

# **Measurement of X-ray machine spectra**

CCRI webinar, 31.5.2022 Joonas Tikkanen Note: X-ray spectrometry can also refer to measurement of characteristic radiation in material analysis (for example X-ray fluorescence, XRF)



- Photon fluence/flux spectrum: how many photons at each energy
  - Energy distribution of photons
- The fluence spectra from an X-ray machine can be measured, but not "directly"
  - Spectra measured with a spectrometer require further processing





3

### Applications

Calibration of radiation protection dosimeters
 (operational quantities)





### Applications

- Calibration of radiation protection dosimeters
  (operational quantities)
  - Dose equivalent from air-kerma by using a conversion coefficient (radiation-quality specific)
  - Low X-ray tube voltages: the coefficients need to be determined from experimental fluence spectra





### Applications

- Calibration of radiation protection dosimeters (operational quantities)
  - Dose equivalent from air-kerma by using a conversion coefficient (radiation-quality specific)
  - Low X-ray tube voltages: the coefficients need to be determined from experimental fluence spectra
- Attenuation in air
  - Corrections for free-air-chambers
  - Correction to NTP conditions for low energies
- Detector development, research...



### Spectrometry: Most information about the radiation

- What energies the photons hitting the chamber/detector have



### **Steps in determining fluence spectra**

1.Measurement of uncorrected spectra

2.Monte Carlo modelling of the spectrometer

**3.Response simulations** 

4. Unfolding procedure



# **X-ray generation and spectrum**

- Electrons accelerated in an electric field and collided with the anode
  - Electron kinetic energy  $E_{kin} = Ve$ , where V is the tube voltage and e electron charge
- Photon production through Bremsstrahlung, photon energies between 0 and  $E_{kin}$
- Excitation of inner shell electrons in the anode
  - Characteristic X-rays
  - Only if  $E_{kin}$  is higher than the ionization energy of the shell
  - For tungsten, K-edge at approximately 70 keV
- Attenuation in the anode, tube window and filters





## **X-ray generation and spectrum**

- A: Generated photons
- B: photon spectrum emitted from the anode
- C, D: Spectrum after attenuation in filters



F H Attix, Introduction to radiological physics and radiation dosimetry, 1986









SAFETY AUTHORITY

12

### **Semiconductor detector materials**

- Germanium
  - Good photon absorption
  - Best energy resolution
  - Large crystal sizes possible
  - Has to be cooled down
    - Liquid nitrogen (77 K) or electric cooling
- Cadmium Telluride (CdTe)
  - High Z material, very good photon absorption
  - Can be used in room temperatures
  - Good energy resolution
  - Problems with higher energies
  - Difficult material, available in relatively small sizes





### **Semiconductor detectors and spectrometry**

- Photon interaction inside a semiconductor
  - Secondary electron
  - Secondary electron excites more electrons from valence band to conduction band → electron-hole pairs
  - Electric field collects the charge  $\rightarrow$  charge pulse
- Energy needed to create one electron-hole pair is independent of the photon energy (2.96 eV for Ge)
   → Charge is proportional to the energy imparted by the photon
- Photoelectric interaction: photon energy from the size of the charge pulse







• Monoenergetic photon source





E

- Monoenergetic photon source
- Photoelectric absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak





- Monoenergetic photon source
- Photoelectric absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak
- Compton scattering  $\rightarrow$  Compton continuum







- Monoenergetic photon source
- Photoelectric absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak
- Compton scattering  $\rightarrow$  Compton continuum
  - Scatter and absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak





X-ray



- Photoelectric absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak
- Compton scattering → Compton continuum
  - Scatter and absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak
- X-ray escape peak







- Photoelectric absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak
- Compton scattering → Compton continuum
  - Scatter and absorption  $\rightarrow$  photopeak
- X-ray escape peak







### **Steps in determining fluence spectra**

# 1.Measurement of uncorrected spectra

2.Monte Carlo modelling of the spectrometer

**3.Response simulations** 

4. Unfolding procedure



# **Energy calibration**

- Radionuclide sources: Photons with accurately known energies
- Bin number of spectrum calibrated into energy by determining the center bin of a peak
- Multiple peaks, multiple calibration points
  - Linear, or second order polynomial fit
  - Second order more precise, but calibration curve very close to linear





# X-ray spectrum measurements

• High fluence  $\rightarrow$  collimation





# X-ray spectrum measurements

• High fluence  $\rightarrow$  collimation









Stuk radiation and nuclear safety authority

### **Measured (uncorrected) spectrum**





### **Measured (uncorrected) spectrum**





### **Steps in determining fluence spectra**

1.Measurement of uncorrected spectra

# 2.Monte Carlo modelling of the spectrometer

**3.Response simulations** 

4. Unfolding procedure



# **Monte Carlo modelling**

- Accurate model of the spectrometer
  - Manufacturer data, X-ray images
  - The front of the detector most important
    - Window, electric contact, dead layer
- Validation of the model with radionuclide source spectrum measurements
  - Detection efficiency (how many of the emitted photons are detected in the photopeak), and spectrum shape comparison
  - Interest in low energies: Co-57, Am-241, Fe-55...
  - Simulation in the same geometry as the measurements





## **Monte Carlo modelling**

- Penelope, EGSnrc (egs\_phd, DOSRZ), Geant4, MCNP
- Simulations for validation of the model can be run easily on a laptop
- CdTe: radiation transport MC might not be sufficient for comparison to measured spectra



### **Steps in determining fluence spectra**

1.Measurement of uncorrected spectra

2.Monte Carlo modelling of the spectrometer

# 3. Response simulations

4. Unfolding procedure



### **Detector response simulations**

- Simulation of the detected spectrum shape in the whole energy range
- Mono-directional, mono-energetic (parallel beam) source
- Source energy from 0 to E<sub>max</sub> in constant intervals
  - For example, 1 keV intervals: simulation with energies 0.5 keV, 1.5 keV, 2.5 keV... up to some maximum energy
  - Our calculations: 0.2 keV intervals
- Response of the detector for photons in the X-ray measurement geometry with energy of each bin of the measured spectrum







### **Detector response simulations**

- Electron transport has an effect also at low energies
- Simulations run, and results collected automatically with a script
- Results can be gathered into a **response-matrix** 
  - Spectrum form each simulation fills one column of the matrix
  - In order from smallest to largest source energy
  - Separate matrix for the simulation uncertainties
- Table-top computer works, laptop not preferable





### **Measured (uncorrected) spectrum**





### **Steps in determining fluence spectra**

1.Measurement of uncorrected spectra

2.Monte Carlo modelling of the spectrometer

3. Response simulations

4. Unfolding procedure



Method 1: inverse response-matrix method

- $s = \mathbf{M}\phi \Rightarrow \phi = \mathbf{M}^{-1}s$
- s is the measured spectrum, φ the fluence spectrum and
  M the response-matrix collected from the response simulations
- Software must be able to do the matrix inversion



Method 2: peeling method





Method 2: peeling method





Method 2: peeling method





Method 2: peeling method





Method 2: peeling method

- Highest bin: only full absorption events → Subtract "extra" pulses (Compton and X-ray escape) from lower bins
- Second highest bin: no Compton pulses after the first subtraction → Subtract extra pulses from lower bins





Method 2: peeling method

- Highest bin: only full absorption events → Subtract "extra" pulses (Compton and X-ray escape) from lower bins
- Second highest bin: no Compton pulses after the first subtraction → Subtract extra pulses from lower bins
- Third highest bin...
- Continue through the whole spectrum
- Spectrum with full energy absorption events only  $(s_{corr})$





Method 2: peeling method

- Highest bin: only full absorption events → Subtract "extra" pulses (Compton and X-ray escape) from lower bins
- Second highest bin: no Compton pulses after the first subtraction → Subtract extra pulses from lower bins
- Third highest bin...
- Continue through the whole spectrum
- Spectrum with full energy absorption events only  $(s_{corr})$





- Full-energy peak-efficiency (FEPE, ε): what portion of the photons are detected in the photopeak
- Fluence spectrum is obtained from the corrected spectrum with  $\varepsilon$  and the collimator hole area *A*:

$$\phi(E) = \frac{s_{corr}(E)}{A\varepsilon(E)}$$

• FEPE is defined for photons coming through the collimator hole, and hence is quite high



















# Applications

[Esitys, Esittäjän nimi] 48 31.5.2022

### **Tube voltage**

- Maximum energy of photons with tube voltage V is  $E_{max} = E_{kin} = Ve$
- Tube voltage obtained from the edge of the spectrum  $\left(V = \frac{E_{max}}{e}\right)$
- Not considered accurate enough for ISO 4037 at low voltages





## Mean energy and spectrum width

- $E_{mean} = \sum s_i E_i / \sum s_i$ 
  - Weighed mean, the weights are the counts in each channel
- Width
  - Find the half value of the maximum on rising and falling edge
  - The width (full-width at half maximum, FWHM) is the difference in energy between these points





### Air-kerma

- Air-kerma used mainly for further calculations
- For monoenergetic photons  $K_a = \phi E \mu_{en}(E)$ 
  - $\mu_{en}(E)$  is the mass-energy attenuation coefficient with photon energy *E*
  - Air-kerma calculated for each bin: <u>air-kerma spectrum</u>
- Spectrum: sum over the air kerma in each channel
  - $K_a = \int \phi E \mu_{en}(E) dE$
  - Or in practice:  $K_a = \sum_i \phi_i E_i \mu_{en}(E_i)$







- HVL can be solved numerically with the air-kerma spectrum
- Give an initial guess for the HVL
- Attenuate the spectrum in each bin
- Calculate air-kerma for the attenuated spectrum and compare the air-kerma to the original
  - If the ratio is more than half, increase the guessed width
  - If less than half, decrease the width
- Continue until the ratio is close enough to 0.5
- HVLs from spectrometry are slightly smaller than from dosimetric measurements



### **Dose equivalent and conversion coefficients**

- $H_{\rm p}(10), H_{\rm p}(3), H_{\rm p}(0,07), H^{*}(10)...$
- Monoenergetic photons:  $H = K_a h_E$ 
  - $h_E$  is the monoenergic conversion coefficient for energy *E* (given in ISO 4037-3)
- Calculate dose equivalent in each bin  $(H_i)$ , and  $H = \sum H_i$
- Conversion coefficient from air-kerma to dose-equivalent for a radiation quality:  $h = \frac{H}{K_a}$
- Similar procedure works also for effective dose from ICRP116



## Conclusions

- Spectrometry answers question: what is coming out of the tube?
- Allows determination of multiple quantities for your spectra
  - Same methods can be applied to sealed radionuclide sources, or other sources with continuous spectrum
- Research, detector development...
- Establishing the method is not straightforward
  - Requires (learning) a wide range of skills: gamma-ray spectrometry, pulse processing, dosimetry, Monte Carlo, scripting...
    - Support from radioactivity/gamma lab helps a lot
  - Pulling all this off requires resources



### Conclusions

- EURAMET Mentoring scheme award (MSA): Collaboration with ENEA on X-ray spectrometry
- Goal: Publish material (MC models, scripts, documentation) as open source
- Make spectrometry easier for others!









Could simulated spectra be used instead of measured ones?

