow in size. The temperature depression effect is reduced to below 100 µK over 2 or 3 days as the ice anneals, and after one week the effects are below 30 µK. To achieve temperature stability and reproducibility at the level of 10 µK or so, it is necessary to allow the mantle to anneal for at least 10 days. The recovery of the equilibrium temperature with aging is attributed not only to strain relief but also to the growth of crystal size. The temperature of the ice-water interface on an ice crystal depends on the curvature of the surface of the ice crystal [McAllan 1982]. When the crystals grow in size, the interfacial energy of the crystals decreases and the temperature increases [Furukawa et al. 1997, Mendez-Lango 1997].

6.3. Generation of the defining water-ice interface (inner melt)

After forming the ice mantle, the TPW cell is stored in a maintenance bath, usually at a temperature which is a few mK below 0.01 °C. Some laboratories prefer to set the maintenance bath at several mK above 0.01°C, to prevent solid bridging of the ice across the top surface. Before performing measurements, the cell must be stored in the maintenance bath for an amount of time appropriate to the level of accuracy required in the realization of the TPW temperature (see paragraph above).

The immersion of the TPW cell in the maintenance bath can be either complete (when the water level of the maintenance bath is above the opening of the thermometer well and the thermometer well is completely filled with bath water) or partial (when the water level of the maintenance bath is below the opening of the thermometer well, and in this case a different liquid, such as ethanol, can be used as thermal exchange between the thermometer and the cell).

Immediately before the measurement, a second ice-water interface must be formed by melting the ice adjacent to the well surface. This “inner melt” is usually
made by inserting a glass rod at ambient temperature into the well for less than a minute. The ice mantle should then rotate freely around the well when a small rotational impulse is given to it.

Sometimes the ice mantle sticks to the well very soon after it is initially melted free. This is probably due to freezing of the top of the inner liquid layer, caused by cooling resulting from heat transferred via the vapour to the cold glass, which can be at 0 °C when maintained in a crushed-ice bath. Consequently, the inner melt should be re-generated on each occasion on which the cell is used and the free rotation of the ice mantle should be verified regularly during the course of the day.

If the mantle is not rotating freely, the temperature realized by the cell can be lower than 0.01°C by as much as 0.1 mK. This is caused by the pressure build up in the frozen layer.

6.4. **Maintenance and lifetime of the ice mantle**

A TPW cell can be stored in a maintenance bath at a temperature of 2 or 3 mK below 0.01°C for many weeks. During storage in the maintenance bath, ice will slowly form on the surface of the water in the cell as a result of heat transferred via the vapour to the cold glass. When a cell is not disturbed for several days, the ice will freeze completely across the top surface and must be melted back, for example by warming with the hands, before measurement. Care must be taken to warm the water as little as possible so as not to melt too much of the mantle.

6.5. **Operating conditions**

A foam pad can be inserted into the bottom of the thermometer well to cushion the SPRT. It has been shown that the use of the foam pad is also effective in eliminating the effect of the “cold spot” at the point of contact between the ice and the bottom of the thermometer well produced by buoyancy forces on the ice mantle [Sakurai 2005, White and Dransfield 2005]. A metal or quartz bushing [Steur and de Dematteis 2008] can also be inserted to centralize the SPRT in the thermometer well and to enhance the thermal contact of the SPRT with the inner melt of the mantle.

Before insertion in the thermometer well, the SPRT should be pre-cooled for at least 5 minutes in an ice bath to approximately 0 °C. When inserting the thermometer in the cell, care must be taken to avoid that ice particles stick to the thermometer and enter the well. Before measurements, a sufficient amount of time should be allowed for thermal equilibrium of the SPRT with the TPW cell. The equilibration time depends on the model of the SPRT and can vary from 15 minutes to one hour.

In order to avoid light piping, a black cover can be laid on the maintenance bath around the SPRT.
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