

2013 CCRI(II) decision on the evaluation of the Key Comparison Reference Values

1. The key comparison reference value

In May 2013 the CCRI(II) decided to no longer calculate the key comparison reference value (KCRV) by using an unweighted mean but rather by using the power-moderated weighted mean [1]. This type of weighted mean is similar to a Mandel-Paule mean in that the NMIs' uncertainties may be increased until the reduced chi-squared value is one. In addition, it allows for a power smaller than two in the weighting factor. Therefore, all relevant key comparison results can be selected for the KCRV with the following provisions:

- a) only results for solutions standardized by primary techniques are accepted, with the exception of radioactive gas standards (for which results from transfer instrument measurements that are directly traceable to a primary measurement in the laboratory may be included);
- b) each NMI or other laboratory has only one result (normally the most recent result or the mean if more than one ampoule is submitted);
- c) possible outliers can be identified on a mathematical basis and excluded from the KCRV using the normalized error test with a test value of 2.5 and using the modified uncertainties;
- d) results can also be excluded for technical reasons.
- e) The CCRI(II) is always the final arbiter regarding excluding any data from the calculation of the KCRV.

Although the KCRV may be modified when other NMIs participate, on the advice of the Key Comparison Working Group of the CCRI(II), such modifications are made only by the CCRI(II) during one of its biennial meetings or by consensus through electronic means (e.g., email) as discussed at the CCRI(II) meeting in 2013.

2. Degrees of equivalence

Every participant in a comparison is entitled to have one result included in the KCDB as long as the NMI is a signatory or designated institute listed in the CIPM MRA, and the result is valid (i.e., not older than 20 years). Normally, the most recent result is the one included. An NMI may withdraw its result only if all other participants agree.

The degree of equivalence of a given measurement standard is the degree to which this standard is consistent with the KCRV [2]. The degree of equivalence is expressed quantitatively in terms of the deviation from the key comparison reference value and the expanded uncertainty of this deviation ($k = 2$). The degree of equivalence between any pair of national measurement standards is expressed in terms of their difference and the expanded uncertainty of this difference and is independent of the choice of key comparison reference value.

2.1 Comparison of a given NMI result with the KCRV

The degree of equivalence of the result of a particular NMI, i , with the key comparison reference value is expressed as the difference D_i between the values

$$D_i = A_{e_i} - \text{KCRV} \quad (1)$$

and the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) of this difference, U_i , known as the equivalence uncertainty; hence

$$U_i = 2u(D_i). \quad (2)$$

When the result of the NMI i is included in the KCRV with a weight w_i , then

$$u^2(D_i) = (1-2w_i) u_i^2 + u^2(\text{KCRV}). \quad (3)$$

However, when the result of the NMI i is not included in the KCRV, then

$$u^2(D_i) = u_i^2 + u^2(\text{KCRV}). \quad (4)$$

2.2 Comparison between pairs of NMI results

The degree of equivalence between the results of any pair of NMIs, i and j , is expressed as the difference D_{ij} in the values

$$D_{ij} = D_i - D_j = A_{e_i} - A_{e_j} \quad (5)$$

and the expanded uncertainty ($k = 2$) of this difference, $U_{ij} \equiv 2u(D_{ij})$, where

$$u^2(D_{ij}) = u_i^2 + u_j^2 - 2u(A_{e_i}, A_{e_j}) \quad (6)$$

and any obvious correlations between the NMIs (such as a traceable calibration, or correlations normally coming from the SIR or from the linking factor in the case of linked comparison) are subtracted using the covariance $u(A_{e_i}, A_{e_j})$ (see [3] for more detail). However, the CCRI decided in 2011 that these “pair-wise degrees of equivalence” no longer need to be published as long as the methodology is explained.

References

- [1] Pommé S., Determination of a reference value, associated standard uncertainty and degrees of equivalence for CCRI(II) key comparison data, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements, 2012, Report EUR 25355 EN.
- [2] CIPM MRA: *Mutual recognition of national measurement standards and of calibration and measurement certificates issued by national metrology institutes*, International Committee for Weights and Measures, 1999, 45 pp. <http://www.bipm.org/pdf/mra.pdf>.
- [3] Michotte C. and Ratel G., Correlations taken into account in the KCDB, CCRI(II) working document, 2003, [CCRI\(II\)/03-29](#).