Key comparison CCEM-K10

 x_i : result of measurement carried out by laboratory *i*, expressed as the relative deviation from the nominal value $R_0 = 100 \Omega$, namely $R_i = R_0 \cdot (1 + x_i)$ where R_i is the resistance measured by laboratory *i*. The x_i 's are corrected to a nominal temperature of 23 °C and a nominal pressure of 1013.25 hPa.

 $U_{\text{Lab}i}$: expanded uncertainty (k = 2) of x_i

		Four different transfer standards							
Lab i		Tegam		Tinsley		Tinsley		Tinsley	
Į	Mean date	No 2030397		No 267 919		No 262 767		No 268 168	
		<i>x _i /</i> 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U</i> _{Lab<i>i</i>} / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>x _i /</i> 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U</i> _{Lab<i>i</i>} / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>x _i /</i> 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U</i> _{Lab<i>i</i>} / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>x _i /</i> 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U</i> _{Lab<i>i</i>} / 10 ⁻⁹
РТВ	09/05/2001	-161.67	2.6	-5645.89	4.4	-3536.39	4.4	-1308	4.4
NIST	19/08/2001	-94.62	6.2	-5645.94	7.2	-3522.26	7.2	-1284.52	7.2
NRC	18/09/2001	-29.88	7.2	-5646.39	7	-3538.3	7	-1314.16	7
BIPM	16/10/2001	-26.88	4.4	-5636.89	4	-3537.06	4	-1284.8	4
РТВ	25/11/2001	-49.38	2.6	-5640.37	4.4	-3517.55	4.4	-1292.49	4.4
MIKES	09/02/2002	3.93	11.4	-5531.44	11.4	-3494.17	11.4	-1283.73	11.4
NMIA	06/03/2002	0.04	64	-5530	62	-3520	62	-1279.99	62
РТВ	28/04/2002	31.11	2.6	-5449.77	4.4	-3504.66	4.4	-1280.79	4.4
METAS	11/07/2002	64.65	5.8	-5417.4	5.8	-3525	5.8	-1290.6	5.8
РТВ	20/09/2002	102.15	2.6	-5409.48	4.4	-3492.51	4.4	-1269.77	4.4
NMIJ	11/12/2002	132.93	15.6	-5388	12	-3485	12	-1288.01	12
BIPM	15/02/2003	211.27	14.2	-5386.1	16	-3487.19	16	-1251.06	16
РТВ	18/03/2003	183.48	2.6	-5376.83	4.4	-3477.52	4.4	-1256.16	4.4
NIM	24/04/2003	221.7	1.4	-5390.42	1.4	-3474.19	1.4	-1259.28	1.4
РТВ	02/08/2003	240.99	2.6	-5352.65	4.4	-3466.05	4.4	-1245.75	4.4

Key comparison CCEM-K10 (Continued)

D_{*i*-comb}: combined mean of the travelling standards relative differences from a least-squares regression of the PTB values for each travelling standard

 $U_{i-\text{comb}}$: expanded uncertainty (k = 2) of $D_{i-\text{comb}}$

 $U_{i-\text{comb},T}$: expanded uncertainty (k = 2) of $D_{i-\text{comb}}$, including an additional travel uncertainty estimated to 7 x 10⁻⁹ (the calculation of the $D_{i-\text{comb}}$'s and the $U_{i-\text{comb}}$'s is described in detail in Section 6 on page 7 of the CCEM-K10 Final Report)

Lab <i>i</i> ↓	D _{i-comb} / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U_{i-comb}</i> / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U_{i-comb,T}</i> / 10 ⁻⁹
РТВ	0	4.56	8.4
NIST	6.99	11.63	13.6
NRC	-12.73	11.81	13.7
BIPM	-1.86	12.13	14
РТВ	0	4.56	8.4
MIKES	12.01	16.23	17.7
NMIA	-5.7	61.65	62.1
РТВ	0	4.56	8.4
METAS	-5.07	9.77	12
РТВ	0	4.56	8.4
NMIJ	-2.42	16.88	18.3
BIPM	-1.39	17.89	19.2
PTB	0	4.56	8.4
NIM	4.92	10.11	12.3
PTB	0	4.56	8.4
PTB _{mean}	0	4.56	8.4

Key comparison EUROMET.EM-K10

Measurand : Resistance Nominal value : 100 Ω

Pilot laboratory : PTB

Measurements were carried out using three sets of transfer standards in three loops in parallel. Corresponding results are reported in Tables 1 to 9 of Appendix A of the Final Report. Measurement dates are given in Section 2 of the Final Report.

The PTB is the only common laboratory to all loops and is used to link them (see Section 6 of the Final Report). Results are then expressed in terms of $D_{i-\text{comb EUR}}$, the combined measurement of laboratory *i* relative to the PTB, and $U_{i-\text{comb EUR}}$, the expanded uncertainty (k = 2) of $D_{i-\text{comb EUR}}$ taking into account the travel uncertainty. These values are available in Table 16 of the Final Report.

The EUROMET reference value, $\mathbf{x}_{R EUR}$, and its associated expanded uncertainty (k = 2), $\mathbf{U}_{R EUR}$, are determined from the weighted mean of the $\mathbf{D}_{i\text{-comb EUR}}$ with the $\mathbf{U}_{i\text{-comb EUR}}$ used as weights. In this calculation only one value is considered for the pilot laboratory, and to exclude possible correlation, only those laboratories having their own representation of the ohm, based on the QHE, are taken into consideration.

$x_{\rm R EUR}$ = 4.0 10⁻⁹ and $U_{\rm R EUR}$ = 6.0 10⁻⁹

Degrees of equivalence inside the EUROMET key comparison are deduced using the appropriate equations (see Section 6.3 of the Final Report). They are given by a pair of terms:

 $D_{i EUR}$, the laboratory *i* offset relative to the EUROMET reference value, and the associated expanded uncertainty (k = 2), $U_{i EUR}$.

Key comparison EUROMET.EM-K10 (Continued)

Lab <i>i</i>	D _{i EUR} / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U_{i EUR}</i> / 10 ⁻⁹	Lab <i>i</i> ↓	D _{i EUR} / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U_{i EUR}</i> / 10 ⁻⁹	Lab <i>i</i> ↓	D _{i EUR} / 10 ⁻⁹	U _{i EUR} / 10 ⁻⁹
MIKES	5.31	17.1	EIM*	-80.58	82.1	SMD	34.56	99.9
SP	-15.74	29.3	INRIM*	-28.31	78.2	СМІ	7.22	101.4
JV	-10.45	15.4	CEM*	-22.30	87.6	UME	8.51	22.5
DFM	6.91	378.4	IPQ	-239.13	253.5	NMISA	165.64	600.8
VNIIM	22.16	63.7	METAS*	-45.22	71.8	VSL*	6.01	21.6
МКЕН	288.22	1738.6	MIRS/SIQ/Metrology	87.67	455.9	BEV	-63.93	329.2
GUM	-788.90	267.0	DMDM	904.71	1222.7	PTB*	-4.04	9.7
GUM**	-281.90	500.0	NPL	8.58	26.8			
VMT	-70.43	143.4	NSAI NML	198.65	411.8			
LATMB	820.69	1821.4	LNE*	9.87	16.9	1		
		-	BIPM*	7.85	17.5	1		

Italics: acronyms of laboratories whose results are not used for the calculation of the EUROMET reference value.

* Denotes laboratories that claim an uncertainty smaller than the transport uncertainty. For these laboratories the result reflects the limited knowledge on the behavior of the travelling standards and not the capability of the laboratory.

** Denotes that the result was obtained by a repeated measurement in October 2006.

Key comparison CCEM-K10

Measurand : Resistance Nominal value : 100 Ω

The key comparison reference value, x_R , is obtained from the weighted mean of the $D_{i-\text{comb}}$'s: $x_R = -0.14 \times 10^{-9}$. Its associated uncertainty (k = 2) is $U_R = 4.57 \times 10^{-9}$ (see on page 8 of the CCEM-K10 Final Report).

The degree of equivalence of laboratory *i* with respect to the reference value is given by a pair of terms: the offset $D_i = D_{i-\text{comb}} - x_R$, and its expanded uncertainty (k = 2), $U_i = (U_{i-\text{comb},T}^2 - U_R^2)^{1/2}$.

All uncertainties (except NMIA) are significantly greater than the laboratory's claims due to the added transport uncertainty of the resistors. So these results are limited by the transportability of the resistors and not by the capability of the participants. Therefore the degrees of equivalence between pairs of laboratories are not meaningful.

Linking key comparison EUROMET.EM-K10 to key comparison CCEM-K10

The linking laboratories are MIKES, METAS, BIPM and PTB. The linkage process is explained in Section 6.4 of the EUROMET Final Report.

The degree of equivalence of laboratory *i*, participant in EUROMET.EM-K10 only, with respect to the CCEM-K10 key comparison reference value is given by a pair of terms: the offset $D_i = D_i EUR + x_R EUR - x_R$, and its expanded uncertainty (k = 2), $U_i = (U_i EUR^2 + U_{Link}^2)^{1/2}$, with $x_R EUR - x_R = 3.30 \ 10^{-9}$ and $U_{Link} = 9.80 \ 10^{-9}$.

Measurand : Resistance

Nominal value : 100 $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$

Degrees of equivalence relative to the CCEM-K10 key comparison reference value

Lab <i>i</i>			1					
ļ	<i>D_i</i> / 10 ⁻⁹	<i>U</i> ; / 10 ⁻⁹						
РТВ	0.14	7.0		* Danataa la				
NIST	7.13	12.8	[^] Denotes laboratories that claim an uncertainty					
NRC	-12.59	12.9	smaller than the transport uncertainty.					
BIPM	-1.48	18.7	knowledge on the behavior of the travelling standards					
MIKES	12.15	17.1						
NMIA	-5.56	61.9	** Denotes that the result was obtained by a repeated measurement in October 2006.					
METAS	-4.93	11.1						
NMIJ	-2.28	17.7						
NIM	5.07	11.4	1					
SP	-12.44	30.9	MIRS/SIQ/Metrology	90.97	456.0			
JV	-7.15	18.3	DMDM	908.01	1222.7			
DFM	10.21	378.5	NPL	11.88	28.5			
VNIIM	25.46	64.5	NSAI NML	201.95	411.9			
MKEH	291.52	1738.6	LNE*	13.17	19.5			
GUM	-785.60	267.2	SMD	37.86	100.4			
GUM**	-278.60	500.1	CMI	10.52	101.9			
VMT	-67.13	143.7	UME	11.81	24.5			
LATMB	823.99	1821.4	NMISA	168.94	600.9			
EIM*	-77.28	82.7	VSL*	9.31	23.7]		
INRIM*	-25.01	78.8	BEV	-60.63	329.4]		
CEM*	-19.00	88.2				-		
IPQ	-235.83	253.7						

In blue: linking laboratories

In green: participants in EUROMET.EM-K10 only



* Denotes laboratories that claim an uncertainty smaller than the transport uncertainty.

For these laboratories the result reflects the limited knowledge on the behavior of the travelling standards and not the capability of the laboratory.

** Denotes that the result was obtained by a repeated measurement in October 2006. Measurement of 2003 led to D_{GUM} = -785.60 10⁻⁹ and U_{GUM} = 267.2 10⁻⁹

Red diamonds: participants in CCEM-K10

Green triangles: participants in EUROMET.EM-K10 only