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Part 1: Structures

...the opportunities to get involved at the international level
Two key international agreements

1875: **Metre Convention**
- Established the structures
- Set up a permanent secretariat – the BIPM
- By 2022: 64 Member States, 36 Associate States

1999: **CIPM Mutual Recognition Arrangement**
- Describes how States work together to harmonize measurements
- By 2022: 246 metrology institutes plus 4 international organizations
General Conference on Weights and Measures (CGPM)

- Decision-making body, meets every 4 years
- Attended by political and scientific representatives from Member States and Associate States
- Member States vote on resolutions
- Associate States are observers

International Committee for Weights and Measures (CIPM)

- 18 members, elected by the CGPM
- Coordinates actions to promote world-wide uniformity of measurement
- Oversees the BIPM, including CBKT opportunities and secondments
- Advised by Consultative Committees
Consultative Committee for Ionizing Radiation (CCRI)

**Mission**
Enable all users of ionizing radiation to make measurements with confidence at an accuracy that is fit for purpose

- Founded in 1958
- Institutes recognized as the experts
- Membership approved by CIPM
- Organizes comparisons of primary standards
- Create opportunities to advance the field
- 3 sections plus working groups

Liaison organizations include IAEA, CTBTO, ICRU

ICRU
- Founded 1925
- Develops quantities and units
Regional Metrology Organizations (RMOs)

- Associations of metrology institutes
- Inclusive
- Engage with stakeholders and influence policy
- Share resources
- CBKT opportunities and regional projects
**National Metrology Institutes (NMIs)**
- One per Member or Associate State
- Appointed by government
- Holds national standards (primary or secondary)

**Designated Institutes (DIs)**
- Holds national standards for a particular field
- One per field of measurement
- Appointed by NMI

**Secondary Standard Dosimetry Laboratories**
- Members of a separate network – the IAEA/WHO SSDL network
- May also be a DI or NMI
Summary of the structure

CGPM & CIPM are the governance bodies, supported by the BIPM

Consultative Committees provide expert advice

National Metrology Institutes and Designated Institutes lead the work in their state

Regional Metrology Organizations coordinate the work of NMIs and DIs in their region

The IAEA coordinates a network of Secondary Standards Laboratories
Part 2: How the global system works

...the CIPM Mutual Recognition Arrangement
Equivalence

- For a global system, national standards must be equivalent

- To show equivalence, NMIs and DIos must
  - Compare national standards
  - Have an ISO17025 quality system
  - Have their services peer-reviewed

- The outcome is an approved list of services (Calibration and Measurement Capabilities)

- To give confidence, results from comparisons are also published

- The BIPM maintains the database: the Key Comparison Database
Comparisons of national standards

What is compared?
- The principle techniques - key comparisons
- Other areas or techniques - supplementary comparisons

How are they run?
- Circulate
- Distribute
- One-to-one

Who arranges the comparisons?
- CCRI
- RMO
- BIPM

Who can take part?
- Member States
- Associate States (case-by-case)

What is the value?
- Quantitative ‘degree of equivalence’
- Contribute to international community
- An opportunity for knowledge transfer

Two terms:
- Difference between the result and the (key) comparison reference value
- The uncertainty in the difference (95% level of confidence)
BIPM on-demand comparison services

• Free of charge to member states

• Dosimetry
  • Send or bring your national standard instrument to the BIPM
  • Compare to the BIPM standard, which sets the KCRV

• Radioactivity
  • Send a sample of a radioactivity standard to the BIPM (or arrange a site visit)
  • Compare your result to other NMIs/DIs using high-precision instruments
Figure 1. Degrees of equivalence for each laboratory $i$ with respect to the key comparison reference value. Results to the left are for the ongoing international comparison BIPM.RI(I)-K3, those in the middle section are for the regional comparison APMP.RI(I)-K3 and those to the right are for the regional comparison SIM.RI(I)-K3.
Calibration and Measurement Capabilities (CMCs)

Published CMCs
- Quantity
- Uncertainty
- Range
- Method

Submit service to peer reviews by RMOs
CMC: evidence that the service offered by the NMI or DI (or international organization) is linked to the international measurement system.

Secondary standard laboratory

Tertiary laboratory / clinic / end user

An unbroken chain of calibrations with stated uncertainties
Comparison exercises, peer review and ISO17025 show equivalence of national measurement standards.

NMI and DI services are listed as CMCs in the KCDB.

Calibration certificates show traceability.
Part 3: The bigger picture
Quality infrastructure – the four elements

- **Instructions**
  - Consistent measurement quantities and units
  - Written guidance on best practice

- **Ensuring instructions are used**
  - Independent assessment of compliance
  - Enforcement of compliance
Quality infrastructure – the four elements

Instructions

Ensuring instructions are used
A simple example

**Legal:** Ionizing radiations regulations say that the monitor must be calibrated once a year.

**Guidance:** ISO7503 and the IAEA documents explain how to calibrate the instrument.

**Traceability:** Reference sources are made and calibrated to ISO8769, and are traceable to Class 1 standards held by NMIs/DIs.

**Equivalence:** NMIs compare their standards and publish their capabilities on the KCDB.

**Organized by the CCRI or RMOs**

Using quantities and units agreed by the CGPM.
Summary
Opportunities to get involved

- Attend CBKT events
- Attend RMO meetings
- Contribute to research projects
- Participate in comparisons
- Champion your quality system
- Submit CMC claims
- Seek secondments to the BIPM
- If eligible, contribute to CCRI committees
- Contribute to the development of ISO standards and other guidance
- Audit other laboratories
- etc etc

Supported by the BIPM and the IAEA and all held together by a common quality standard – ISO17025
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