Use of Precise point positioning for TAI links

G. Petit, Z. Jiang

Bureau International des Poids et Mesures



Link comparisons using geodetic GPS

- An already long history at the BIPM
 - Mostly using P3
 - Petit and Jiang (PTTI'2004, ATF2004)
 - Long-term stability (months) found to be similar for both techniques (< 1 ns)
- Several approaches
 - Network computation using phase + code (IGS analysis centers)
 - Network computation using phase only (R. Dach) for frequency comparisons
 - Precise point positioning
- PPP already in common use
 - Comparisons between different PPP results and IGS clock products in Bruyninx et al. (PTTI'2004)
 - Comparisons between PPP and TW e.g. in Orgiazzi et al. (FCS-PTTI'2005)
 - Multi-technique (PPP, Phase-only, Phase+code, IGS, TW) in Jiang et al (EFTF'2006)



PPP for TAI links

- PPP makes sense for TAI time links
 - It is the natural follower of All-in-view with P3
- BIPM thus started to gain experience with Precise Point Positioning analyses using IGS products.
- Multi-technique comparisons: to quantify the performance of the techniques that presently seem to be the best available for TAI links:
 - Precise Point Positioning (PPP) with dual-frequency geodetic GPS receivers
 - Two Way time transfer:
 - Ku band
 - X band



PPP Software presently used at the BIPM

- GPSPPP software version 2655 (January 2006).
- Developed by NRCan (Kouba and Héroux, GPS Solutions, 2001)
- Features:
 - Uses GPS satellites ephemerides and clocks from the IGS to produce (Station clock – IGS time reference)
 - Uses directly IGS products (e.g. phase center offsets...)
 - Uses up-to-date models for station displacements (tides etc...)
 - Allows to solve for station coordinates, tropospheric delays.
 - Continuous processing of "unlimited" number of days is possible
 => Adapted to monthly TAI computation.
- Several other packages are available



GPSPPP Settings (Nominal)

- IGS Final SP3 orbits and 5-min SV clocks (RINEX format) fixed
- SV IGS antenna offset values applied
- Antenna PCV values used: from standard IGS file igs_01.pcv
- Ocean loading coefficients from Chalmers Centre for Astrophysics and Space Science: <u>http://www.oso.chalmers.se/~loading/</u>
- A priori data weights: 1 m pseudorange, 1 cm phase
- Elevation cut-off: 10°
- Observation sampling & output clocks every 5 minutes
- Tropo delay estimated as $3mm/\sqrt{hr}$ random walk
- Station coordinates estimated on each 1-month batches



Link comparisons

We consider time laboratories with TW and (geodetic receivers + IGS)

- PPP to IGS: Just for checking GPSPPP (NRC software) to Atomium (new ORB software)
- 2. PPP to TW(Ku): Several links in Europe-USA, e.g.
 - USNO-PTB
 - OP-PTB
- Links in Asia-Pacific + link to Europa, e.g.
- NICT-PTB
- NICT-TL
- 3. Comparison of three independent techniques for USNO-PTB
 - TW (Ku) typically 12 or 24 points per day
 - TW (X) typically 24 points per day
 - PPP computed every 5 minutes (288 points per day)
- Three-corner hat computation possible: estimation of the stability of each technique from the three differences.



Links considered



PPP – IGS

(just to check)







Different PPP softwares: GPSPPP - Atomium

(just to check)







TW(Ku) - PPP























Modified Allan deviation from 3-cornered hat



Time deviation from 3-cornered hat



Conclusions (1): Comparisons results

- PPP clock results generally agree with IGS Final results within ~100-200 ps: The most prominent features are the day-boundary discontinuities.
- PPP-TW(Ku)
 - Over 4 months, RMS of differences between 0.4 ns and 1 ns
 - Diurnal signatures and long-term trends sometimes visible, difficult to attribute
- PPP-TW(Ku)-TW(X) 3-corner comparisons show that
 - PPP generally more stable until 10-day averaging
 - TW(Ku) sometimes noisier than others
 - All techniques stable to 100-300 ps up to 10-day averaging
 - Long-term trend (2 ns over 4 months), possibly in GPS
- These conclusions from one example only!



Conclusions (2): Use of PPP for TAI

- The PPP package used so far is reliable. Other PPP packages are available.
- Some run-time problems eliminated by data editing (currently on a daily basis). Some refinement may be necessary.
- PPP results are satisfactory.
- The routine use of PPP for TAI links looks OK.
- Some changes needed in data transmission / handling:
 - Rinex data necessary.
 - Calibration information should be handled separately or added to Rinex format.
- Manpower needed, specially for the development and tests phase.



Acknowledgements

- Laboratories that operate receivers and provide data for TAI and for the IGS.
- Laboratories that provided data on request, e.g. NICT
- PPP developers (NRCan) specially F. Lahaye.
- ORB

