

Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Fed Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Federal Institute of Metrology METAS



Traceable dynamic methods: why and how

Bernhard Niederhauser





- 1. Dynamic methods overview
- 2. Why ? Advantages and disadvantages
- 3. How ? Prerequisites and common pitfalls
- 4. Examples realised at METAS
- 5. Acknowledgments



Dynamic methods overview

- ISO standard 6145 with 10 parts Gas analysis — Preparation of calibration gas mixtures using dynamic (volumetric) methods
 - Part 1:2003 Methods of calibration
 - Part 2:2014 Piston pumps
 - Part 4:2004 Continuous syringe injection method
 - Part 5:2009 Capillary calibration devices
 - Part 6:2003 Critical orifices
 - Part 7:2009 Thermal mass-flow controllers
 - Part 8:2005 Diffusion method
 - Part 9:2009 Saturation method

Part 10:2002 Permeation method

Part 11:2005 Electrochemical generation



Why Dynamic Methods / Qualitative Estimation

Advantages:

- Abundance of gas volume
- Variable levels
- Vast range (pmol/mol ... mmol/mol)
- Can be combined
- Low residence times:
 - Reduced reaction and surface interaction

Disadvantages:

Laborious in calibration

U METAS

- No certificate of mixture
- Higher MU for high level mixtures (>10 µmol/mol)
- Lack of convenience





- Costs ?
- Multicomponent



Why Dynamic Methods / Applications

- Stability or reaction problems of static mixtures K26, K46, K74, …
- Reactive analytes (SO₂, NO₂, NH₃, H₂O, H₂S, HCI, HCOH...)
- Problems with adsorption, desorption and condensation
- Very low concentration mixtures (< 1 µmol/mol)
- Instrument calibration / characterisation:
 - Linearity
 - Detection Limits
 - Cross interferences / specificity



Figure 5 Example of the estimation of the drift in the amount fraction experienced in one of the travelling standards. The regression line has been fitted by ordinary least sources. The x-axis

P J Brewer et. al.: EURAMET QM-K26.a Final Report



The Adsorption Issue

- Adsorption is a problem for most reactive analytes.
 - can be a dominant MU-component
- What can be done to reduce adsorption?
 - 1. Increase temperature

To increase the energy of molecules and boost desorption

- 2. Decrease the surface in contact with the mixture reduces the adsorption capacity
- **3. Use** continuously **purged systems** in order to install a flow equilibrium
- **4.** Use of materials with lowest bonding energy. Analyte-specific **coatings** decrease adsorption drastically









How: Prerequisites Means of Calibration / the Traceability Issue

Traceability chain for physical (base) quantities (example):



For dynamic RM (mixtures) realisations of derived quantities:





How: Prerequisites Means of Calibration / The Traceability Issue



- All mass and volume flows have to be calibrated by traceable preferably continuous standards
- Scrupulous control of conditions, fluid type and matrix
- **Commutability/Portability** of calibrations is not simply given
 - Example1: fluid dependence of permeation mass flow*.





* H.-P. Haerri et. al.: Dilution and permeation standards for the generation of NO, NO2 and SO2 calibration gas mixtures, submitted Meas. Sci. Technol. 27 (2016)



How: Avoid Calibration Pitfalls

Most high accuracy flow controlling instruments are gas type dependent.

 N₂-purity-specs from producers of PSA generators lead to erroneous portability of calibration data

Technical Specifications	NG 2000(A)	NG 3000(A)	NG 4000(,
Max Flow Rate	2000 cc/min (0.07cfm)	3000 cc/min (0.10cfm)	4000 cc/min (0.´
Max Pressure	80 psi / 5.5 bar		
Max Relative Humidity	70% Non-Condensity		
Max Altitude	2000 Metres		
Nitrogen Purity	99.9995%		
Particles	<0.01µm		
Gas Outlets	i⊼i/4 ⊌SPP		
Phthalates	None		
Suspended Liquids	None		
Operating Temperature	5°C - 25°C / 41°F - 75°F		







Operating and Physical Specifications Nitrogen Flow Rate (max):				
BORA 500	500 ccm			
BORA 750 BORA 1250	1250 ccm			
SIR 3	3 Lpm			
SIR 3A	3 Lpm (nitrogen) &			
	3 Lpm (instrument air)			
SIR 5 Nitre und Purity:	5 Lpm			
BORA 500 & 750	99,999%			
BORA 1250	99.995%			
SIR 3, 3A & 5	99.999%			
O ₂ Concentration (N ₂ Outlet):	< 10 ppm			
Nittogen Outlet Pressure (max):	75 psig			
Nitrogen Outlet Connection:	(compression fitting)			
BOBA 500 750 8 1250	< 18 db			
SIR 3, 3A & 5	< 60 db			
Power Source:	115 VAC / 60 Hz; 230 VAC / 50 Hz			
Castor Wheels:	SIROCCO Models			
Dimensions:				
BORA 500, 750 & 1250	9"W x 14"H x 17"D			
SIR 3, 3A & 5	19″W x 26″H x 33″D			

molar fraction	density g/L @ 0°C, 1atm	rel. error
Ar in N ₂	(simple addi- tive model)	
0	1.25053	0.000%
0.002%	1.25054	0.001%
0.933%	1.25551	0.398%
1.180%	1.25683	0.503%

How: Avoid Calibration Pitfalls (continued)

Stabilisation times:

Traditional thermal MFC specify: $t_{98} \approx 300 \text{ ms} \dots 700 \text{ ms}$ Calibration errors compared to continuous fixed mode may easily occur and increase MU



C METAS

Pressure conditions:

Upstream and downstream pressures different from calibration conditions may cause important differences and increased MU

Reference conditions:

s for standard and n for normal is not uniformly used (huge variety)

Example 1 ReGaS1 for NH3 – Generation



Transportable, traceable combination of permeation
and 2nd dilution step.



Temperature dependent permeation of pure substance (NH₃) through polymer wafer in carrier gas stream (**permeation method** ISO 6145-10)

Dynamic dilution of NH₃ in carrier gas stream 1st dilution step NH₃ mixtures >50 ppb (U_{NH3}= <1% rel.)

Splitting off part of 1st dilution NH₃ mixture >50 ppb

2nd **dynamic dilution** of NH₃ in 1st dilution mixtures >50 ppb NH₃ → mixture 0.5-50 ppb → ambient air range (U_{NH3}= 1-3 % rel.)

Full flexibility over generation range as either dilution step can be used

Example 1 ReGaS1 for NH3 – Generation

- Modifications of VICI Dynacalibrator[®] 150 oven:
 - SilcoNert[®] 2000-coated stainless steel interior
 - Exchange of original lid by METAS-made, leak tight lid holding temperature probe
- First use of coated MFC for 2nd dilution and fully coated lines and fittings



ReGaS1 NH₃ reference gas mixtures: preliminary uncertainty budget







Example 1 ReGaS1 for NH_3 – Generation







time (min)



Example 2 Cryotrap of permeation mixtures of F-Gases and...





Empa



Materials Science and Technology



Example 2 ... 2 step dilution and analysis on GC-MS





Example 2 Results of comparison vs. existing references

F-gases: amount of substance fraction in diluted mixtures, pmol⁻¹ (ppt)





Conclusions

- Dynamic generation methods can be made traceable
- They show clear advantages for adsorptive/reactive substances at very low concentrations
- Representative calibrations are most essential
 - Continuous mass flow for minor component
 - No surrogate fluid calibration for dilution gas
- Recommendation to use CMOSens- or MEMS- based Flow controlling
- Recommendation to use coated material for the realisation of instrumentation for adsorptive/reactive substances: net decrease of stabilisation times











Thanks

- To members of the gas analytical lab at METAS for most fruitful collaboration **
- To mechanical and electronics workshop people at METAS for construction of ReGaS and 2-step dilution device
- To LabVIEW team at METAS for programming
- To the management of METAS for support
- To partners, collaborators and advisors in EMRP projects for valuable input, support and discussions
- ** Dr. Daiana Leuenberger and Dr. Myriam Guillevic







The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries within EURAMET and the European Union



Schweizerische Eidgenossenschaft Fed Confédération suisse Confederazione Svizzera Confederaziun svizra

Federal Institute of Metrology METAS



Thank you very much for your attention