# **Report of the CGGTTS**

Judah Levine Time and Frequency Division NIST/Boulder jlevine@boulder.nist.gov

# 1. Change in data format

Necessary to include data from other satellite systems

Remain compatible with existing data files

### **Current Reporting Format**

PRN\_CL\_\_MJD\_\_STTIME ... \_\_\_\_hhmmss

\_\_XX\_XX\_XXXXX\_XXXXXX ...

Judah Levine, NIST, Report of CGGTS, BIPM-2006 3

# Proposed New Reporting Format

PRN\_CL\_\_MJD\_\_STTIME ... \_\_\_\_hhmmss

nxxTxx\_xxxxx\_xxxxx ...

3<sup>rd</sup> digit (if needed) Single letter:

G or blank = GPS

R

E

= GLONASS

- = Galileo
- S = Geostationary Follow IGS conventions when possible

#### 2. Focus on improving type-b uncertainties

Improvements in type-a uncertainties less important, since they are already smaller Stacked data, SV 19 short-baseline cv



time difference in ns

#### **Receiver calibrations**

- Short-baseline common view affected by multi-path
  - Changes receiver "calibration"
  - Track length and 4 minute advance make problem hard to see
- Distribution not white phase noise
  - "average" value has varying bias



time difference in ns

Stacked data, SV 19 short-baseline cv

## Multipath attenuation

- Compute frequency over sidereal day interval
  - Actual interval varies with satellite
- Integrate sidereal frequency values to get time
- Type-b uncertainty on Fort Collins Boulder link is about 1.5 ns

- Agreement between two parallel links

3. Re-evaluate 13-minute track length and averaging method

Reasons for 13 minute track and technical directives no longer important

Shorter track length could make error evaluation easier

Data from geodetic receivers cannot be exactly compatible

# New Tracking method

Reduce track length to 15 s or 30 s

 15 s compatible with existing method
 Compatible with geodetic receivers

 Simplify averaging method

 Complicated averaging no longer needed

#### How much data?

Receiver outputs 60,000 bytes/day

About 1 minute even at 9600 baud

Output of 50 receivers: 3MB/day

30 GB disk holds 10,000 days of data

# 4. Encourage stability in definitions of Galileo signals

Changes in signals are difficult for receiver designers

# 5. Encourage studies of statistics of Galileo signals

Technical directives for averaging methods Should be based on this work.

Reporting format same as for other systems

6. Use latest ionosphere model in single-frequency receivers

Different models may be transmitted simultaneously from different satellites

#### **Future Work**

- Replace standing CGGTTS committee with short-term working groups to study specific questions
  - Working groups should have specific members and terms of reference

#### **Current recommendation**

- Modify technical directives for Reporting Time transfer data
  - Include 3<sup>rd</sup> digit for PRN if needed
  - Include single letter to identify source
    - Use IGS definitions if possible
    - Consult with other groups for new systems

# **Proposed Study**

- Review (and revise) averaging methods in the technical directives
  - Study track length, averaging methods for GPS and Galileo and ...
  - Study statistics of Galileo data

• Same as GPS?

#### **Other recommendations**

- Encourage studies to improve type-b uncertainties at each laboratory
- Encourage stability in definition of Galileo signals
- Encourage studies of Galileo signal statistics by receiver manufacturers
- Use latest ionosphere model in single frequency receivers