EURAMET project no. 1386 Supplementary comparison in dosimetry — CCRI medium energy x-rays

Technical protocol EURAMET.RI(I)-S15

Pilot laboratory: SSDL of Norwegian radiation Protection Authority (NRPA) Contact person: Hans Bjerke

Contents

1	Object and participants	2
1.1	Object of the comparison	2
1.2	Participants	2
2	Details of the transfer instruments	3
2.1	Traceability of the standards	3
3	Radiation qualities	4
4	Measurement procedure and reference conditions	4
4.1	Measurement procedure	4
4.2	Reference condition.	4
4.3	Comparison of standards	4
5	Course of comparison	5
5.1	Transport and time schedule	5
5.2	Report of results	5
5.3	Evaluation of the results	6
5.4	Publication of results.	6
6	References	6
7	Appendix I: Complete addresses of the participants (used for shipment)	7
8	Appendix II: Template for the SSDLs uncertainty budget	8

1 Object and participants

The SSDLs of Norway (NRPA), Sweden (SSM) and Finland (STUK) together with the PSDL (LNE-LNHB) of France have agreed to perform supplementary comparisons in the medium energy x-ray beams.

This technical protocol produced by the dosimetry laboratories specifies the procedure to be followed for the particular dosimetry comparison.

The purpose of a supplementary comparison is to compare the calibration and measurement capabilities (CMCs) in the participating secondary standard dosimetry laboratories (SSDLs), not to require each participant to adopt precisely the same conditions of measurement [1]. The protocol, therefore, specifies the procedures necessary for the comparison, but not the procedures used in the calibration of the national secondary dosimetry standards being compared.

This protocol covers the procedure for a supplementary comparison EURAMET.RI(I)-S15.

1.1 Object of the comparison

The object of the comparison is to support the ionising radiation CMCs of Norway, Sweden and Finland in the dosimetry branch for the quantity air kerma/rate from the source x-ray 50 kV to 420 kV. The radiation qualities will be taken from the CCRI medium energy x-ray series 100 kV to 250 kV.

The outcome of the comparisons is to validate the calibration practice of the SSDL participants; i.e. to support the CMC claims of the Norwegian, the Swedish and the Finnish SSDLs.

1.2 Participants

In appendix I, you will find complete addresses of the participants.

Table 1 Participants in the comparison

Institute	Country	Contact	e-mail
NRPA (pilot)	Norway	Hans Bjerke Per Otto Hetland	Hans.Bjerke@nrpa.no Per.Otto.Hetland@nrpa.no
SSM	Sweden	Linda Persson	Linda.Persson@ssm.se
STUK	Finland	Jussi Huikari	Jussi.Huikari@stuk.fi
LNE-LNHB	France	Marc Denoziere	Marc.Denoziere@cea.fr

2 Details of the transfer instruments

The low uncertainty is the reason for selecting two chambers for the comparisons. Table 2 outline the information of the chambers for the CCRI medium energy x-ray beams.

Table 2 Technical data of the transfer chambers for CCRI medium energy x-ray beams

Chamber type	NE2611A	IBA FC65-G
Serial number	153	3228
Geometry	thimble	Thimble
Wall material	graphite	Graphite
Wall thickness / g cm ⁻²	0.090	0.068
External diameter / mm	8.36	7.15
Cavity height / mm	9.2	24
Nominal volume / cm ³	0.33	0.65
Reference point (on chamber axis)	6 mm from tip	13 mm from tip
Polarising voltage / V	+300	+300

2.1 Traceability of the standards

Table 1 outline the traceability of the secondary standards at the SSDLs.

Table 3 Traceability of calibrations at the SSDLs

SSDL	CCRI medium
NRPA	BIPM
SSM	BIPM
STUK	BIPM



Figure 1 The transfer standards

Technical protocol EURAMET No 1386 medium energy x-ray

3 Radiation qualities

The radiation quantities used are those recommended by CCRI (CCEMRI 1972) [2] and are given in table 4. The recommended source to chamber distance for CCRI medium energy x-ray beams is 1200 mm. The recommended air kerma rate is 0.5 mGy/s.

Table 4 Characteristics of	f the CCRI re	eference radiation	aualities	for medium	enerav x-rav	, heams
			quantics	joi meaium	chergy x ruy	bcums

Radiation quality	CCRI100	CCRI135	CCRI180	CCRI250
Generating potential / kV	100	135	180	250
Al HVL / mm	4.030	-	-	-
Cu HVL / mm	0.149	0.489	0.977	2.484
K⁄/mGy s⁻¹	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50

4 Measurement procedure and reference conditions

4.1 Measurement procedure

The dosimetry laboratories is expected to ensure that their standard is in perfect working order prior to the comparison and to make all the arrangements for safe transport of the transfer standard.

This comparison uses two stable transfer standards; these will be calibrated at the NRPA prior to the comparison at the SSM, the STUK and the LNE-LNHB and at the NRPA again after the comparison round trip.

4.2 Reference condition.

The calibration coefficients for the transfer chambers should be given in terms of air kerma per charge in units of Gy/C and referred to standard condition of air temperature, pressure and humidity; T = 293.15 K, P = 101.325 kPa and h = 50 %.

4.3 Comparison of standards

The LNE-LNHB will measure the air kerma using the transfer standards in the LNE-LNHB reference beams and compare the results with that measured by the LNE-LNHB standards, the comparison reference value (CRV).

5 Course of comparison

5.1 Transport and time schedule

In general, each participating institute is responsible for its own costs regarding the measurements and transportation to the next institute. The transfer standards will be accompanied with an ATA Carnet when leaving Norway. NRPA will insure the standards.

An aluminium box works as cover for the transfer standards, which are packed together with a complete list of the devices: manufacturer, type, serial number, size, weight and technical data needed for their operation. The information also includes weight and size of the whole package.

All participants will calibrate the transfer standards in their own respective x-ray beams. The NRPA will cover the cost of transportation of the chambers to the STUK and from LNE-LNHB back to the NRPA. The SSM and STUK will cover the cost of transportation to the next institute.

The measurements will start in April 2016 and the last measurements performed in September 2016. Table 5 summarise the proposed schedule of the comparison.

Institute	Measuring period, transport date	Date of chamber leaving next participant
NRPA	Week 10 – 15	15 th April 2016
STUK	Week 16 – 20	20 th May 2016
SMM	Week 21 – 25	24 th June 2016
LNE-LNHB	Week 26 – 30	30 th July 2016
NRPA	Week 33 – 37	

Table 5 Proposed schedule of the comparison

5.2 Report of results

The calibration coefficients of the transfer standards and the associated uncertainties should be given to the Executive secretary of the CCRI within 4 weeks of the comparison measurements. The NRPA will perform two independent calibration before and after the round trip. The standard deviation of these four calibration results will make the stability of each of the two transfer standards in the different x-ray beams.

The measurements for each comparison at the dosimetry laboratories takes normally 5 weeks.

The pre- and post-comparison results from the NRPA should be sent to the Executive secretary of the CCRI within 4 weeks of the comparison measurements, José María Los Arcos <u>jm.losarcos@bipm.org</u>.

The Executive secretary of the CCRI will disclose results to the pilot laboratory (NRPA) and LNE-LNHB once the whole sets of data have been received unless there are major discrepancies.

The report of the results shall contain at least the following information:

- Description of the radiation field (type of x-ray source, field size (50% isodose), HVL and air kerma rate at reference distance.
- Description of the set-up (electrometer type and traceability of calibration)
- Calibration coefficients of the two transfer chambers for the four radiation qualities
- Complete uncertainty budget of the calibration coefficients.

Reporting of the results in a template make the evaluation effective. An Excel form will be distributed to the participants. Reports marked CCRI medium + acronym of the laboratory.

Technical protocol EURAMET No 1386 medium energy x-ray

The uncertainties shall be given in accordance with the ISO Guide to the expression of the uncertainties in measurements (GUM) [3]. Uncertainties are evaluated at a level of one standard uncertainty.

A full uncertainty budget should be provided by the SSDLs, preferably in advance of the comparison. A template for an uncertainty budget is enclosed in appendix II.

The uncertainty budget of the LNE-LNHB should be given in in comprehensive form.

5.3 Evaluation of the results

The evaluation of the results will follow the international recommendations [4, 5, 6]. The pilot laboratory will evaluate the comparison on the base of the results given by the participants. In general, the mean value obtained from measurements will be regarded as the result for each transfer chamber at one radiation quality. The final results will be evaluated as the mean value of the single results obtained for each of the two transfer chambers. The degrees of equivalence relative to the comparison reference value will be computed, even if this is not mandatory.

Preparation of the report will follow the three-stage process: Draft A, Draft B and Final Report. The EURAMET technical committee will approve the report and forward it to the CCRI Executive secretary and the Chair of the CCRI(I) key comparison working group.

5.4 Publication of results.

Report is published in the Key Comparison Database, KCDB [1]. Reports are also available in the Metrologia Technical Supplement, which is published electronically by IOP Publishing on the internet and is freely available by visiting the BIPM web site.

6 References

- 1. The BIPM key comparison database (KCDB) is defined in the text of the CIPM MRA as "the database maintained by the BIPM (Bureau International des Poids et Mesures) which contains Appendices A, B, C and D of the Mutual Recognition Arrangement". <u>http://kcdb.bipm.org/</u>
- Allisy-Roberts P.J., Burns D.T., Kessler C., Measuring conditions and uncertainties for the comparison and calibration of national dosimetric standards at the BIPM, Rapport BIPM-2011/04, 21 pp. <u>http://www.bipm.org/utils/common/pdf/rapportBIPM/2011/04.pdf</u>
- 3. Evaluation of measurement data Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, JCGM 100:2008. <u>http://www.bipm.org/utils/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM 100 2008 E.pdf</u>
- 4. Allisy P.J., Burns D.R., Andreo P., International framework of traceability for radiation dosimetry quantities, Metrologia, 2009, 46(2), S1-S8
- 5. Burns D.T. and Allisy-Roberts P.J. The evaluation of degrees of equivalence in regional dosimetry comparisons. <u>http://www.bipm.org/cc/CCRI(I)/Allowed/18/CCRI(I)07-04.pdf</u>
- 6. Measurement comparisons in the CIPM MRA, CIPM-MRA-D-05 version 1.5 2014. http://www.bipm.org/utils/common/documents/CIPM-MRA/CIPM-MRA-D-05.pdf

7 Appendix I: Complete addresses of the participants (used for shipment)

NRPA / Norway

<u>Postal address:</u> Norwegian Radiation Protection Authority (NRPA) Department of Monitoring and Research Grini Næringspark 13 NO-1336 Østerås Norway

Contact person:Hans BjerkeTel.:+47 67 16 25 75Mobile phone:+47 91 10 85 38e-mail:Hans.Bjerke@nrpa.no

Per Otto Hetland

 Tel.:
 +47 67 16 25 16

 Mobile phone:
 +47 54 44 56 97

 e-mail:
 Per.Otto.Hetland@nrpa.no

CEA SACLAY / France

<u>Postal address:</u> DRT / LIST / LNHB-LMD Bât. 534 – PC 104 Rout de Chateaufort (Départementale 36) F-91191 GIF SUR YVETTE Cedex France

Contact person:Marc DenoziereTel.:+33 1 69 08 14 22Mobile phone:e-mail:Marc.Denoziere@cea.fr

SSM / Sweden

<u>Postal address:</u> Swedish Radiation Safety Authority (SSM) Riksmätplatsen Solna Strandväg 122 171 54 SOLNA Sweden

Contact person:

 Linda Persson

 Tel:
 +46 8 799 41 50

 Mobile phone:
 +46 70 917 66 71

 e-mail:
 Linda.Persson@ssm.se

STUK / Finland

Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK) Radiation Metrology Laboratory <u>Postal address:</u> P.O.Box 14 FI-00881 Helsinki

Street address:

Laippatie 4, FI-00880 Finland

Contact person:

Jussi Huikari Tel: +358 9 759 88605 Mobile phone: e-mail: Jussi.Huikari@stuk.fi

8 Appendix II: Template for the SSDLs uncertainty budget

Air kerma rate	Туре А	Туре В	
	Uncertainty (%)		
1 Reference standard, set-up and radiation field			
Calibration coefficient reported by PSDL			
Long term stability of reference standard			
Spectral difference of SSDL and PSDL	-		
Difference in radial non-uniformity of the beam and field size			
Combined uncertainty of reference standard and setup			
2 Use of reference standard			
Chamber positioning (distance, orientation)			
Current/charge measurement including leakage			
Air temperature correction			
Air pressure correction			
Combined uncertainty in measuring with reference standard	0.00	0.00	
Combined uncertainty in air-kerma determination, K _{std} (1+2)	0.00	0.00	
3 Use of transfer chamber			
Chamber positioning (distance, orientation)			
Current/charge measurement including leakage			
Air temperature correction			
Air pressure correction			
Combined uncertainty in measuring with tranfer chamber	0.00	0.00	
Relative combined standard uncertainty (1+2+3)	0.00	0.00	
Total uncertainty for the air-kerma calibration coefficient, 1σ	0.00		
Correlation in type B uncertainties associated with the primary standards			
Humidity	-		
Physical contants	-		
Combined correlation in the comparison	-	0.00	
Total uncertainty for the comparison, 1σ	0.00		

Technical protocol EURAMET No 1386 medium energy x-ray