# **Final report**

# Results of the bilateral supplementary comparison on pressure measurements in the range (60 to 350) kPa of gauge pressure in gas media APMP.M.P-S4

T. Priruenrom <sup>1)</sup>, W. Sabuga <sup>2)</sup> and T. Konczak <sup>2)</sup>

March 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> National Institute of Metrology (Thailand) (NIMT), Thailand

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Germany

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The objective of this bilateral supplementary comparison (SC) is to check equivalence of gas pressure standards of the two institutes, National Institute of Metrology (Thailand) (NIMT) and Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB, Germany), in the pressure range 60 kPa to 350 kPa in gauge mode. The results of this comparison will be essential to support the calibration and measurement capabilities (CMC) of NIMT.

The pilot laboratory of this SC is NIMT, which has provided a transfer standard (TS) for the SC. The TS was a piston-cylinder assembly (PCA) with a nominal effective area of 10 cm<sup>2</sup>. The TS properties are described in the Technical Protocol [1].

The measurements were started at NIMT during 26 - 28 November 2012. The TS was brought to PTB from NIMT on 7 December 2012 and the measurements at PTB were carried out in the time 10 - 12 December 2012. The TS was brought back to NIMT on 14 December 2012 and the measurements were done at NIMT again to control the transportation effect. All measurements were performed in accordance with the Technical Protocol [1] at pressures (60, 100, 150, 200, 250, 300 and 350) kPa.

This report presents the results of NIMT and PTB. All uncertainties in this report are standard ones (k = 1).

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF THE LABORATORY STANDARD

#### 2.1 NIMT Laboratory Standard

The NIMT laboratory standard (LS) used in this comparison was a pressure balance with a PCA of 10 cm<sup>2</sup> manufactured by Fluke Corporation, DH Instruments Division (DHI), USA, and identified by serial number 0693. The properties of the NIMT LS are summarised in Tables 1 - 3.

	Manufacturer	Model / Serial	Description
Base	DHI	PG-7601 /	-
		I PG-7601 / serial no. 867 - I PC-7100/7600- 10-TC / serial no. 0693 Operation mode <sup>#1</sup> : Simple Pressure range [MPa]: 0.35 serial no. 0693 MS-7002-35 / serial no. 2111 Typical relative uncertainty of	
		PC-7100/7600-	Operation mode <sup><i>n</i></sup> : Simple
Piston-cylinder	DHI	10-TC /	Pressure range [MPa]: 0.35
		serial no. 0693	
		MS-7002-35 /	Total mass [kg]: 35
Waights	DHI	serial no. 2111,	Typical relative uncertainty of
weights		with carrying	mass pieces ( <i>k</i> =1) [ppm]: 2.5
		bell no. 349	
Thermometer	DHI	PG-7601	Serial No.: U856

 Table 1:
 Details of the pressure balance used for the comparison

#1 for example: Simple or controlled-clearance, etc

Table 2: Details of the piston-cylinder

	Material	Linear thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) [°C <sup>-1</sup> ]
Piston	Tungsten carbide	$4.5 \times 10^{-6}$
Cylinder	Tungsten carbide	$4.5 \times 10^{-6}$

Table 3: Details of the effective area of the piston-cylinder

	Value	Uncertainty [k=1]	Traceability <sup>#2</sup>
Zero-pressure effective area $[cm^2]$ at ref. temp., $A_0^{\#3}$ (Ref. temp.: $t_0$ )	9.80528 (t <sub>0</sub> : 20 [°C])	$5.0 \times 10^{-6} \times A_0$	Dimensional measurement, Certificate No.
			50078 PTB 10
Pressure distortion	4.2×10 <sup>-9</sup>	$7.5 \times 10^{-10}$	Manufacturer
Prossure distortion			
coefficient $\lambda_2^{\#3}$ [kPa <sup>-2</sup> ]	-	_	-
(if applicable)			

#2 for example: from PTB, Certificate number 1234, or mercury manometer, etc.

#3  $A_p = A_0 (1 + \lambda_1 p + \lambda_2 p^2)$ 

<u>Note</u>: The zero-pressure effective area  $(A_0)$  was determined from dimensional measurements and from cross-float measurements against the other PCAs used as the primary gas pressure standards at NIMT, described in [2].

The value of pressure distortion coefficient  $(\lambda_1)$  has been taken from the manufacturer and its uncertainty is assumed to be 35% (k = 2).

### 2.2 PTB Laboratory Standard

The PTB LS used in this comparison was a pressure balance with a PCA of 10  $\text{cm}^2$  manufactured by Desgranges et Huot (DH) and identified by serial number 288. Its properties are described in [3] and are summarised in Tables 4 - 6.

	Manufacturer	Model / Serial	Description
Base	РТВ	-	
Piston-cylinder	DH	Serial no. 288	Operation mode <sup>#1</sup> : Simple Pressure range [MPa]: 0.6 - 1
Weights	DH	Serial no. 4138	Total mass [kg]: 100 Typical relative uncertainty of mass pieces ( <i>k</i> =1) [ppm]: 0.5
Thermometer	Greisinger Elektronic	GTF 102	

 Table 4:
 Details of the pressure balance used for the comparison

#1 for example: Simple or controlled-clearance, etc

Table 5: Details of the piston-cylinder

	Material	Linear thermal expansion coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) [°C <sup>-1</sup> ]
Piston	tungsten carbide	$4.5 \times 10^{-6}$
Cylinder	tungsten carbide	4.5×10 <sup>-6</sup>

Table 6: Details of the effective area of the piston-cylinder

	Value	Uncertainty [k=1]	Traceability <sup>#2</sup>
Zero-pressure effective area $[cm^2]$ at ref. temp., $A_0^{\#3}$ (Ref. temp.: $t_0$ )	9.804904 ( <i>t</i> <sub>0</sub> : 20 °C)	$1.68 \times 10^{-6} \times A_0$	PTB, dim. measurements and mercury manometer
Pressure distortion coefficient $\lambda_1^{\#3}$ [kPa <sup>-1</sup> ]	4.0×10 <sup>-9</sup>	1.47×10 <sup>-10</sup>	PTB, elastic theory, dim. data, material properties
Pressure distortion coefficient $\lambda_2^{\#3}$ [kPa <sup>-2</sup> ] (if applicable)	0	0	-

#2 for example: from PTB, Certificate number 1234, or mercury manometer, etc.

#3  $A_p = A_0 (1 + \lambda_1 p + \lambda_2 p^2)$ 

<u>Note</u>: The zero-pressure effective area  $(A_0)$  was determined from dimensional measurements and from measurements against a primary mercury manometer [3], the latter being described in [4].

The pressure distortion coefficient  $(\lambda)$  of the PCA was determined from its dimensions and the elastic constants of its materials using equation

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2E} \left[ \frac{R_2^2 + R_1^2}{R_2^2 - R_1^2} - \frac{R_1^2 - 3r_1^2}{R_1^2 - r_1^2} + 4\mu \right],\tag{1}$$

in which *E* and  $\mu$  are Young's modulus and Poisson's coefficient of the piston-cylinder material,  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are inner and outer cylinder radii, and  $r_1$  is radius of the bore in the piston. Additionally,  $\lambda$  was calculated by the finite element method taking into account the real dimensions of the gap between the piston and cylinder [5].

## 3. DETAILS OF THE MEASUREMENT CONDITIONS

Details of the measurement conditions are given in Tables 7 - 9.

Table 7: Local gravity and height difference

		NIMT	PTB			
	Value Uncertainty (k=1) [m/s <sup>2</sup> ] [mm]		Value [m/s <sup>2</sup> ]	Uncertainty (k=1) [mm]		
Local gravity, g	9.783124	4.9×10 <sup>-6</sup>	9.812533	5.2×10 <sup>-6</sup>		
Height difference, $\Delta h *$	0.0	1.0	-20.45	0.37		

\* It will be positive, if the level of the LS is higher.

Table 8: Piston rotation during measurement

	NIMT	РТВ
LS	Rotate by hand, with speed 15 rpm	Rotate by hand, with speed 20 rpm
TS	Rotate by hand, with speed 15 rpm	Rotate by hand, with speed 20 rpm

Table 9: Instruments for measuring environmental condition

	Parameter	Manufacturer	Model	Uncertainty [k=1]	
	Temperature			0.25 °C	
NIMT	Humidity	Lufft	8150.30	1.25 %	
	Ambient pressure			0.18 mbar	
	Temperature			0.5 °C	
PTB	Humidity	Vaisala	PTU 300	3 %	
	Ambient pressure			0.016 mbar	

## 4. CALIBRATION METHOD: TECHNICAL DETAILS

#### **4.1 NIMT**

The TS PCA was operated in a DHI platform PG 7601, serial no. 216. DHI mass carrying bell, serial no. 316, and DHI mass set, serial no. 2091, were used for operation. The direct comparison method (also called the fall-rate method) was used in the measurements, according to EURAMET cg 3: Calibration of Pressure Balances, Version 1.0 (03/2011). The

pressure generated by LS at the reference level of TS and the effective area of TS were calculated with the formulas given in the Technical Protocol [1].

#### 4.2 PTB

The TS PCA was operated in a DHI platform PG 7601, serial no. 135, belonging to PTB. Also DHI mass carrying bell, serial no. 235, and DHI mass set, serial no. 2067, used for operation of the TS are property of PTB. Pressure differences between TS and LS were measured using a differential pressure cell (DPC) MKS Baratron, type 698A11TRC, serial no. 016390809. DPC readings measured over the time of 60 s were averaged and then used in the calculation. The absolute values of pressure differences between TS and LS were always smaller than 0.64 Pa. The standard uncertainty of the DPC in this pressure range is smaller than 0.08 Pa.

The pressure generated by LS at the reference level of TS, p, was calculated with the well-known formula

$$p = \frac{g \sum_{i} m_{i} (1 - \rho_{a} / \rho_{i}) + \rho_{N_{2}} g V (1 - \rho_{a} / \rho_{N_{2}})}{A_{0} (1 + \lambda p_{\text{nom}}) [1 + 2\alpha (t - t_{0})]} + \rho_{N_{2}} g h (1 - \rho_{a} / \rho_{N_{2}}) + \Delta p$$
(2)

where:

 $m_i$  are masses of the piston, the weight carrier and the mass pieces placed on the weight carrier;

 $\rho_i$  are densities of the parts with masses  $m_i$ ;

 $\rho_{\rm a}$  is air density;

 $\rho_{N2}$  is density of nitrogen at pressure p and temperature t;

*g* is local gravity acceleration;

*V* is free volume in the piston above the pressure reference level;

 $A_0$  is zero-pressure effective area;

 $\lambda$  is pressure distortion coefficient;

 $p_{\rm nom}$  is nominal pressure

 $\alpha$  is thermal expansion coefficient of the piston and cylinder material;

*t* is temperature of the LS;

 $t_0$  is reference temperature,  $t_0 = 20$  °C;

*h* is height difference between the reference levels of the LS and the TS;

 $\Delta p$  is pressure difference between the TS and LS measured with the differential pressure cell.

The density of nitrogen was calculated by equations presented in [6].

The density of air that enters the calculation of the buoyancy effect was determined from the so-called BIPM formula [7] using values of room temperature and atmospheric pressure measured during the calibration process.

The effective area of the TS at pressure p and temperature 20 °C ( $A'_p$ ) was calculated by formula

$$A_{\rm p} = \frac{g \sum_{i} m_{i}(1 - \rho_{\rm a} / \rho_{i})}{p \left[1 + (\alpha_{\rm p} + \alpha_{\rm c})(t - t_{0})\right]}$$
(3)

in which:

 $m'_i$  are masses of the piston, the weight carrier and the mass pieces placed on the weight carrier on the TS;

 $\rho'_i$  are densities of the parts with masses  $m'_i$ ;

 $\alpha'_{p}$  and  $\alpha'_{c}$  are thermal expansion coefficients of the piston and cylinder materials of the TS; *t'* is temperature of the TS.

The zero-pressure effective area and the pressure distortion coefficient of the TS,  $A'_0$  and  $\lambda'$ , were calculated by a linear fit of  $(A'_p; p)$  data using the model equation

$$A'_{p} = A'_{0} \times (1 + \lambda' \cdot p).$$
 (4)

#### 5. MEASUREMENTS RESULTS

The effective area results obtained in individual complete measuring series of each institute are presented in Table 10 - 15.

#### 5.1 NIMT Results

Date:		26 Nov	v. 2012						
Meas.	Nom.	Local	Amb.	Amb.	Amb.	Temp.	Temp.	Pressure	Effective
No.	Pres.	Time	Temp.	R.H.	Pres.	t	t'	p'	area
	[kPa]		[°C]	[%]	[bar]	[°C]	[°C]	[kPa]	$A'_{\rm p}  [\rm cm^2]$
1	60	15:00	20.3	64.5	1.0074	21.16	22.04	60.00394	9.805286
2	100	15:10	20.3	64.0	1.0077	21.18	22.04	100.00673	9.805276
3	150	15:20	20.4	64.0	1.0077	21.19	22.03	150.03520	9.805277
4	200	15:30	20.4	64.0	1.0077	21.21	22.03	200.01376	9.805278
5	250	15:40	20.4	64.0	1.0077	21.22	22.03	249.99240	9.805276
6	300	15:50	20.5	64.0	1.0074	21.23	22.02	300.02066	9.805277
7	350	16:00	20.5	63.5	1.0074	21.23	22.04	349.99919	9.805273
8	350	16:10	20.5	64.0	1.0074	21.24	22.04	349.99916	9.805274
9	300	16:20	20.5	63.5	1.0074	21.26	22.04	300.02068	9.805275
10	250	16:30	20.5	63.5	1.0074	21.27	22.06	249.99251	9.805270
11	200	16:40	20.5	63.0	1.0078	21.29	22.06	200.01387	9.805270
12	150	16:50	20.5	63.0	1.0078	21.31	22.07	150.03519	9.805275
13	100	17:00	20.5	63.0	1.0078	21.32	22.10	100.00671	9.805273
14	60	17:10	20.5	62.5	1.0078	21.35	22.13	60.00398	9.805271

Table 10: Measurement results of NIMT for series 1 of 3

*t* is temperature of the institute's standard;

*t'* is temperature of the TS;

p' is the pressure measured with the institute standard at the local gravity g and the local air density  $\rho_a$  and calculated at the reference level of the TS;

 $A'_{\rm p}$  is the effective area of TS at the reference temperature 20 °C.

Date:		27 Nov. 2012							
Meas.	Nom.	Local	Amb.	Amb.	Amb.	Temp.	Temp.	Pressure	Effective
No.	Pres.	Time	Temp.	R.H.	Pres.	t	t'	p'	area
	[kPa]		[°C]	[%]	[bar]	[°C]	[°C]	[kPa]	$A'_{\rm p}  [\rm cm^2]$
15	60	13:00	20.2	62.0	1.0089	21.16	22.01	60.00402	9.805273
16	100	13:10	20.2	62.0	1.0089	21.17	22.00	100.00671	9.805278
17	150	13:20	20.2	61.5	1.0089	21.18	22.00	150.03521	9.805276
18	200	13:30	20.3	62.0	1.0085	21.20	21.99	200.01384	9.805275
19	250	13:40	20.3	62.0	1.0085	21.21	22.00	249.99247	9.805273
20	300	13:50	20.4	61.5	1.0082	21.22	22.00	300.02072	9.805275
21	350	14:00	20.5	61.5	1.0082	21.23	22.00	349.99914	9.805277
22	350	14:10	20.5	61.5	1.0082	21.25	22.01	349.99927	9.805272
23	300	14:20	20.5	62.0	1.0078	21.26	22.02	300.02085	9.805270
24	250	14:30	20.5	61.5	1.0074	21.28	22.04	249.99258	9.805268
25	200	14:40	20.6	62.0	1.0074	21.30	22.04	200.01393	9.805270
26	150	14:50	20.6	61.5	1.0070	21.32	22.08	150.03525	9.805271
27	100	15:00	20.6	62.0	1.0070	21.36	22.11	100.00674	9.805271
28	60	15:10	20.6	62.0	1.0070	21.39	22.15	60.00397	9.805272

Table 11: Measurement results of NIMT for series 2 of 3

Table 12: Measurement results of NIMT for series 3 of 3

Date:		28 Nov	7. 2012						
Meas.	Nom.	Local	Amb.	Amb.	Amb.	Temp.	Temp.	Pressure	Effective
No.	Pres.	Time	Temp.	R.H.	Pres.	t	ť	p'	area
	[kPa]		[°C]	[%]	[bar]	[°C]	[°C]	[kPa]	$A'_{\rm p}  [\rm cm^2]$
29	60	13:00	20.5	60.5	1.0089	21.37	22.23	60.00386	9.805280
30	100	13:10	20.5	60.0	1.0089	21.39	22.24	100.00653	9.805277
31	150	13:20	20.5	60.5	1.0089	21.39	22.22	150.03490	9.805278
32	200	13:30	20.6	60.0	1.0086	21.39	22.22	200.01347	9.805274
33	250	13:40	20.6	60.0	1.0086	21.40	22.20	249.99198	9.805276
34	300	13:50	20.6	60.0	1.0086	21.40	22.20	300.02025	9.805273
35	350	14:00	20.6	60.5	1.0086	21.41	22.20	349.99866	9.805272
36	350	14:10	20.6	60.0	1.0086	21.42	22.20	349.99863	9.805273
37	300	14:20	20.6	60.5	1.0082	21.42	22.20	300.02041	9.805269
38	250	14:30	20.6	60.5	1.0078	21.44	22.20	249.99212	9.805272
39	200	14:40	20.6	60.5	1.0078	21.46	22.22	200.01357	9.805271
40	150	14:50	20.6	60.5	1.0082	21.48	22.23	150.03500	9.805272
41	100	15:00	20.7	60.5	1.0082	21.51	22.26	100.00659	9.805271
42	60	15:10	20.6	60.5	1.0078	21.54	22.30	60.00388	9.805272

## 5.2 PTB Results

Date:		10 Dec. 2012							
Meas.	Nom.	Local	Amb.	Amb.	Amb.	Temp.	Temp.	Pressure	Effective
No.	Pres.	Time	Temp.	R.H.	Pres.	t	ť	p'	area
	[kPa]		[°C]	[%]	[bar]	[°C]	[°C]	[kPa]	$A'_{\rm p} [{\rm cm}^2]$
									-
1	60	9:05	20.12	26	0.99412	19.81	21.09	60.03761	9.805311
2	100	9:30	20.14	26	0.99465	19.84	21.11	100.06300	9.805309
3	150	9:55	20.15	26	0.99512	19.85	21.11	150.09442	9.805305
4	200	10:20	20.16	27	0.99552	19.86	21.10	200.12580	9.805296
5	250	10:45	20.18	27	0.99606	19.87	21.11	250.15715	9.805296
6	300	11:09	20.18	27	0.99651	19.87	21.11	300.18874	9.805292
7	350	11:41	20.20	28	0.99674	19.88	21.10	350.22045	9.805287
8	350	13:18	20.18	28	0.99806	19.90	21.12	350.22023	9.805289
9	300	13:35	20.17	28	0.99832	19.90	21.12	300.18833	9.805301
10	250	13:52	20.15	27	0.99860	19.89	21.13	250.15684	9.805303
11	200	14:09	20.14	27	0.99885	19.88	21.14	200.12552	9.805301
12	150	14:26	20.13	27	0.99912	19.88	21.13	150.09433	9.805303
13	100	14:44	20.13	27	0.99947	19.87	21.13	100.06290	9.805310
14	60	15:01	20.12	27	0.99990	19.86	21.13	60.03744	9.805328

Table 13: Measurement results of PTB for series 1 of 3

Table 14: Measurement results of PTB for series 2 of 3

Date:		11 Dec. 20	012						
Meas.	Nom.	Local	Amb.	Amb.	Amb.	Temp.	Temp.	Pressure	Effective
No.	Pres.	Time	Temp.	R.H.	Pres.	t	<i>t'</i>	p'	area
	[kPa]		[°C]	[%]	[bar]	[°C]	[°C]	[kPa]	$A'_{\rm p}  [\rm cm^2]$
15	60	08:37:01	19.96	21	1.01301	19.73	20.98	60.03743	9.805321
16	100	08:51:01	19.97	21	1.01300	19.74	20.98	100.06275	9.805316
17	150	09:06:02	19.97	21	1.01300	19.97	20.97	150.09392	9.805323
18	200	09:21:01	19.96	21	1.01314	19.73	20.96	200.12534	9.805304
19	250	09:36:03	19.95	22	1.01338	19.73	20.95	250.15673	9.805300
20	300	09:52:01	19.96	21	1.01349	19.72	20.94	300.18813	9.805300
21	350	10:07:01	19.95	22	1.01356	19.72	20.93	350.21979	9.805294
22	350	12:24:09	20.10	20	1.01347	19.79	20.95	350.21986	9.805290
23	300	12:40:01	20.11	20	1.01355	19.80	20.96	300.18811	9.805299
24	250	12:55:01	20.09	21	1.01359	19.80	20.98	250.15688	9.805291
25	200	13:10:01	20.07	20	1.01366	19.80	20.98	200.12544	9.805296
26	150	13:27:01	20.06	20	1.01363	19.79	20.97	150.09418	9.805305
27	100	13:42:01	20.05	21	1.01366	19.79	20.98	100.06279	9.805312
28	60	13:57:01	20.04	20	1.01376	19.78	20.99	60.03747	9.805313

Date:		12 Dec. 20	012						
Meas.	Nom.	Local	Amb.	Amb.	Amb.	Temp.	Temp.	Pressure	Effective
No.	Pres.	Time	Temp.	R.H.	Pres.	t	ť	p'	area
	[kPa]		[°C]	[%]	[bar]	[°C]	[°C]	[kPa]	$A'_{\rm p}  [\rm cm^2]$
29	60	08:31:06	20.12	22	1.01107	19.86	21.09	60.03742	9.805318
30	100	08:46:02	20.10	22	1.01100	19.86	21.08	100.06284	9.805302
31	150	09:01:06	20.09	23	1.01095	19.86	21.07	150.09400	9.805312
32	200	09:17:04	20.08	23	1.01092	19.85	21.04	200.12547	9.805294
33	250	09:32:01	20.08	23	1.01098	19.84	21.03	250.15661	9.805302
34	300	09:48:05	20.07	21	1.01097	19.83	21.02	300.18799	9.805302
35	350	10:01:01	20.07	22	1.01105	19.83	21.00	350.21978	9.805292
36	350	13:13:01	20.16	23	1.01035	19.86	21.02	350.21980	9.805291
37	300	13:29:01	20.18	23	1.01013	19.88	21.03	300.18822	9.805295
38	250	13:44:08	20.17	23	1.01000	19.88	21.04	250.15679	9.805296
39	200	13:59:01	20.16	23	1.00998	19.88	21.05	200.12530	9.805303
40	150	14:14:03	20.15	23	1.00994	19.88	21.07	150.09421	9.805301
41	100	14:29:03	20.14	23	1.00983	19.87	21.08	100.06284	9.805304
42	60	14:41:03	20.13	23	1.00977	19.87	21.07	60.03743	9.805319

Table 15: Measurement results of PTB for series 3 of 3

The results for all of three measuring series are plotted in Figure 1, in which S1, S2 and S3 represent the result from series 1, 2 and 3, respectively.



#### Effective area of TS (PCU 1671)

Figure 1: Distorted effective area results for all of the measuring points, NIMT and PTB

The summary of all three measuring series of each institute are given in Tables 16 - 17.

Perio	d:	26 Nov. 2012 – 28 Nov. 2012							
Nom. Pres. [kPa]	Typical min. adjusted mass [mg] <sup>1)</sup>	Average of $A'_p$ , $< A'_p > [cm^2]^{2}$	Rel. standard deviation of $< A'_{p} > [10^{-6}]^{-3}$	Rel. standard uncertainty of $p' [10^{-6}]^{4)}$	Standard uncertainty of $t' [°C]^{5)}$	Rel. standard uncertainty of $< A'_{p} > [10^{-6}]^{-6}$			
60	10	9.805276	0.62	5.8	0.25	6.6			
100	10	9.805274	0.32	5.8	0.25	6.6			
150	10	9.805275	0.28	5.8	0.25	6.6			
200	10	9.805273	0.33	5.8	0.25	6.6			
250	20	9.805273	0.33	5.8	0.25	6.6			
300	20	9.805273	0.34	5.8	0.25	6.6			
350	20	9.805273	0.18	5.8	0.25	6.6			

Table 16: Summary results of NIMT

Table 17: Summary results of PTB

Perio	d:	10 Dec. 2012 – 12 Dec. 2012							
Nom. Pres. [kPa]	Typical standard uncert. of $\Delta p$ [kPa] <sup>1)</sup>	Average of $A'_{p}$ , < $A'_{p}$ > [cm <sup>2</sup> ] <sup>2)</sup>	Rel. standard deviation of $\langle A'_{p} \rangle [10^{-6}]^{3}$	Rel. standard uncertainty of $p' [10^{-6}]^{4)}$	Standard uncertainty of t' [°C] <sup>5)</sup>	Rel. standard uncertainty of $\langle A'_{p} \rangle [10^{-6}]^{-6}$			
60	0.17	9.805318	0.8	4.5	0.1	4.8			
100	0.17	9.805309	0.7	3.1	0.1	3.4			
150	0.17	9.805308	1.1	2.7	0.1	3.2			
200	0.18	9.805299	0.6	2.5	0.1	2.9			
250	0.19	9.805298	0.6	2.4	0.1	2.8			
300	0.19	9.805298	0.5	2.4	0.1	2.8			
350	0.19	9.805290	0.3	2.3	0.1	2.7			

1) the smallest mass adjusted on the piston of the TS to reach the equilibrium between it and the institute's standard, if the classical fall rate method is used. If a differential pressure cell was applied to measure the pressure difference between the laboratory and transfer standard, the typical uncertainty of the pressure difference between the reference standard and TS due to this method should be given. In this case the heading of this column shall be changed to "Typical uncertainty of  $\Delta p$  [kPa]";

2) average of the  $A'_{p}$  values measured at the same nominal pressure;

3) standard deviation of the mean value;

4) type B uncertainty of the pressure at the reference level of TS, which includes uncertainty of pressure generated by the institute's standard, of the height difference between the institute standard and TS, of the density of the pressure transmitting medium, etc.;

5) type B uncertainty of the temperature measurement on TS;

6) combined uncertainty of the mean value in 2).

All the uncertainties are expressed as the standard ones.

The average of effective area values measured at the same nominal pressure with its uncertainty for each nominal pressure is plotted in Figure 2. In this figure the scale of pressure (in x-axis) has been slightly shifted for a better resolution of the NIMT and PTB mean values.



Figure 2: Average values of the effective areas measured at the same nominal pressure with their expanded uncertainties (k = 2), NIMT and PTB

Table 18 shows the relative difference of the effective area values between NIMT and PTB, using the data from Tables 16 and 17, the expanded uncertainties of  $A'_{\rm p}$  (*U*) and the normalized error (*E*<sub>n</sub>) that was calculated by the equation below.

$$E_{\rm n} = \frac{\left|\left[_{\rm NIMT} - _{\rm PTB}\right]\right| / _{\rm PTB}}{\left[U^{2}(_{\rm NIMT}) + U^{2}(_{\rm PTB})\right]^{0.5}}$$
(5)

Nom. Pres.	Rel. difference of $\langle A'_p \rangle$ , [ $\langle A'_p \rangle_{\text{NIMT}} - \langle A'_p \rangle_{\text{PTB}}$ ]/ $\langle A'_p \rangle_{\text{PTB}}$	Rel. uncertainty	$E_{ m n}$	
[крај	[10 <sup>-6</sup> ]	$U(\langle A'_p \rangle_{\text{NIMT}})$	$U(_{PTB})$	
60	-4.3	13.2	9.6	0.26
100	-3.6	13.2	6.8	0.24
150	-3.4	13.2	6.4	0.23
200	-2.7	13.2	5.8	0.18
250	-2.5	13.2	5.6	0.18
300	-2.5	13.2	5.6	0.18
350	-1.7	13.2	5.4	0.12

Table 18: Relative difference of the effective areas and  $E_n$ 

The maximum difference of the effective area values between NIMT and PTB occurs at the minimum pressure. It is about 4.3 ppm, which corresponds to  $E_n = 0.26$ . At higher pressure the difference becomes smaller. At pressure 350 kPa, the difference is only 1.7 ppm, which corresponds to  $E_n = 0.12$ . The uncertainty claimed by NIMT is almost the same for all nominal pressures, whereas that by PTB decreases with increasing pressure.

By a linear fit of  $(A'_p; p)$  data using the model equation  $A'_p = A'_0 \cdot (1 + \lambda' \cdot p)$ , the zero-pressure effective area and the pressure distortion coefficient of the TS,  $A'_0$  and  $\lambda'$ , can be obtained as given in Table 19.

	Ň	IMT	РТВ			
	Value	Uncertainty [ <i>k</i> =1]	Value	Uncertainty [ <i>k</i> =1]		
$A'_0$ [cm <sup>2</sup> ]	9.805266	0.000064	9.805320	0.000039		
at ref. temp., 20 °C		$(6.5 \cdot 10^{-6} \times A'_0)$		$(4.0 \cdot 10^{-6} \times A'_0)$		
$\lambda'$ [kPa <sup>-1</sup> ]	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$7.4 \cdot 10^{-10}$	-8.5·10 <sup>-9</sup>	9.4·10 <sup>-9</sup>		

Table 19: Zero-pressure effective area  $(A'_0)$  and pressure distortion coefficient  $(\lambda')$  of TS with their uncertainties

<u>Note</u>: The value of pressure distortion coefficient ( $\lambda'$ ) reported by NIMT was taken from the manufacturer certificate and its uncertainty was assumed to be 35% (k = 2).

PTB explains that the result for  $\lambda'$  cannot be considered as a realistic distortion coefficient because the pressure range of the measurement was too short for an experimental determination of  $\lambda'$ . This is expressed by the rather big uncertainty of  $\lambda'$ .

The distorted effective areas  $A'_p$  from all measurements, the zero-pressure effective areas  $A'_0$ , which were calculated using the model equation  $A'_0 = A'_p / (1 + \lambda' \cdot p)$  with  $\lambda$  given in Table 19, and the average values of  $A'_0$  with their uncertainties are plotted in Figure 3.



Figure 3: Plot of the effective areas,  $A'_p$  and  $A'_0$ , and the average values of  $A'_0$  with their uncertainties (k = 2)

The difference in the zero-pressure effective area of NIMT and PTB is 0.000054 cm<sup>2</sup> or 5.5 ppm, whereas the value of NIMT is lower than of PTB. The expanded uncertainty (k = 2) of  $A'_0$  claimed by NIMT is 13 ppm and by PTB is 8 ppm.

Due to the fact that the pressure range of the measurement is too short for an experimental determination of  $\lambda'$ ; the result for  $\lambda'$  cannot be considered as a realistic value. Therefore, its value should not be compared.

### 6. UNCERTAINTY ESTIMATION

#### 6.1 NIMT

The measurement uncertainty of  $\langle A'_p \rangle$  is determined in accordance with "EURAMET cg 3" [8] and the ISO GUM [9]. The uncertainty budget of the  $\langle A'_p \rangle$  calculated at the minimum and the maximum pressure range, 60 and 350 kPa, are shown in Tables 20 – 21.

Symbol	Source of Uncertainties	Value	Prob. Dist.	Divisor	Sensitivity	Standard Uncer. (m <sup>2</sup> )		DOF	
						р	const.	1/p	
u_A <sub>0</sub> ,std	Uncertainty of A <sub>0</sub> standard (m <sup>2</sup> )	9.8E-09	N	2	1.0E+00		4.9E-09		œ
u_m,std	Uncertainty of STD mass (kg)	3.0E-05	N	2	1.6E-04		2.5E-09		×
$u_{\rho_m}$ ,std	Uncertainty of STD mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	5.0E+01	R	√3	6.1E-12		1.8E-10		œ
u_t,std	Uncertainty of STD temp. (°C)	2.5E-01	R	√3	8.8E-09		1.3E-09		×
u_m,uuc	Uncertainty of UUC mass (kg)	3.0E-05	Ν	2	1.6E-04		2.5E-09		×
u_pm,uuc	Uncertainty of UUC mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	5.0E+01	R	√3	6.1E-12		1.8E-10		×
u_t,uuc	Uncertainty of UUC temp. (°C)	2.5E-01	R	√3	8.8E-09		1.3E-09		×
u_( $\alpha_p + \alpha_c$ )	Uncertainty of thermal exp. (°C <sup>-1</sup> )	9.0E-07	R	√3	2.3E-03		1.2E-09		×
$u_{-}\rho_{a}$	Uncertainty of air density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	5.9E-03	N	2	1.2E-07		3.6E-10		×
u_∆h	Uncertainty of head corr. (m)	2.0E-03	R	√3	6.3E-03			7.2E-06	×
$u_{\rho_{f}}$	Uncertainty of fluid density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	3.7E-03	Ν	2	0.0E+00			0.0E+00	×
u_θ	Uncertainty of verticality (mm/m)	4.0E-01	R	√3	3.9E-10		9.1E-11		×
u_V	Uncertainty of volume UUC (m <sup>3</sup> )	1.0E-06	R	√3	6.4E+00			3.7E-06	×
u_sens	Uncertainty of sensitivity of UUC (kg)	5.0E-06	R	√3	1.6E-04		4.7E-10		×
u_λ,std	Uncertainty of STD distortion coeff. (Pa <sup>-1</sup> )	1.5E-12	N	2	9.8E-04	7.2E-16			œ
u <sub>B</sub>	Type B uncertainty					7.2E-16	6.4E-09	8.1E-06	
u <sub>A</sub>	Type A uncertainty						5.9E-10		
u <sub>c</sub>	Combined uncertainty					7.2E-16	6.4E-09	8.1E-06	
							m <sup>2</sup>		ppm
	Standard uncertainty (k=1)						6.4E-09		6.6

Table 20: Uncertainty budget of the  $\langle A'_p \rangle$  at 60 kPa

Symbol	Source of Uncertainties	Value	Prob. Dist.	Divisor	Sensitivity	Stan	Standard Uncer. (m <sup>2</sup> )		DOF
						р	const.	1/p	
u_A <sub>0</sub> ,std	Uncertainty of A <sub>0</sub> standard (m <sup>2</sup> )	9.8E-09	N	2	1.0E+00		4.9E-09		œ
u_m,std	Uncertainty of STD mass (kg)	1.8E-04	N	2	2.8E-05		2.5E-09		×
$u_{\rho_m}$ ,std	Uncertainty of STD mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	5.0E+01	R	√3	6.1E-12		1.8E-10		œ
u_t,std	Uncertainty of STD temp. (°C)	2.5E-01	R	√3	8.8E-09		1.3E-09		×
u_m,uuc	Uncertainty of UUC mass (kg)	1.8E-04	Ν	2	2.8E-05		2.5E-09		×
u_pm,uuc	Uncertainty of UUC mass density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	5.0E+01	R	√3	6.1E-12		1.8E-10		×
u_t,uuc	Uncertainty of UUC temp. (°C)	2.5E-01	R	√3	8.8E-09		1.3E-09		×
u_( $\alpha_p + \alpha_c$ )	Uncertainty of thermal exp. (°C <sup>-1</sup> )	9.0E-07	R	√3	2.3E-03		1.2E-09		×
$u_{-}\rho_{a}$	Uncertainty of air density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	5.9E-03	N	2	1.2E-07		3.6E-10		×
u_∆h	Uncertainty of head corr. (m)	2.0E-03	R	√3	3.8E-02			4.4E-05	×
$u_{\rho_{f}}$	Uncertainty of fluid density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	1.0E-02	Ν	2	0.0E+00			0.0E+00	×
u_θ	Uncertainty of verticality (mm/m)	4.0E-01	R	√3	3.9E-10		9.1E-11		×
u_V	Uncertainty of volume UUC (m <sup>3</sup> )	1.0E-06	R	√3	3.9E+01			2.2E-05	×
u_sens	Uncertainty of sensitivity of UUC (kg)	1.0E-05	R	√3	2.8E-05		1.6E-10		×
u_λ,std	Uncertainty of STD distortion coeff. (Pa <sup>-1</sup> )	1.5E-12	N	2	9.8E-04	7.2E-16			œ
u <sub>B</sub>	Type B uncertainty					7.2E-16	6.4E-09	4.9E-05	
u <sub>A</sub>	Type A uncertainty						5.9E-10		
u <sub>c</sub>	Combined uncertainty					7.2E-16	6.4E-09	4.9E-05	
							m <sup>2</sup>		ppm
	Standard uncertainty (k=1)						6.4E-09		6.6

Table 21: Uncertainty budget of the  $\langle A'_p \rangle$  at 350 kPa

#### 6.2 PTB

The calculation of the measurement uncertainty of  $\langle A'_p \rangle$  is based on the ISO GUM [9]. The list of the main uncertainty quantities, X, their standard uncertainties, u(X), and their contributions to relative uncertainties of  $\langle A'_p \rangle$ ,  $u(\langle A'_p \rangle)/\langle A'_p \rangle$ , at the minimum and maximum pressures are given in Table 22. The contributions of smaller than  $1 \times 10^{-8}$  are omitted. The values of the outputs were calculated by a numerical method (variation of parameters). A computer program calculated the uncertainty in the values of the output quantities (effective areas) from the uncertainty in the input quantities.

Quantity (V)	<i>u</i> ( <b>V</b> )	$u(\langle A'_p \rangle$	>)/ <a'p></a'p>
	<i>u</i> ( <b>A</b> )	60 kPa	350 kPa
Gravity acceleration change in 0.5 m	1.6·10 <sup>-3</sup> X	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Height difference	0.37 mm	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$
Temperature of LS	0.1 °C	9.0.10-7	9.0·10 <sup>-7</sup>
Temperature of TS	0.1 °C	9.0·10 <sup>-7</sup>	9.0·10 <sup>-7</sup>
Thermal expansion coefficient of LS	9·10 <sup>-7</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	1.3.10-7	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Thermal expansion coefficient of TS	5·10 <sup>-7</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	5.5.10-7	5.5.10-7
DPC reading	0.08 Pa	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Standard deviation of DPC	0.26 Pa	3.5.10-6	6.3·10 <sup>-7</sup>
Pressure distortion coefficient of LS	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ MPa}^{-1}$	$8.8 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$5.1 \cdot 10^{-8}$
Free volume in piston of LS	$3 \text{ cm}^3$	3.3.10-7	3.4.10-7
Non-verticality of NPS	1 mm/m	5.0.10-7	5.0·10 <sup>-7</sup>
Non-verticality of TS	1 mm/m	5.0.10-7	5.0·10 <sup>-7</sup>
Const. mass parts (piston, etc.) on LS	7.5 mg	1.3.10-6	$2.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Const. mass parts (piston, etc.) on TS	3.8 mg	6.3·10 <sup>-7</sup>	1.1.10-7
Density of const. mass parts on LS	$54 \text{ kg/m}^3$	$2.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$4.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$
Density of const. mass parts on TS	51 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	1.5.10-7	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$
Additional mass (deadweights) on LS	max. 17 mg	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$4.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Additional mass (deadweights) on TS	max. 28 mg	$6.9 \cdot 10^{-7}$	7.9·10 <sup>-7</sup>
Density of additional mass on LS	25 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	3.2.10-7	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$
Density of additional mass on TS	20 kg/m <sup>3</sup>	3.2.10-7	4.0.10-7
Effective area of LS	1.7·10 <sup>-6</sup> X	1.7.10-6	1.7.10-6
Type B relative uncertainty of	4.7·10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.7.10-6	
Type A relative uncertainty of	8.0·10 <sup>-7</sup>	3.2.10-7	
Combined relative uncertainty	4.8·10 <sup>-6</sup>	2.7·10 <sup>-6</sup>	

Table 22: List of principal uncertainty components (*u*) for the effective area  $\langle A'_p \rangle$  determined at pressures of 60 kPa and 350 kPa

## 7. CONCLUSION

The relative difference of  $A'_p$  in this bilateral supplementary comparison varies from 1.7 ppm to 4.3 ppm, which corresponds to  $E_n = 0.12$  to 0.26, respectively. Therefore, it confirms that the gas pressure standards maintained by the two institutes, NIMT and PTB, in the pressure range 60 kPa to 350 kPa in gauge mode are equivalent. The results of this bilateral supplementary comparison support the calibration and measurement capabilities (CMC) of NIMT in the pressure range 60 kPa to 350 kPa.

## 8. REFERENCES

- 1. Supplementary comparison of NIMT and PTB pressure standards in the range 60 kPa to 350 kPa of gas gauge pressure. Technical Protocol, 31.10.2012.
- 2. Priruenrom T., Changpan T. The primary gas pressure standards at NIMT, Proceedings of the 6th APMP Pressure & Vacuum Workshop, Wellington, New Zealand, 2012, 6-7.
- 3. Klingenberg G., Lüdicke F. Characterization of a pressure balance from dimensional measurements and from pressure comparison experiments, *PTB-Mitteilungen*, 1991, **101**, 7-18.
- 4. Jäger, J.: Use of a precision mercury manometer with capacitance sensing of the menisci, *Metrologia*, 1993/94, **30**, 553-558.
- 5. Sabuga W., Priruenrom T., Molinar G., Buonanno G., Rabault T., Wongthep P., Pražák D. FEA calculation of pressure distortion coefficients of gas-operated pressure balances EURAMET project 1039, *Measurement*, 2012, **45**, 2464-2468.
- 6. Wagner W., Span R. Special equations of state for methane, argon and nitrogen for the temperature range from 270 to 350 K at pressures up to 30 MPa, *Int. J. Thermophysics*, 1993, **14**, No. 4, 699-725.
- 7. Giacomo P. Equation for the determination of the density of moist air (1981), *Metrologia*, 1982, **18**, 33-40.
- 8. Calibration of pressure balances, EURAMET cg 3, Version 1.0 (03/2011).
- 9. Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement, ISO GUIDE, Geneva, 1993.