Understanding and Implementing Commutability

Greg Miller Virginia Commonwealth University Richmond, Virginia greg.miller@vcuhealth.org

JCTLM Members' and Stakeholders' Meeting December 2-3, 2019

Commutability

Property of a reference material such that the same amount of measurand in the reference material and in patients' samples produces the same measurement response in different measuring systems.

i.e. acceptable closeness of agreement between the results for the reference material and the patients' samples from two (or more) measuring systems.





RM and CS results have a different relationship between measurement procedures

Non-Commutable Calibrator



Why would anyone use a non-commutable calibrator?

- 1. Regulations require metrological traceability to higher order references
 - e.g. EU Directive 98/79/EC in 1998
 - No specification regarding suitable for use

Why would anyone use a non-commutable calibrator?

- 2. Some matrix-based CRMs are non-commutable
 - Intended use is not always clear in the certificate
 - Many CRMs were never assessed for commutability
 - JCTLM lists matrix-based CRMs of unknown commutability
 - WHO operates independently







Commutability is important for:

Matrix-based CRMs used as calibrators

EQA materials used to assess harmonization/standardization



Adapted from CLSI EP30-A (used with permission)



Adapted from CLSI EP30-A (used with permission)



Modified from CLSI EP30-A (used with permission)

IFCC Working Group on Commutability

Recommendations for assessing commutability:

AACC

Part 1: general experimental design; *Clin Chem* 2018;64:447-54

Part 2: using the difference in bias between a reference material and clinical samples; *Clin Chem* 2018;64:455-64

Part 3: using the calibration effectiveness of a reference material; *Clin Chem* 2018;64:465-74

Part 1: general experimental design

Measurement procedures must be qualified for inclusion in a commutability assessment

- 1. Adequate calibration model over the measuring interval
 - **o** Good correlation between measurement procedures for clinical samples
- 2. Adequate selectivity for the measurand
 - **o** Small error component from sample specific influences
- 3. Adequate precision



Measurement procedure improvement may be a prerequisite for inclusion in a commutability assessment

Clinical samples must be qualified as suitable for use in a commutability assessment

- 1. Should not contain unusual interfering substances or analyte forms that will influence most measurement procedures
- 2. Must cover the concentrations of the RM(s)
- 3. Individual samples are preferred
- 4. Pooled samples may be needed to meet volume requirements
 pooling must be validated
- 5. Preparation and storage conditions must be validated

Criterion for commutability is based on medical use requirements

Criterion is the same for all measurement procedures in the commutability assessment

Criterion is a fraction of the uncertainty required for a reference material's intended use related to the analytical performance specification for patient sample results



Part 2: assessment by difference in bias

Difference in Bias













Evaluate all combinations of pairs of measurement procedures



Mean Concentration (x+z)/2

Conclusion for all combinations of pairs of measurement procedures



Simpler experiment when a RMP is available





Part 3: assessment by calibration effectiveness

* only applicable for RMs used as calibrators *



Clinical Samples



Initial status of calibration



Recalibration with RM



Part 4: correction for non-commutability bias

Submitted for publication

Non-Commutable CRM as Calibrator



How to determine a correction for non-commutability bias

Comparator MP CRM commutable IVD-MD CRM non-commutable

- Another MP for which the CRM is commutable for use as a calibrator
- RMP if available









CORRECTION derived from difference in bias for all CS-CRM pairs







Commutable is a conclusion from the difference in bias



Difference in bias must be stable within acceptable uncertainty limits



Stability considerations

- 1. Measurand in the CRM
- 2. Matrix of the CRM
- 3. Measurement procedure
 - a) Reagent lot changes
 - b) Calibrator lot changes
 - c) Changes in instrument performance

Stability is not related to the magnitude of the difference in bias



A change in the difference in bias over time

- Could make a commutable decision become non-commutable
- Could make a non-commutable decision become commutable
- Could change the magnitude of a correction for noncommutability bias

ISO/IEC 17025:2017 recommends to periodically reverify metrological traceability of the calibration hierarchy

- reverification should include the commutability of a matrixbased CRM
- NOT CURRENT PRACTICE
- A role for surveillance schemes, EQA, other?

Summary: Commutability

- 1. Property of a matrix-based reference material
- 2. Required for matrix-based CRMs used in the calibration hierarchies of end-user measuring systems
 - ✓ Correction for non-commutability bias of a CRM is possible
- 3. Required for EQA samples intended to access trueness or harmonization among different measuring systems