

JCTLM Members' and Stakeholders' Meeting 30 November -1 December 2015 BIPM, Sevres



JCTLM Database Laboratory medicine and in vitro diagnostics

JCTLM Member Activities CIRME

Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine

Prof Mauro Panteghini

CIRME Scientific Coordinator



Università degli Studi di Milano

Centro Interdipartimentale per la Riferibilità Metrologica in Medicina di Laboratorio (CIRME)

Direttore: Prof. Mauro Panteghini

sito web: http://users.unimi.it/cirme



Università degli Studi di Milano

Centro Interdipartimentale per la Riferibilità Metrologica in Medicina di Laboratorio (CIRME)

Research Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME)

created on 2006 with the scope to join in a sole entity scientists and activities of various Departments of the University of Milan interested in the development of reference methods and calibration materials of high metrological order in the field of biomedical diagnostics.



ACCREDIA ACCREDITATION ACCORDING TO ISO/IEC 17025 AND ISO 15195 STANDARDS

Tabella allegata al Certificato: 217 rev. 01 Responsabile: prof. Mauro PANTEGHINI Sostituto: prof. Andrea MOSCA Settori accreditati: 3

Laboratorio permanente

TABELLA DI ACCREDITAMENTO

Grandezza	Strumento in taratura	Campo di misura		Incertezza	Note
				relativa (*)	
		Intervallo di concentrazione			
Attività catalitica	Alanina aminotransferasi (ALT)	0,063 µkat/L (3,8 U/L)	4,17 μkat/L (250 U/L)	2,3 %	
Attività catalitica	Fosfatasi alcalina (ALP)	0,067 µkat/L (4,0 U/L)	10,83 µkat/L (650 U/L)	2,5 %	
Attività catalitica	Aspartato aminotransferasi (AST)	0,063 µkat/L (3,8 U/L)	4,17 μkat/L (250 U/L)	2,5 %	
Attività catalitica	Creatina chinasi (CK)	0,083 µkat/L (5,0 U/L)	10,00 µkat/L (600 U/L)	2,5 %	
Attività catalitica	Gamma-glutamiltransferasi (GGT)	0,023 µkat/L (1,4 U/L)	4,58 µkat/L (275 U/L)	2,5 %	
Attività catalitica	Lattato deidrogenasi (LDH)	0,060 µkat/L (3,6 U/L)	10,00 µkat/L (600 U/L)	2,3 %	
Frazione di quantità di sostanza	Emoglobina glicata (HbA1c) con metodo HPLC-elettroforesi capillare	4 mmol/mol	150 mmol/mol	3,0 %	
Concentrazione di quantità di sostanza	Glucosio con metodo spettrofotometrico	0,28 mmol/l (5 mg/dl)	22,4 mmol/l (400 mg/dl)	1,80 %	



Università degli Studi di Milano

(*) L'incertezza di misura è espressa al livello di fiducia del 95%.



ONE OF 12 REFERENCE CENTERS LISTED IN THE JCTLM DATABASE



ACCREDIA ACCREDITATION ACCORDING TO ISO/IEC 17025 AND ISO 15195 STANDARDS

Evaluation of commutability of reference and calibration Validation materials of traceability of commercial diagnostic Value Characterization systems targeting and certification of reference of EQAS materials materials



In cooperation with





transaminase



Proteins in the

reconstituted material

a2 macroglobulin (A2M)

α₁ antitrypsin (AAT)

complement 3c (C3c)

complement 4 (C4)

haptoglobin (HPT)

transferrin (TRF)

Clin Chem Lab Med 2010;48(6):795-803 © 2010 by Walter de Gruyter • Berlin • New York. DOI 10.1515/CCLM.2010.146

Traceability of values for catalytic activity concentration

transthyretin (TTR)

immunoglobulin A (IgA) immunoglobulin G (IgG)

immunoglobulin M (IgM)

albumin (ALB)

acid glycoprotein (AAG)

ERM

The following value for B2M was assigned:

	Protein in the reconstituted	Mass concentration						
	material (see section 9.3)	Certified value ²⁾ [mg/L]	Uncertainty ³⁾ [mg/L]					
rtainty 3)	Beta-2-microglobulin (B2M) ¹⁾	2.17	0.07					
g/L] 0.06 0.013	 B2M as measured by imm chemiluminescent immunoassay u 	1) B2M as measured by immunonephelometry, immunoturbidimetry, fluorometric enzyme immunoassay and chemiluminescent immunoassay using a pure protein solution as calibrant.						
0.03 1.2 0.04	2) The value is the unweighted m certified mass concentration is trac	2) The value is the unweighted mean of 13 accepted mean values, independently obtained by 13 laboratories. The certified mass concentration is traceable to the SI, via calibration with a pure protein solution of B2M.						
0.007 0.021 0.05	3) Expanded uncertainty <i>U</i> with a estimated in accordance with the 0	coverage factor <i>k</i> = 2, corresponding to Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty i	a level of confidence of approxiamtely 95 %, n Measurement (GUM), ISO, 1995.					
D.18 D.027 D.08 D.018	Poster Abstracts – IFCC WorldLab Clin Chem Lab Med 2014; 52, Spe	Istanbul 2014 – Istanbul, 22-26 June 20 cial Suppl, pp S1 – S1760, June 2014 •)14 • DOI 10.1515/cclm-2014-4057 Copyright © by Walter de Gruyter ∙ Berlin • Bostor					

Standardisation, accreditation and harmonisation

Cod: 1516

COMMUTABILITY STUDY ON CANDIDATE MATERIALS FOR THREE NEW ENZYME CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIALS

B. Toussaint⁴, F. Ceriotti⁸, H. Schimmel⁴, R. Rej¹⁰, M. Besozzi⁶, F.J. Gella², G. Giana⁷, J. Lessinger⁵, M. McCusker¹, M. Orth⁹, M. Panteghini³

S1657

Study setup: 14 serum samples were analysed with existing CRMs and with the new candidate CRMs, using 8 different assays and 1 reference method. Results obtained by different methods were compared paire-wise and the proximity of candidate materials to patient samples in the plots, sign of similar behaviour, was investigated.



Brigitte Toussaint^{1,*}, Hendrik Emons¹, Heinz G. Schimmel¹, Steffen Bossert-Reuther², Francesca Canalias³, Ferruccio Ceriotti⁴, Georges Férard⁵, Carlo A. Ferrero⁴, Paul F.H. Franck⁶, F. Javier Gella⁷, Joseph Henny⁸, Poul J. Jørgensen⁹, Rainer Klauke¹⁰, Jean-Marc Lessinger¹¹, Daniel Mazziotta¹², Mauro Panteghini¹³, Shigeru Ueda¹⁴ and Gerhard Schumann¹⁰ on behalf of the IFCC Committee on **Reference Systems for Enzymes**



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS ERM[®]- DA470k/IFCC HUMAN SERUM

Certified value

[g/L]

1.43 4

0.617 5)

1.12 5)

37.2 4)

1.00 *)

0.162 4)

0.889 4)

1.80 4)

9.17 *)

0.723 4)

2.35 5)

0.220 5)

Mass concentration

Unce



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinica Chimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clinchim

Letter to the Editor

Is the accuracy of serum albumin measurements suitable for clinical application of the test?

Probably not

Chimic Acta

Table 1

Relative standard uncertainties for each contributing factor in determination of serum albumin with Roche Tina-quant immunoturbidimetric assay on Cobas c 501 platform. Data obtained by measurements of ERM-DA 470k/IFCC Human Serum Proteins reference material (certified value \pm expanded uncertainty, 37.2 g/L \pm 1.2 g/L).

	Factor	Result
-	Imprecision (u_{Rw}) Bias (u_{bias}) Relative combined standard uncertainty $[u_c = (u_{bias}^2 + u_{Rw}^2)^{0.5}]$	1.88% 6.42% 6.69%



Clin Chim Acta. 2015 2015;450:125-6



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Clinica Chimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/clinchim

Letter to the editor

The calibrator value assignment protocol of the Abbott enzymatic creatinine assay is inadequate for ensuring suitable quality of serum measurements

Table 1

Uncertainties for each contributing factor in determination of serum creatinine with Abbott enzymatic assay on Architect *c*16000 platform after calibration with two different lot of system calibrator. Data obtained by measurements of NIST SRM 967a reference material (certified value \pm expanded uncertainty: L1, 0.847 mg/dL \pm 0.018 mg/dL and L2, 3.877 mg/dL \pm 0.082 mg/dL).

		SRM 967a level 1	SRM 967a level 2
	Multigent Clin Chem Calibrator lot no. 40043Y600		
	Imprecision (u _{Rw})	0.47%	0.40%
	Bias (u _{bias})	3.57%	7.05%
	Relative combined standard uncertainty $[u_c = (u_{bias}^2 + u_{Rw}^2)^{0.5}]$	3.60%	7.06%
	Expanded uncertainty ($U = k \times u_c$)	7.20%	14.12%
	Multigent Clin Chem Calibrator lot no. 40496Y600		
	Imprecision (u _{Rw})	0.53%	0.42%
	Bias (u _{bias})	4.02%	1.71%
4	Relative combined standard uncertainty $[u_c = (u_{bias}^2 + u_{Rw}^2)^{0.5}]$	4.05%	1.76%
	Expanded uncertainty ($U = k \times u_c$)	8.10%	3.52%

Note: For serum creatinine measurements on patient samples, the acceptable limits for expanded uncertainty derived from its CVI are 6.0% (desiderable) and 9.0% (minimum quality level), respectively.



Letter to the Editor

Dominika Szőke*, Assunta Carnevale, Sara Pasqualetti, Federica Braga, Renata Paleari and Mauro Panteghini

More on the accuracy of the Architect enzymatic assay for hemoglobin A_{1c} and its traceability to the IFCC reference system



Analytical goals for HbA_{1c} measurement

Quality level	U _c
Optimal	≤ 0.6
Desirable	≤1.3
Minimal	≤ 1.9

Currently, the full information about calibration is usually not available





Manufacturers only provide the name of higher order reference material or procedure to which the assay calibration is traceable, without any description of implementation steps and their corresponding uncertainty.





Opinion Paper

Federica Braga*, Ilenia Infusino and Mauro Panteghini

Performance criteria for combined uncertainty budget in the implementation of metrological traceability

Table 2: The information that in vitro diagnostics manufacturers should provide to laboratory users about the implementation of metrological traceability of their commercial systems. Adapted from [7].

- a) An indication of higher order references (materials and/or procedures) used to assign traceable values to calibrators;
- b) Which internal calibration hierarchy has been applied by the manufacturer, and
- c) A detailed description of each step;
- d) The (expanded) combined uncertainty value of commercial calibrators, and
- e) Which, if any, acceptable limits for uncertainty of calibrators were applied in the validation of the analytical system.



Types of metrological chains that can be used to implement the traceability of blood glucose results*





Verification of in vitro medical diagnostics (IVD) metrological traceability: Responsibilities and strategies



Federica Braga *, Mauro Panteghini

Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME), University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Table 1

di Milano

Metrological traceability and uncertainty information derived from calibrator package inserts of commercial systems measuring blood glucose marketed by four U companies.

						Higher-o	order reference		Combined
			Duincinte of communicit		Declared	eı	nployed	Type of	standard
	Company	Platform	Principle of commercial	Calibrator	standard			traceability	uncertainty
			method		uncertainty ^a	Method	Material	chain used ^b	associated with
									the used chain ^c
	Abbott	Architect	ND	Multiconstituent calibrator	2.70%	IDMS	NIST SRM 965	А	1.22 - 1.45% ^d
	Beckman	AU	Hexokinase	System calibrator	ND	ND	NIST SRM 965	А	1.22 - 1.45% ^d
		Synchron	Hexokinase	Synchron multicalibrator	ND	ND	NIST SRM 917a	D	1.60 - 3.00% ^e
	Roche	Cobas c	Hexokinase	C.f.a.s.	0.84%	IDMS	ND	В	1.70%
CIRIVI		Integra	Hexokinase	C.f.a.s.	0.62%	IDMS	ND	В	1.70%
SUDIORUM		Madular	Hexokinase	Cfac	0.84%	IDMS	ND	В	1.70%
		Wodular	GOD	C.1.a.s.	0.84%	IDMS	ND	В	1.70%
	Siemens	Advia	Hexokinase	Chamister calibratar	1.30%	Hexokinase	NIST SRM 917a	С	1.88 - 3.26% ^f
		Advia	GOD	Chemistry canorator	0.80%	Hexokinase	NIST SRM 917a	С	1.88-3.26% ^f
UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI ST	TUDI								

Carobene A et al., Clin Chim Acta 2014;427:100

EA

Clinica Chimica Acta



Creatinine (µmol/L)



Percent bias of overall means for the two method macro-categories based on different analytic principle in post-standardization years (2010-2011). The dotted and the dashed line indicate the maximum acceptable bias at desirable ($\pm 4.0\%$) and at minimum quality level ($\pm 6.0\%$), respectively.

Università degli Studi *level (\pm 6.0\%), respectively.* di Milano





Università degli Studi di Milano

BC





Università degli Studi di Milano

Centro Interdipartimentale per la Riferibilità Metrologica in Medicina di Laboratorio (CIRME)

Research Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME) – Educational activities

CIRME organizes international and national conferences on the topic of Traceability and Standardization in Laboratory Medicine and works actively to promote postgraduate specialization courses







Lack of proper reference intervals/decision limits may hamper the implementation of standardization

- The implementation of standardization can modify the analyte results
- Without adequate R.I./D.L. this situation can impair the interpretation of the results and, paradoxically, worsen the patient's outcome
- The absence of reliable R.I./D.L. for the newly standardized commercial methods hampers their adoption





Traceable reference intervals as 4th pillar of the reference measurement system: how a problem becomes a solution



Traceability era

Method-dependent results Method-dependent reference intervals Standardized methods that provide traceable results Traceable reference intervals





Clin Chem Lab Med 2010;48(11):1593-1601 © 2010 by Walter de Gruyter • Berlin • New York. DOI 10.1515/CCLM.2010.315

Research Article

Common reference intervals for aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and γ -glutamyl transferase (GGT) in serum: results from an IFCC multicenter study

Ferruccio Ceriotti^{1,*}, Joseph Henny², Josep Queraltó³, Shen Ziyu⁴, Yeşim Özarda⁵, Baorong Chen⁶, James C. Boyd⁷ and Mauro Panteghini⁸ on behalf of the IFCC Committee on Reference Intervals and Decision Limits (C-RIDL) and Committee on Reference Systems for Enzymes (C-RSE)





The implementation of standardization in clinical practice needs first the availability of the 3 main pillars:

- Reference measurement procedures
- Reference materials
- Accredited reference laboratories
- Then, it needs to define a 4th pillar:
- •Traceable reference intervals/decision limits

And, finally, an appropriately organized analytical (internal and external) quality control should become the 5th pillar.





Università degli Studi di Milano 4th CIRME International Scientific Meeting RETHINKING QUALITY CONTROL IN THE TRACEABILITY ERA Milano - 30 November 2010





Università degli Studi di Milano

MILANO - NOVEMBER 30th, 2010

Monitoring the reliability of the analytical system through IQC: Component I. Check alignment ("system traceability")

Braga F et al. J Med Biochem 2015;34:282-7



Platform



Università degli Studi di Milano

Control material(s)

Clinical laboratories must verify the consistency of declared performance during routine operations performed in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions, by checking that values of control materials provided by the manufacturer as component of the analytical system are in the established range, with no clinically significant changes in the assumed traceable results. Monitoring the reliability of the analytical system through IQC: Component II. Estimating the measurement uncertainty due to random effects ("imprecision")





European Commission Joint Research Centre IRMM CIRME 1st EFLM Strategic Conference Defining analytical performance goals 15 years after the Stockholm Conference 8* CIRME International Scientific Meeting Milan (IT) 24-25 November 2014 REGISTRATION FEE EUR 305.00 (VAT 22% included Certificat b Como and Breta area). Wel connected to publi Itensports, the underground stations (M2 Green line and ed within August 30, 2014 will result in a 20% penalty cancellations between August 30 and will be subject to allerwards moid weiking distance from the In make your main please refer to the below tere Integ reason grand OF FICIAL LANG ncé venuel The official language from the congrega versue OR GAN 22NG SEC shana sana sana tana ta MZ Congressi sif CIRME from the congress venue Via Carlo Fatni, 81 siminiachdelmitind +39 02668023 As Patrizia Sinton In the congress venue ----e-mail patrizia sirto ErcM thanks the following companies for the kind and unconditional support SIEMENS - Abbott BIO RAD Università degli Studi - Wppott EFLM thanks the following companies for the kind and uncouds

EFLW

di Milano

Sverre Sandberg*, Callum G. Fraser, Andrea Rita Horvath, Rob Jansen, Graham Jones, Wytze Oosterhuis, Per Hyltoft Petersen, Heinz Schimmel, Ken Sikaris and Mauro Panteghini

Defining analytical performance specifications: **Consensus Statement from the 1st Strategic Conference of the European Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine**

- Model 1: Based on the effect of analytical performance on clinical outcomes
- a. Done by direct outcome studies investigating the impact of analytical performance of the test on clinical outcomes;
- b. Done by indirect outcome studies investigating the impact of analytical performance of the test on clinical classifications or decisions and thereby on the probability of patient outcomes, e.g., by simulation or decision analysis.

Model 2: Based on components of biological variation of the measurand.

Model 3: Based on state of the art of the measurement (i.e., the highest level of analytical performance technically achievable).

EQAS categorization

'	Miller WG e	et al. Clin Chem 2	011;57:1670					Evaluation	capability
					Accuracy				
					Individua	al laborat	ory		
		Relative to par- Sample characteristics ticipant results		Reproducibility					
0	ategory	Commutable	Value assigned with RMP ^a or CRM	Replicate samples in survey	Absolute vs RMP or CRM	Overall	Peer group	Individual laboratory intralab CV	Measurement procedure interlab CV
	1 2	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes No	X X	X X	X X	Х	X X

Category 1A \rightarrow Milan model 1 or 2 as basis for Perf. Specs CIRME Category 1B \rightarrow Other models



Università degli Studi di Milano 9th CIRME International Scientific Meeting STRUCTURING EQAS FOR MEETING METROLOGICAL CRITERIA: READY FOR PRIME TIME Milano – 27 November 2015 1ª EFLM Strategic Conference Defining analytical performance goals 15 years after the Stockholm Conference 8° CIEME Internetional Scientific Meeting

EFLM

European Commissio

IRMM Institute for Reference

CIRME

performance goals 15 years after the Stockholm Conference



Università degli Studi di Milano The application of the analytical performance specifications can be modulated depending on its use. For example:

Manufacturers producing calibrators
Reference material providers
Individual laboratories who provide patient results

EQAS organizations

Need to define criteria for manufacturers that can be achieved for their calibrators leaving enough uncertainty budget for the laboratories to produce clinically acceptable results.





Università degli Studi di Milano **Opinion Paper**

Clin Chem Lab Med 2013; 51:973

Renze Bais*, Dave Armbruster, Rob T. P. Jansen, George Klee, Mauro Panteghini, Joseph Passarelli and Ken A. Sikaris on behalf of the IFCC Working Group on Allowable Error for Traceable Results (WG-AETR)

Defining acceptable limits for the metrological traceability of specific measurands



Specifications of reference measurement procedure defined by intended use...

...intended use is the certification of reference materials...

...the specifications of certified reference materials are defined by the performance needs of the clinical assays.

RMP **CRMs** Ce rtainty Routine assays

Università degli Studi di Milano

CIRME

Adapted from D. Bunk - 5th CIRME International Scientific Meeting Milano - 30 November 2011







Università degli Studi di Milano

This approach should be applied to every analyte measured in the clinical laboratory in order to establish if the current status of the uncertainty budget of its measurement associated with the proposed metrological traceability chain is suitable for clinical application of the test.

IFCC WG-TNI Technical Discussion Value Assignment of NIST SRM 2922 and measurement uncertainty







- Definition and approval of reference measurement systems, possibly in their entirety;
- Implementation by IVD industry of traceability to such reference systems in a scientifically sound and transparent way;
- Definition by the profession of the clinically acceptable measurement uncertainty (error) for each of the analytes used in the clinical field;
- Adoption by EQAS providers of commutable materials and use of an evaluation approach exclusively based on trueness;
- Monitoring of the analytical performance of individual laboratories by the participation in EQAS that meet metrological criteria and application of clinically acceptable limits;





The three most highly cited CIRME papers

Mini-Review

Clin Biochem Rev Vol 28 August 2007 | 97

Traceability, Reference Systems and Result Comparability

Mauro Panteghini

Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME), University of Milan, 20157 Milano, Italy



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

CLINICAL BIOCHEMISTRY

Clinical Biochemistry 42 (2009) 236-240

Traceability as a unique tool to improve standardization in laboratory medicine

Mauro Panteghini*

Centre for Metrological Traceability in Laboratory Medicine (CIRME), University of Milan, Milan, Italy



Università degli Studi di Milano Clin Chem Lab Med 2010;48(1):7-10 © 2010 by Walter de Gruyter • Berlin • New York. DOI 10.1515/CCLM.2010.020

Editorial

Application of traceability concepts to analytical quality control may reconcile total error with uncertainty of measurement

Mauro Panteghini



of Cinical Che

The Official Journal of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine (IFCC).



Università degli Studi di Milano Centro per la Riferibilità Metrologica in Medicina di Laboratorio (CIRME) Calibration Laboratory

ACCREDIA ACCREDITATION ACCORDING TO ISO/IEC 17025 AND ISO 15195 STANDARDS



A Mosca, I Infusino, R Paleari, F Braga, E Frusciante





A Dolci, D Szöze, S Ferraro, S Birindelli, S Pasqualetti

