#### THE EUROPEAN TW CALIBRATION CAMPAIGN 2014 IN THE SCOPE OF GALILEO (TGVF-FOC)

#### An opportunity to update TW link calibrations in Europe

Real Instituto y Observatorio de la Armada (ROA) C/Cecilio Pujazón s/n. I I 100 San Fernando, Cádiz Francisco Javier Galindo jgalindo@roa.es

10th meeting of representatives of laboratories contributing to TAI - 2015

6th September

# The Objectives

 To ensure time transfer between PTFI, PTFD and the involving UTC(k) labs (INRIM, OP, PTB, ROA and SP) via TWSTFT with an uncertainty (1σ) of less than 2ns.

**Galileo System Time**, is a key element of the core navigation function, but also is the **"metrological time-keeping"** to be broadcast in the Galileo Signal in Space.

**Relationship** between international time references (UTC, TAI) and the GST well defined.

The required support for such "metrological time-keeping" during Galileo deployment phase toward the FOC is provided by the Time Validation Facility as part of the TGVF. A WP was focused to ensure **good metrological quality of the TW links with PTF's**.

The calibration was extensive to **TW links among UTC(k) labs**, and **links** with USNO.

# Background of PTF's

- TW Links with PTFI were calibrated in autumn 2013 with a mobile TWSTFT station, in the frame of the IOV Galileo Time Validation Facility contract between TAS-F, INRIM, and PTB.
- This would be the first TW calibration for PTF(OBE), before accomplish its readiness in 2014, during the deployment and operations ("FOC") phase of the Galileo Program.

# TW Cal. Campaign in numbers

- 7 sites visited plus the reference station at TimeTech.
- Duration of the campaign: From the 10<sup>th</sup> June to 1<sup>st</sup> of August: 53 days (minus the break of 8 days coinciding with the EFTF at Neuchatel).
- Distance travelled: About 10000 km.
- Time required for travelling: 130 hours.
- Effective measurement time: 604 Hours (25 days aprox., or the 56% of the length of the campaign)
- Number of links calibrated: 26
  - 10 by means of link method.
  - 10 by site method.
  - 6 by triangle closure method.

# a b Ο

# The TTech TWSTFT mobile station I

• Is the short name for the transportable TWSTFT ground station used in this calibration experiment.



# The TTech TWSTFT mobile station 2

- The optical link refers all TWSTFT measures to I PPS(Aux) input from fixed station, independent of the phase of the reference frequency.
- The optical link presents coherent and phase stable I PPS and I0 MHz to the SATRE modem in the mobile station (the frequency input to SATRE modem follows I PPS(Aux).
- The #4 is a cable for test purpose. This cable allows to check there is no error synchronising both units.



## The TTech TWSTFT mobile station 3



View of the mobile station at ROA



View of the ROA fixed station antenna with mobile station antenna at the bottom

# Planning of the campaign

- Different station codes for regular (even hours) and extra sessions (odd hours).
- PTFs not disturbed. For links with PTFs, the link method were used. The necessary independent time transfer link in this mode was provided with a TWSTFT link by using the mobile station (in CC with the each PTF).
- Links between UTC(k) labs were based on standard site method.
- REFDELAY differences (fixed station mobile station) were measured and subsequently considered (constant at each site), instead the REFDELAY parameters from ITU files.

#### Stability of the mobile station during the trip

- Fixed station at TimeTech worked as reference. CCD(MOB@TIM,TIM)\_I:
  - mean = -642.29 ns

  - N: 76 values

- CCD(MOB@TIM,TIM)\_2:
  - mean = -641.92ns
- sigma = 0.23 ns; TDEV = 0.14 ns sigma = 0.18 ns; TDEV = 0.09 ns
  - N: 63 values.



#### Link Mode at PTF's: The theoretic approach I

- <u>New LS fitting approach for statistical analysis was designed:</u>
  - We are supposing that MOB station is at site 2.
  - In general, the trends of TS(I) and TS(2) are different, what implies that differences between TW(I) and TW(2) (or between TW(I) and TW(MOB@2) are not stationary.
  - 0,5\*[(TW(I) TW(MOB@2)) (TW(I) TW(2))] in equation for CALR(I, 2) should be however a constant.
  - This constant cannot be estimated directly from the set of TW data because these are not strictly contemporary.
  - Denoting:
    - $0,5^{*}(TW(1) TW(MOB@2)) = X_{10}(t)$
    - $0,5^{*}(TW(1) TW(2)) = X_{2o}(t)$
  - We search for the <u>"best" fit of two straight lines</u>, with the same slope: X<sub>1e</sub>(t) and X<sub>2e</sub>(t)

10th meeting of representatives of laboratories contributing to TAI - 2015

#### Link Mode at PTF's: The theoretic approach 2

- $X_{1e}(t) = a^{*}t + b_1, X_{2e}(t) = a^{*}t + b_2,$
- We search for the "best" estimates of a, b<sub>1</sub> and b<sub>2</sub> based on the data X<sub>10</sub>(t) and X<sub>20</sub>(t).
- $\mathbf{b_1} \mathbf{b_2}$  provides the constant of interest to be estimated.
- Expression to be <u>minimized</u>: Residual Sum of Squared.

 $\boldsymbol{E}^2 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{i} (\boldsymbol{X}_{1o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{1e})^2 + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{j} (\boldsymbol{X}_{2o} - \boldsymbol{X}_{2e})^2$ 

Equations after setting to zero the derivatives (with respect to a, b<sub>1</sub> and b<sub>2</sub>):

(1)  $a * \Sigma t^{2} + b_{1} * \Sigma_{i} t_{i} + b_{2} * \Sigma_{j} t_{j} = \Sigma t * X$ (2)  $a * \Sigma_{i} t_{i} + N_{1} * b_{1} = \Sigma_{i} X_{oli}$ (3)  $a * \Sigma_{j} t_{j} + N_{2} * b_{2} = \Sigma_{j} X_{o2j}$ 

- $N_1$  and  $N_2$  mean the number of data  $X_{1o}$  and  $X_{2o}$  respectively.
- Care must be taken estimating the uncertainty of b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> (cubic spline)

10th meeting of representatives of laboratories contributing to TAI - 2015

#### Link Mode at PTF's: An example (1)

 TWSTFT between PTFx and UTC(k) lab using fixed and mobile stations at PTFx, circles: data taken at even hours, triangles: data taken at odd hours



### Link Mode at PTF's: An example (2)

 Residuals of linear fits of TWSTFT between PTFx and UTC(k) lab, and residuals of MOB@PTFx – PTFx



#### Link Mode at PTF's: Discrepancy of results

- Results of TWSTFT between MOB and PTFx stations with UTC(k) laboratories as bridging stations. Error bar equal TDEV. Discrepancies are not significant.
- Results were combined by a weighted average.
- In all cases, combined TDEV around 0,2 ns



# TW link calibration values

- CALR were calculated taken into account that ESDVAR will be re-set to zero after the calibration.
- The same for links with PTF's and USNO (not shown here).

Link	CALR(old)	U_CALR_old	CALR <sub>interim</sub>	CALR variation	
INRIM-OP	+6821.756	2.0	+6835.60 (*)	+2.14	
INRIM-PTB	-479.209	1.3	-465.41 (*)	+2.10	
INRIM-ROA	-317.141	6.0	-307.73 (*)	-2.29	
INRIM-SP	-283.892	2.0	-275.59 (*)	-3.40	
OP-PTB	-7300.704	1.2	-7301.02	-0.32	
OP-ROA	-7137.879	6.0	-7143.33	-5.45	
OP-SP	-7105.715	2.0	-7111.19	-5.47	
PTB-ROA	+298.673	5.0	+293.99	-4.68	
PTB-SP	+194.939	1.2	+189.83	-5.11	
ROA-SP	+32.071	6.0	+32.14	+0.07	

# TW link calibration values

• The "a priori" consistency of new CALR values against the old one. In Orange discrepancies higher than 2\*U, in yellow discrepancies from U to 2\*U, the rest in green, U represents the old combined uncertainty.

CALR Variation	INRIM	OP	РТВ	ROA	SP	
INRIM		+2.14	+2.10	-2.29	-3.40	
ОР	-2.14		-0.32	-5.45	-5.47	
РТВ	-2.10	+0.32		-4.68	-5.11	
ROA	+2.29	+5.45	+4.68		+0.07	
SP	+3.40	+5.47	+5.11	-0.07		

# Uncertainties contribution (1)

All contributions are added geometrically:

 u<sub>a</sub>(i) and u<sub>a</sub>(j): Statistical uncertainties at lab i and lab j, respectively.

Statistical uncertainties based on <u>worst TDEV</u> for the range of useful averaging time.

- **u**<sub>b,1</sub>: Uncertainty of the portable station (0,37 ns).
- u<sub>b,2</sub>: Uncertainty of the connection of the fixed stations to the local TS (from 0,2 to 0,5 ns).
- u<sub>b,3</sub>: Uncertainty of the connection of the mobile station to the local TS ( (from 0,2 to 0,28 ns).

# Uncertainties contribution (2)

 $u_{b2}$  and  $u_{b3}$  are derived from a high performance TIC. It includes a) the <u>instability of the connection</u> to the local TS, b) <u>TIC trigger level</u> <u>timing error</u>, c) <u>nonlinearities</u> of the ensemble TIC-ref freq used.

- u<sub>b4</sub>(i): Uncertainty of the Sagnac corrections (0,1 ns for couple of stations).
- u<sub>b5</sub>(i): All other suspected possible type "b" contributions (0,4 ns).
- This includes, for example, the <u>instability of satellite communication</u> <u>parameters</u> (signal power, C/N0, codes), <u>atmospheric parameters</u> (ionosphere, troposphere), and <u>satellite motion</u> (residual diurnals, residual Sagnac, path delay difference).

# Uncertainties contribution (3)

- Uncertainty contributions and combined uncertainty U (I sigma).
- Uncertainties for links with PTF's and USNO not shown here.
- Combined uncertainty with PTF 0,9 1,0 ns. With USNO around 1,2 ns.

Case	u <sub>a</sub> (1)	<b>u</b> <sub>a</sub> (2)	u <sub>b</sub> ,1	u <sub>b</sub> ,2(1)	u <sub>b</sub> ,2(2)	u <sub>b</sub> ,3(1)	u <sub>b</sub> ,3(2)	u <sub>b</sub> ,4	u <sub>b</sub> ,5	U
CALR(INRIM, OP)	0.30	0.18	0.37	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(INRIM, PTB)	0.30	0.21	0.37	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(INRIM, ROA)	0.30	0.34	0.37	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(INRIM, SP)	0.30	0.27	0.37	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(OP, PTB)	0.18	0.21	0.37	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(OP, ROA)	0.18	0.34	0.37	0.20	0.21	0.28	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(OP, SP)	0.18	0.27	0.37	0.20	0.21	0.28	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(PTB, ROA)	0.21	0.34	0.37	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(PTB, SP)	0.21	0.27	0.37	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8
CALR(ROA, SP)	0.34	0.27	0.37	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.40	0.8

10th meeting of representatives of laboratories contributing to TAI - 2015

### Triangle calibrations for links with USNO

- Method used: Triangle Closure Analysis.
- Built on the calibration of link USNO-PTB (by means of the USNO portable X-band TWSTFT station, June 2014).
- Used I20 data points (MJD 56816 to 56825) for PTF's and UTC(k) labs.
- Contribution of uncertainties:
  - **u**<sub>a</sub> : Statistical uncertainty from the equation for CALR by triangle closure analysis (0,4 to 0,5 ns).
  - u<sub>b1</sub>: The uncertainty of CALR(lab, PTB)<sub>New</sub> as obtained in this campaign (0,8 ns).
  - **u**<sub>b2</sub> : Uncertainty of the calibration of link USNO-PTB (0,64 ns).
- Combined uncertainty (square root of the sum of squares) around 1,2 ns in all cases.

10th meeting of representatives of laboratories contributing to TAI - 2015

## Conclusions

- New CALR determined with uncertainties of 1,0 ns and below (1,2 ns for triagle closure method with USNO).
- Similar order to those got in previous campaigns.
- Excellent quality of data collected. Measurement noise at the standard level.
- CALR value for SP differs from the previous value in a significant way. Previous CALR were obtained from TWSTFT- based calibrations. CALR variation justified by equipment changes, station reconfiguration and restoration of time links calibration after satellite and frequency changes.
- Results for the triangular calibration were clearly improved for the small contribution of the uncertainty in the calibration of the link USNO-PTB by a portable X-band TWSTFT station.

