

Task Force on the Roadmap for the redefinition of second

Subgroup C: Time and frequency dissemination and time scales

Davide Calonico, INRiM - d.calonico@inrim.it Tetsuya Ido, NICT - ido@nict.go.jp

CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE FOR TIME AND FREQUENCY Chairs:

Davide **CALONICO**, INRiM, chair WG-ATFT Tetsuya **IDO**, NICT

Executive Secretary: Gianna **PANFILO**, BIPM

Membership

Members:

- 1. Pascale **DEFRAIGNE**, Belgium Observatory, chair WG-GNSS
- 2. Marina **GERTSVOLF**, NRC, chair of WG-TAI
- 3. Yuko HANADO, NICT, chair WG-ALGO
- 4. Victor **ZHANG**, NIST, chair WG-TWSTFT
- 5. Elizabeth DONLEY, NIST
- 6. James HANSSEN, USNO
- 7. Kun **LIANG**, NIM
- 8. Alexandr MALIMON, VNIIFTRI
- 9. Helen MARGOLIS, NPL
- 10. Gerard **PETIT**, BIPM
- 11. Paul Eric **POTTIE**, Paris Observatory
- 12. Harald SCHNATZ, PTB
- 13. Mike WOUTERS, NMIA

External Experts:

Neil **ASHBY**, NIST

Membership



Membership/inclusivity



www.bipm.org

Aims

 To prepare a detailed roadmap of the redefinition of the second for the CGPM 2022 identifying the intermediate objectives and open issues, based on information gathering and critical assessment.

Fundamental Questions to be answered:

- 1. What are the criteria for the redefinition? the current road map and other possibilities?
 - a. class of criteria: scientific, organisational, universal acceptability
 - b. are NMIs ready?
- 2. What is our capacity to compare and disseminate a realization of the second at 10⁻¹⁸?
 - a. Status and envisaged evolution of T/F transfer techniques
 - b. What are the limits in computing the gravity potential at 10⁻¹⁸
- 3. What is our capacity to include optical standards in the realization of a time scale?
 - a. Role of "optical" and "microwave" PSFS in UTC(k) and UTC
- 4. Which other **open questions** that require an answer to decide on the redefinition?

- A. Capacity to compare and disseminate optical standards at 1e-18
- B. Capacity to compute the gravity potential at 1e-18
- c. Capacity to include optical standards in the realization of a time scale

Compare and disseminate optical standards at 1e-18



Compare and disseminate optical standards at 1e-18

- WG-GNSS, WG-TWSTFT, and WG-ATFT represented in TF-subC
- Survey of activities relevant to optical standards comparisons and dissemination
- State of the art and publications

Discussion shall address

- The techniques that the community relies upon for T/F primary metrology
- The techniques available for achieving 1e-18 uncertainty in the frequency links
- The techniques not yet fully demonstrated in T/F but potentially useful
- Institutions and groups that work/plan to work on these topics
- o What the community needs routinely, what is needed in special cases

Challenges /1

- Presently, only fibre links seem to be suited for remote comparisons at 1e-18 level
- Presently, only satellite techniques offer intercontinental comparisons, but not at the target uncertainty level
- Unavailability of T/F links with uncertainties < 5x10⁻¹⁸ in frequency at national / continental / worldwide scale to compare optical clocks
- Robustness and performances of transportable optical frequency standards
- Other techniques that are potentially useful: free space optical transfer, TW-CP, VLBI techniques....
- Need for wider campaigns of comparison of optical clocks in different institutes at few parts in 1e18 level either by transportable clocks, advanced links, or frequency ratio closures.
- T/F link robustness: high technology readiness level and continuous operation capability
- o Capability of repeated uncertainty estimations and calibrations for T/F links

Compute the gravity potential at 1e-18



Compute the gravity potential at 1e-18

- International Association of Geodesy represented Novel Sensors and Quantum Technology for Geodesy (QuGE, chair Prof. Müller, http://quge.iag-aig.org), WG Q.3: Relativistic geodesy with clocks
- WG Q.3 identifies an important interaction between geodesy and optical clocks to assess the consistency of height networks, to define a height reference and to ensure its long term stability.
- Survey of activities relevant to optical standards and geodesy
- State of the Art and publications

Discussion shall address

- Given the present reference, consider the gravity potential uncertainty and the possibilities for improvements
- Whether the present evaluation of the redshift at a given location is adequate for the redefinition
- Consider how to address the time-varying components of the gravity potential
- Institutions and groups that work/plan to work on these topics

Challenges /2

- o Definition and Realization of the SI second and the appropriate reference for gravity corrections.
- How, and how well, we can compute the gravity potential, thus the relativistic frequency shift, at the locations of the clocks (aiming at 1e-18). Identify conventional methods to perform this computation, interacting with geodesy experts on the gravity potential.
- Establishing the validity of chronometric levelling at 1e-18; required progress in clocks and comparison techniques.
- Consider time variations of the geopotential. Tidal variations should follow established geodetic conventions. Other (non-tidal) variations should be studied.
- Once we have the clocks and frequency transfer techniques characterized at 1e-18, how we can contribute to the global models of the gravitational potential and to the definition of a global height system.
- Enhance interactions between NMIs and geodesy groups developing regional gravity and geoid models.
- Contribution to geodesy / oceanography / geophysics by using our clocks as measuring devices.

Include optical standards in the realization of a time scale



Include optical standards to realize a time scale

- WG-TAI and WG-ALGO represented in TF-subC
- Survey of activities relevant to optical standards and optical timescale
- State of the art and publications on these topics

Discussion shall address

- What is mandatory (concerning time scales) for the redefinition.
- What are the algorithms required to treat the data from optical frequency standards.
- Whether to create a hybrid time scale with HMs, or directly pure optical time scales using optical flywheels.
- Institutions and groups that work/plan to work on these topics.

Challenges /3

- o General discussion on the definition of an optical time scale
- Continuous operation of OFS and methods to compare clocks. The goal is to transfer the information of the comb to H-masers or optical flywheels.
- Demonstrated high-performance optical flywheel: effective, solid implementation of an optical reliable flywheel in UTC(k), avoiding the H-Maser?
- How to improve and keep at least at the present level the distribution of optical and RF standards?
- From the point of view of algorithms for UTC(k), an important question is what is the best way to include not phase, but frequency data from a primary reference device that will probably not run continuously, requiring a treatment of the dead time.
- How to estimate the uncertainty of the frequency of optically steered UTC(k).
- TAI/UTC: How many and how regularly can optical clocks contribute?

Methodology

- 1. Monthly meeting with members (remote conferences) / BIPM sharepoint
- 2. Questionnaire for CCTF members elaborations based on TF-C aims
- 3. Database with available literature
- 4. Existing Roadmaps from different bodies with respect to TF-C topics
- 5. Interaction with Group A and Group B
- 6. Interaction with other external experts
- 7. Reporting to Task Force Chairs (Reporting Document)

List of Questions to CCTF members

- Which techniques have you implemented/planned to implement for T/F comparisons?
- What are their performances ?
- Do you have/plan to have links capable of 1e-18 accuracy level?
- How do you calibrate/plan to calibrate your time links?
- Are you working/planning to work on innovative comparison techniques? Which ones?
- Are you working/plan to work on transportable optical standards?
- What are their performances ?
- Which are your plans for intercontinental comparisons?
- Are you planning to improve your contribution to TAI? How?
- Are there any plans to connect your NMI to other national institutions developing optical standards?
- Who are your national stakeholders for a better timescale that includes contributions from optical clocks?
- Are you collaborating with geodetic groups in your country?
- Are you working to improve the knowledge of red-shift correction at your site?

Thank you.

Davide Calonico, d.calonico@inrim.it Tetsuya Ido, ido@nict.go.jp