

Final report of the new BIPM comparison BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Tb-161 of activity measurements of the radionuclide ^{161}Tb including the 2019 result of the IRA (Switzerland)

C. Michotte¹, S. Courte¹, M. Nonis¹, R. Coulon¹, S. Judge¹, F. Juget², Y. Nedjadi², M. T. Durán²

¹ Bureau International des Poids et Mesures, Pavillon de Breteuil, F-92312 Sèvres Cedex, France.

² Institut de Radiophysique (IRA), Lausanne, Switzerland.

E-mail: cmichotte@bipm.org

Abstract In 2019, the IRA (Switzerland) has submitted a sample of ^{161}Tb to the International Reference System (SIR) for activity comparison at the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM), with comparison identifier BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Tb-161. As IRA is the first participant in the comparison no key comparison reference value (KCRV) and no degrees of equivalence can be calculated.

1. Introduction

The SIR for activity measurements of γ -ray-emitting radionuclides was established in 1976. Each national metrology institute (NMI) may request a standard ampoule from the BIPM that is then filled with 3.6 g of the radioactive solution. For radioactive gases, a different standard ampoule is used. Each NMI completes a submission form that details the standardization method used to determine the absolute activity of the radionuclide and the full uncertainty budget for the evaluation. The ampoules are sent to the BIPM where they are compared with standard sources of ^{226}Ra using pressurized ionization chambers. Details of the SIR method, experimental set-up and the determination of the equivalent activity A_e , are all given in [1].

From its inception until 31 December 2019, the SIR has been used to measure 1016 ampoules to give 771 independent results for 72 different radionuclides. The SIR makes it possible for national laboratories to check the reliability of their activity measurements at any time. This is achieved by the determination of the equivalent activity of the radionuclide and by comparison of the result with the key comparison reference value determined from the results of primary standardizations. These comparisons are described as BIPM continuous comparisons and the results form the basis of the BIPM

key comparison database (KCDB) of the Comité International des Poids et Mesures Mutual Recognition Arrangement (CIPM MRA) [2]. The comparison described in this report is known as the BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Tb-161 key comparison.

2. Participants

Laboratory details are given in Table 1. The dates of measurement in the SIR given in Table 1 are used in the KCDB and all references in this report.

Table 1: Details of the participants in the BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Tb-161.

NMI or laboratory	Previous acronyms	Full name	Country	RMO	Date of SIR measurement yyyy-mm-dd
IRA	IER	Institut de Radiophysique	Switzerland	EURAMET	2019-08-29

3. NMI standardization methods

Each NMI that submits ampoules to the SIR has measured the activity either by a primary standardization method or by using a secondary method, for example a calibrated ionization chamber. In the latter case, the traceability of the calibration needs to be clearly identified to ensure that appropriate correlations are taken into account.

A brief description of the standardization methods used by the laboratories, the activities submitted, the relative standard uncertainties and the half-life used by the participants are given in Table 2. The uncertainty budget for the new submission is given in [Appendix A](#) attached to this report. The list of acronyms used to summarize the methods is given in [Appendix B](#).

The half-life used by the BIPM is 6.953(2) days as published in M. T. Durán et al. [3].

Table 2: Standardization methods of the participants for ^{161}Tb .

NMI or laboratory	Method used and the acronym	Activity A_i/kBq	Relative standard uncertainty / 10^{-2}		Reference date yyyy-mm-dd	Half-life /d
			A	B		
IRA	$4\pi\beta\text{-}\gamma$ coincidence (4P-PS-PO-CB-GR-CO & 4P-LS-PO-CB-GR-CO) ^a	61 970	0.16	0.56	2019-08-22 12:00 UT	6.955(2)

^a The activity is the mean of 25 efficiency extrapolated activities obtained with two coincidence techniques, 8 sources, from 2 dilutions, and 3 γ settings. The degrees of freedom of the twenty five efficiency extrapolations range from 40 to 77.

Details regarding the solutions submitted are shown in Table 3, including any impurities, when present, as identified by the laboratories. When given, the standard uncertainties on the evaluations are shown.

Table 3: Details of each solution of ^{161}Tb submitted.

NMI or laboratory	Chemical composition	Solvent conc.	Carrier conc.	Density	Relative activity of any impurity ^a
/ SIR year		/(mol dm ⁻³)	/($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	/(g cm ⁻³)	
IRA 2019	Tb ³⁺ in HCl	0.1	25	1.000(6)	160Tb: 4.44(22)x10 ⁻³ %

^a the ratio of the activity of the impurity to the activity of ^{161}Tb at the reference date

4. Results

All the submissions to the SIR since its inception in 1976 are maintained in a database known as the "master-file". The activity measurements for ^{161}Tb now have 1 ampoule in total. The SIR equivalent activity, A_{ei} , for each ampoule received from each NMI, i , including both previous and new results, is given in Table 4.

The relative standard uncertainties arising from the measurements in the SIR are also shown. This uncertainty is additional to that declared by the NMI ($u(A_i)$) for the activity measurement shown in Table 2. Although submitted activities are compared with a given source of ^{226}Ra , all the SIR results are normalized to the radium source number 5 [1]. The SIR impurity correction for the measurement of IRA (2019) ampoule amounts to 1.0100(5).

No recent submission has been identified as a pilot study so the most recent result of each NMI is normally eligible for inclusion on the KCDB platform of the CIPM MRA [2].

Table 4: Results of SIR measurement of ^{161}Tb .

NMI or laboratory	m_i	A_i	^{226}Ra source	A_{ei}	Relative uncert. from SIR	u_{ci}	A_{ei} for KCRV
/ SIR year	/g	/kBq		/MBq	/10 ⁻⁴	/MBq	/MBq
IRA 2019	3.642 43(21)	61 970	1	1708	16	10	1 708(10)

4.1. The key comparison reference value

As there is only one participant in the comparison, no key comparison reference value (KCRV) can be calculated. However, the result can be compared with the estimation of 1771(45) MBq obtained using the SIRIC efficiency curve of the SIR [4].

4.2. Degrees of equivalence

As there is only one participant in the comparison, no degrees of equivalence can be calculated.

5. Conclusion

The BIPM continuous key comparison for ^{161}Tb , BIPM.RI(II)-K1.Tb-161, comprises now 1 result. No KCRV and no degrees of equivalence can be calculated. Other results may be added when other NMIs contribute ^{161}Tb activity measurements to this comparison or take part in other linked comparisons.

6. References

- [1] Ratel, G. The Système International de Référence and its application in key comparisons, *Metrologia*, 2007, **44**(4), S7-S16.
- [2] CIPM MRA: *Mutual recognition of national measurement standards and of calibration and measurement certificates issued by national metrology institutes*, International Committee for Weights and Measures, 1999, pp. 45.
- [3] M. T. Durán, F. Juget, Y; Nejadi, F. Bochud, P. V. Grundler, N. Gracheva, C. Müller, Z. Talip, N. P. van der Meulen, C. Bailat, Determination of ^{161}Tb half-life by three measurement methods, *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 159, 2020.
- [4] Cox M.G., Michotte C., Pearce A.K., Measurement modelling of the International Reference System (SIR) for gamma-emitting radionuclides, 2007, *Monographie BIPM-7*, 48 pp.

Appendix A. Uncertainty budgets for the activity of ^{161}Tb submitted to the SIR

Detailed Uncertainty Budget

Laboratory : __ *IRA-METAS* __ ; Radionuclide : ¹⁶¹Tb ; Ampoule number : *MI61Tb3A4* .

*Uncertainty components**, in % of the activity concentration, due to

Type-B uncertainties	Value in %	Comment
Background	0.04	$\Delta B_\gamma / R_{\gamma \min}$ where ΔB_γ the maximum dispersion of the γ -background rate during the campaign, while $R_{\gamma \min}$ is the smallest γ -countrate measured at the two gamma settings
Half-life	0.12	Maximum value of the propagation of the half-life uncertainty to the decay correction factors (latest measurements)
Dead-time	0.07	$\Delta \tau \times \rho_\beta$ where $\Delta \tau$ is the uncertainty of the deadtime and ρ_β is a typical true beta countrate for the campaign
Resolving time	0.01	$(\Delta \tau_R / \tau_R) \cdot (\rho_{acc} / \rho_{cmax})$ where $\Delta \tau_R / \tau_R$ is the relative standard uncertainty of the resolving time and ρ_{acc} is the accidental coincidence countrate, while ρ_{cmax} is the largest measured true coincidence countrate
Timing	0.002	Worst case time base error
Weighing	0.08	$\Delta m / m$ for the lightest source of the whole set used
Dilution factor	0.01	
Impurity	0.01	Propagation of the impurity activity ratio uncertainty on the activity concentration
Combined type-B	0.16	
Type-A uncertainties		
Extrapolation of efficiency curve	0.27	Typical relative standard deviation of an intercept obtained by Monte Carlo fits in which $(1 - \epsilon_\beta) / \epsilon_\beta$ and $\rho_\beta \rho_\gamma / \rho_c$ are varied stochastically 10^4 times within their distributions assumed to be Gaussian
Counting	0.10	Statistical standard deviation of the mean of $\rho_\beta \rho_\gamma / \rho_c$ observed during repeated counting of sources
Reproducibility	0.48	Relative standard deviation of 25 efficiency extrapolated activities obtained with 2 techniques, 8 sources from 2 dilutions, and three gamma settings
Combined type-A	0.56	
Quadratic sum of type-A and type-B uncertainties	0.58	

* The uncertainty components are to be considered as approximations of the corresponding standard deviations (see also *Metrologia*, 1981, **17**, 73 and *Guide to expression of uncertainty in measurement*, ISO, corrected and reprinted 1995).

Appendix B. Acronyms used to identify different measurement methods

Each acronym has six components, geometry-detector (1)-radiation (1)-detector (2)-radiation (2)-mode. When a component is unknown, ?? is used and when it is not applicable 00 is used.

Geometry	acronym	Detector	acronym
4π	4P	proportional counter	PC
defined solid angle	SA	press. Prop. Counter	PP
2π	2P	liquid scintillation counting	LS
undefined solid angle	UA	NaI(Tl)	NA
		Ge(HP)	GH
		Ge(Li)	GL
		Si(Li)	SL
		CsI(Tl)	CS
		ionization chamber	IC
		grid ionization chamber	GC
		Cerenkov detector	CD
		calorimeter	CA
		solid plastic scintillator	SP
		PIPS detector	PS
		CeBr3	CB

Radiation	acronym	Mode	acronym
positron	PO	efficiency tracing	ET
beta particle	BP	internal gas counting	IG
Auger electron	AE	CIEMAT/NIST	CN
conversion electron	CE	sum counting	SC
mixed electrons	ME	coincidence	CO
bremsstrahlung	BS	anti-coincidence	AC
gamma rays	GR	coincidence counting with efficiency tracing	CT
x-rays	XR	anti-coincidence counting with efficiency tracing	AT
photons ($x + \gamma$)	PH	triple-to-double coincidence ratio counting	TD
photons + electrons	PE	selective sampling	SS
alpha particle	AP	high efficiency	HE
mixture of various radiation	MX	digital coincidence counting	DC

Examples of methods	acronym
$4\pi(\text{PC})\beta\text{-}\gamma$ coincidence counting	4P-PC-BP-NA-GR-CO
$4\pi(\text{PPC})\beta\text{-}\gamma$ coincidence counting eff. trac	4P-PP-MX-NA-GR-CT
defined solid angle α -particle counting with a PIPS detector	SA-PS-AP-00-00-00
$4\pi(\text{PPC})\text{AX-}\gamma(\text{GeHP})\text{-}$ anticoincidence counting	4P-PP-MX-GH-GR-AC
$4\pi\text{CsI-}\beta,\text{AX},\gamma$ counting	4P-CS-MX-00-00-HE
calibrated IC	4P-IC-GR-00-00-00
internal gas counting	4P-PC-BP-00-00-IG