

National Institute of Standards and Technology U.S. Department of Commerce

Concepts of Continuous Quantities &

Countable Aggregates and Nomenclature

Chuck Ehrlich Leader, International Legal Metrology Program Office of Weights and Measures Physical Measurement Laboratory

28 March, 2023



Main purposes of this presentation

- What do the VIM and 9th SI Brochure have to say about 'counting'?
- What don't the VIM and 9th SI Brochure have to say about 'counting', but could?
- What might it be a good idea for those documents to have added about counting in the future?



Main purposes of this presentation

- Identify overlaps and differences between concepts of measurement and counting
- Highlight ambiguities associated with different concepts
- To present ideas for developing a rigorous concept system for counting



What do the VIM and 9th SI Brochure have to say about 'counting'?

Not a lot!

- The <u>VIM3</u> has a <u>Note</u> to 'measurement' that "<u>Measurement implies</u> <u>comparison of quantities or counting of entities</u>", which is elaborated somewhat in the VIM4 1CD.
- The <u>9th SI Brochure</u> has one Section (<u>2.3.3</u> "Dimensions of quantities") that discusses "<u>counting quantities</u>", but mostly in the context of <u>'quantity dimensions</u>' and <u>'quantities of unit one</u>'.
- Section <u>5.4.7 of 9th SI Brochure</u>: "Stating quantity values being pure numbers" also addresses "<u>Quantities relating to counting</u>..."



What do the VIM and 9th SI Brochure have to say about 'counting'?

- <u>Neither</u> the VIM nor the SI Brochure <u>define 'counting'</u>.

- Should they?



ISO 704 Terminology Work -- Principles and Methods

Provides details on concept systems

ISO 10241-1 Terminological entries in standards Part 1 General requirements and examples of presentation

Explains how to structure definitions in standards



Acknowledgements and Disclaimer

Richard Davis Luca Mari **Eric Shirley** Peter Blattner **Gunnar Nordin** Stefanie Trapmann **Ryan Fitzgerald** Katrice Lippa Michael Nelson Jan Konijnenburg Katya Delak



Side-by-side comparison of concepts of quantities and aggregates

- (continuous/physical) quantity
- measurement unit
- value of a (continuous) quantity
- measurement

- Countable aggregate / (counting) quantity
- counting unit (?)
- value of a (counting) quantity
- counting
- dimension of a (continuous) quantity
- traceability of a measurement result to the SI
- dimension of a (counting) quantity (?)
- traceability of a counting result to the SI (?)



'(value of a) quantity' from J.C. Maxwell (1873) and J. DeBoer (1994/95)



James Clerk Maxwell Photo credit: Wikipedia *"Every <u>expression</u> of a quantity* consists of two

factors or components.

One of these is the <u>name</u> of a certain known <u>quantity</u> of the same kind of the quantity to be expressed, which is taken as a *standard of reference*.

The other component is the <u>number of times</u> the standard is to be taken in order to make up the required quantity.

The standard quantity is technically called the <u>Unit</u> and the number is called the <u>Numerical Value</u> of the quantity."

This may be expressed in the following notation: physical quantity = numerical value x unit $Q = \{Q\}_{[Q]} \cdot [Q]$



Evolution of definition of 'quantity' in the VIM

<u>VIM1</u> (1984): (measurable) quantity An <u>attribute</u> of a phenomenon, body or substance, ...

<u>VIM2</u> (1993): (measurable) quantity <u>attribute</u> of a phenomenon, body or substance ...

<u>VIM3</u> (2008): quantity

property of a phenomenon, body or substance, where the property has <u>a magnitude</u> that can be expressed as a number and a reference



Some common properties of (physical) objects:

 Spatial extent or separation between points on the surface of the object;

 Heaviness of the object, or resistance to movement when being pushed on;









Some common properties of (physical) objects:

- Shape; well-characterized vs. amorphous;



- Hardness; Resistance to scratching;







Some common properties of (physical) objects:

- Number of entities in an aggregate



aggregate of jellybeans

- Number of entities comprising an object/body



aggregate of silicon atoms



'measurement unit' in the VIM

VIM4 1CD (2021): measurement unit

real scalar <u>individual quantity</u>, defined and adopted by convention, with which any other quantity of the same kind can be compared by ratio, resulting in a number

What is the purpose of the concept of 'measurement unit'?

To provide an agreed upon (amount of) reference for a given kind of quantity, to be used as a means of comparison.



Some basic concepts of measurement:



- Specified property of that object
- Measuring instrument/system
- Measurement unit
- Comparison
- [Measured] value
- [Measurement] uncertainty



Image courtesy of BIPM

light moving a specified distance in a second ...

I_{meter}



'Counting unit' in a concept system for counting?

What would be the purpose of the concept 'counting unit'? To serve as a reference, the same as for continuous quantities. But is that really necessary? Probably Not!



aggregate of discrete entities

Count of entities in aggregate = {(whole?) number} Saying that the counting unit is one is not necessary, and somewhat misleading and confusing!



dimension of a (physical) quantity

VIM4 2CD:

relation of a **quantity** to the **base quantities** of a **system of quantities** as a product of the base quantities each raised to a power, omitting any proportionality factor

The dimension of a quantity Q is denoted by:

dim $Q = T^{\alpha} L^{\beta} M^{\gamma} I^{\delta} \Theta^{\varepsilon} N^{\zeta} J^{\eta}$

where the exponents, named "dimensional exponents", are positive or negative numbers, or zero.

The symbols T, L, M, I, O, N, and J represent the base quantities of the SI, not the base units.

The 'equation' for dim Q is not really a mathematical equation, and so perhaps should rather be written:

 $\label{eq:constraint} dim ~~ \textbf{Q} \rightarrow ~~ \textbf{T}^{\alpha} ~ \textbf{L}^{\beta} ~ \textbf{M}^{\gamma} ~ \textbf{I}^{\delta} ~ \Theta^{\epsilon} ~ \textbf{N}^{\zeta} ~ \textbf{J}^{\eta} ~.$



dimensionless quantity

When all of the dimensional exponents of the base quantities are zero, the dimension of the quantity Q is said to be equal to one, and the quantity is said to be "dimensionless":

dim $\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^0 \mathbf{L}^0 \mathbf{M}^0 \mathbf{I}^0 \mathbf{\Theta}^0 \mathbf{N}^0 \mathbf{J}^0 = 1$

But the symbols T, L, M, I, Θ , N, and J represent the base [kind of] quantities of the SI, and not individual quantities that can have quantity values.

Therefore, raising such a symbol to the power 0 doesn't make sense:

A dimension of a [kind of] quantity is not a numerical entity, and so cannot = 1.

Also, what does this have to do with measurement units or counting units for a given [kind of] quantity ...?



dimension of a quantity (including counting quantity)

The dimension of a quantity Q is denoted by: dim $Q \rightarrow T^{\alpha} L^{\beta} M^{\gamma} I^{\delta} \Theta^{\epsilon} N^{\zeta} J^{\eta}$

Could add the dimension of <u>'count</u>' (symbol "C"): $\dim \ Q \rightarrow \underline{C^{\theta}} T^{\alpha} L^{\beta} M^{\gamma} I^{\delta} \Theta^{\epsilon} N^{\zeta} J^{\eta}$

For example: Then the dimension of a count of pearls along a necklace could be:

dim (pearls along necklace chain) \rightarrow C L⁻¹



Count – number of discrete entities in an aggregate

value of a counting quantity: 100, or 100 · 1, or 100 entities?





What are the basic concepts and complexities surrounding 'counting'?

- How is 'counting' typically defined in dictionaries? Examples:
 - <u>Process</u> of determining the <u>number of elements</u> of a finite <u>set</u> of objects;

- How does the 3rd edition of the VIM define '<u>measurement</u>'?
 - <u>Process</u> of experimentally obtaining one or more <u>quantity values</u> that can reasonably be attributed to a <u>quantity</u>



Summary and Conclusions:

What might be some of the basic concepts of countable aggregates in a rigorous concept system for counting?

- countable aggregate / (counting) quantity
 - counting unit (?)
 - value of a counting quantity
 - counting
 - Dimension of a counting quantity (?)
 - traceability of a count to the SI

- Etc.



Summary and Conclusions:

- <u>'dimension'</u>, <u>'(measurement) unit</u>' and <u>'number</u>' are three very different concepts
- Should the number one (symbol "1") be regarded as a (counting) unit?

- Should the term <u>"unitless quantity</u>" be used rather than "dimensionless quantity", when there is no measurement unit?



Thank you for your attention!



Questions?





chuck @nist.gov