Uncertainty quantification from the viewpoint of the ocean community

Christoph Waldmann, MARUM, University of Bremen, Germany Member of the WMO Expert Team on Measurement Uncertainties

Joint WMO-IOC Collaborative Board

Based on the recommendations of the Joint WMO-IOC Consultation Group on the Reform of <u>JCOMM</u>, Congress 18, through Resolution 9 (Cg-18), and the 30th IOC Assembly, through Resolution XXX-2, established the

Joint WMO-IOC Collaborative Board (2019)







Ocean Science

is

- mainly driven by observations
- characterised by a significant number and diversity of parameters (ECVs or EOVs) to address the multidisciplinary aspects of the domain
- typically organized in **networks** that have their own research agenda and **methodological approaches**

Consequence

No unified approach exists towards **metrology of ocean measurements**





Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission **RESOURCES, MEETINGS, DOCUMENTS, PEOPLE**



Main in situ Elements of the Global Ocean Observing System

January 2020

Profiling Floats (Argo) Ship based Measurements (SOT) **Other Networks** Data Buoys (DBCP) Timeseries (OceanSITES) Core (3983) Surface Drifters (1460) Interdisciplinary Moorings (309) Automated Weather Stations (250) HF Radars (270) Offshore Platforms (94) Repeated Hydrography (GO-SHIP) Manned Weather Stations (1269) Animal Borne Sensors (53) Deep (136) BioGeoChemical (387) Research Vessel Lines (63) Radiosondes (12) Ice Buoys (31) Moored Buoys (325) Sea Level (GLOSS) eXpendable BathyThermographs (32) Tide Gauges (290) Tsunameters (31)

marum





Generated by www.icommops.org. 06/02/2020

Resource for Sharing Experiences - Ocean Best **Practices Portal**







Dedicated Conferences and Meetings







In Ocean Sciences

Metrology is often connected with certain Keywords

- Lab calibrations
- intercomparison exercises
- reference standards
- data quality flags
- EOV (essential ocean variables)



However, Metrology is NOT JUST ABOUT CALIBRATION !





Ocean measurements have a transient character



Universität Bremen



$Measured_Value(t) = Signal(t) + Noise(t) + Bias + ... \\Uncertainty$

Issue of

- separating signal from noise
- or differently
- what has to be seen as signal or

noise









Since <u>1/f noise</u> is close to non-stationarity, statistical analyses have to applied carefully because **the mean** cannot be estimated using averages in finite time intervals

Cited from Blender, Zhu, Fraedrich 2011





Effective Sample Size



Uncertainty is actually higher than expected as samples cannot be be treated as independent

With a sample size of 30 and the shown **autocorrelation** an **effective sample size** of 4 results





Representation "Error" /Uncertainty

A measurement at a certain spot can represent a local or regional feature or a global trend

marum







Representation "Error" /Uncertainty

Velocity- area (VA) method (HUG, 2020) y(j+1) j=0 y(j-1) j=1 j=1j

US-CLIVAR In initiative on Uncertainty Qunatification

Elipot, S., K. Drushka, A. Subramanian, and M. Patterson (2022), Overcoming the challenges of ocean data uncertainty, *Eos, 103*, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022EO220021</u>. Published on 12 January 2022





Conclusions

Uncertainty is a necessary specification for a field measurement. It is not just based on instrument specifications like accuracy.

Concepts have to be considered that combines modelling (process specifics at the measuring location) with observational concepts to quantify uncertainties

Often misunderstood - Metrology is not just the evaluation of deviations from the reference values during calibrations

Templates for uncertainty quantifications have to be developed based on use cases



