

Final report, Ongoing Key Comparison BIPM.QM-K1, Ozone at ambient level, comparison with DHMZ, (July 2022)

Joële Viallon^{1*}, Philippe Moussay¹, Faraz Idrees¹, Robert Wielgosz¹, James Norris², Peter Trask², Eugen Zobaj³ and Petra Lepri³

¹ BIPM (Bureau International des Poids et Mesures), Pavillon de Breteuil, F-92312 Sèvres, France

² NIST (National Institute for Standards and Technology), 100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899

³ DHMZ (Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service), Borongajska cesta 83D/1, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia

Abstract

As part of the ongoing key comparison BIPM.QM-K1, a comparison has been performed between the ozone national standard of Croatia maintained by the Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service (DHMZ) and the common reference standard of the key comparison, maintained by the Bureau International des Poids et Mesures (BIPM). The instruments have been compared over a nominal ozone amount fraction range of 0 nmol mol⁻¹ to 500 nmol mol⁻¹.

Contents:

1. FIELD	2
2. SUBJECT	2
3. PARTICIPANTS	2
4. ORGANIZING BODY	2
5. RATIONALE	2
6. TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	2
7. MEASUREMENTS SCHEDULE	2
8. MEASUREMENT PROTOCOL	2
9. REPORTING MEASUREMENT RESULTS	4
10. POST COMPARISON CALCULATION	4
11. DEVIATIONS FROM THE COMPARISON PROTOCOL	5
12. MEASUREMENT STANDARDS	5
13. MEASUREMENT RESULTS AND UNCERTAINTIES	9
14. ANALYSIS OF THE MEASUREMENT RESULTS BY GENERALISED LEAST-SQUARE REGRESSION	9
15. DEGREES OF EQUIVALENCE	10
16. HISTORY OF COMPARISONS BETWEEN BIPM SRP27, SRP28 AND DHMZ SRP58	11
17. SUMMARY OF PREVIOUS COMPARISONS INCLUDED IN BIPM.QM-K1	12
18. CONCLUSION	12
19. REFERENCES	12

* Author for correspondence. E-mail jviallon@bipm.org, Tel: +33 1 45 07 62 70, Fax: +33 1 45 07 20 21.

1. Field

Amount of substance.

2. Subject

Comparison of reference measurement standards for ozone at ambient level.

3. Participants

BIPM.QM-K1 is an ongoing key comparison, which is structured as an ongoing series of bilateral comparisons. The results of the comparison with the Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service (DHMZ) are reported here.

4. Organizing body

BIPM.

5. Rationale

The ongoing key comparison BIPM.QM-K1 has been running since January 2007. It follows the pilot study CCQM-P28 that included 23 participants and was performed between July 2003 and February 2005 [1]. It is aimed at evaluating the degree of equivalence of ozone photometers that are maintained as national standards, or as primary standards within international networks for ambient ozone measurements. The reference value is determined using the NIST Standard Reference Photometer (BIPM-SRP27) maintained by the BIPM as a common reference.

6. Terms and definitions

- x_{nom} : nominal ozone amount fraction in dry air furnished by the ozone generator
- $x_{A,i}$: i th measurement of the nominal value x_{nom} by the photometer A.
- \bar{x}_A : the mean of N measurements of the nominal value x_{nom} measured by the photometer A: $\bar{x}_A = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_{A,i}$
- s_A : standard deviation of N measurements of the nominal value x_{nom} measured by the photometer A: $s_A^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_{A,i} - \bar{x}_A)^2$
- The result of the linear regression fit performed between two sets of data measured by the photometers A and B during a comparison is written: $x_A = a_{A,B}x_B + b_{A,B}$. With this notation, the photometer A is compared versus the photometer B. $a_{A,B}$ is dimensionless and $b_{A,B}$ is expressed in units of nmol mol⁻¹.

7. Measurements schedule

This is the second participation of DHMZ since 2007. Measurements reported in this report were performed between June and July at the NIST and the BIPM.

8. Measurement protocol

The comparison protocol is summarised in this section. The complete version can be downloaded from the BIPM website ([BIPM.QM-K1 protocol](#)).

This comparison was performed following protocol B, corresponding to a comparison between the DHMZ national standard SRP58 and the common reference standard BIPM-SRP27 maintained at the BIPM via the NIST transfer standard SRP0. SRP0 was first compared with

the common reference standard SRP27 at the BIPM in June 2022. Then the national standard SRP58 and the transfer standard SRP0 were compared at the NIST in July 2022.

A comparison between two (or more) ozone photometers consists of producing ozone-air mixtures at different mole fractions over the required range and measuring these with the photometers.

8.1. Comparisons at the NIST

a). Ozone generation

The air is compressed with an oil-free compressor, dried and scrubbed with a commercial purification system so that the amount fraction of ozone and nitrogen oxides remaining in the air is below detectable limits. This air is used to provide reference air as well as the ozone-air mixture to each ozone photometer. Ozone is produced using an external commercial generator. A common dual external manifold in Pyrex is used to furnish the necessary flows of reference air and ozone-air mixtures to the ozone photometers. The two columns of this manifold are vented to atmospheric pressure.

b). Comparison procedure

Prior to the comparison, all the instruments were switched on and allowed to stabilise for a week or more. Characteristics of the instruments were checked at this time following an internal procedure. Basic adjustments of temperature, pressure, and dark counts following the SRP operating characteristics checkout were performed.

One comparison run includes 10 different mole fractions distributed to cover the range, together with the measurement of reference air at the beginning and end of each run. The nominal mole fractions were measured in a sequence imposed by the protocol (0, 220, 80, 420, 120, 320, 30, 370, 170, 500, 270, and 0) nmol/mol. Each of these points is an average of 10 single measurements.

For each nominal value of the ozone amount-of-substance fraction x_{nom} furnished by the ozone generator, the standard deviation s_{SRP58} on the set of 10 consecutive measurements $x_{\text{SRP22},i}$ recorded by SRP58 was calculated. The measurement results were considered as valid if s_{SRP58} was less than 1 nmol/mol, which ensures that the photometers were measuring a stable ozone concentration. If not, another series of 10 consecutive measurements was performed.

c). Comparison repeatability

The comparison procedure was repeated continuously to evaluate its repeatability.

8.2. Comparisons at the BIPM

a). Ozone generation

The same source of purified air is used for all the ozone photometers being compared. Starting from compressed ambient air, the purification system consisted of a first refrigeration dryer, a catalytic converter to burn residual oil, a second refrigeration dryer, a particulate filter to remove particles larger than 0.1 μm , an active coal filter, and a final zero air generator (AADCO 737R-12), which ensured that the amount fraction of ozone, hydrocarbons, and nitrogen oxides remaining in the air was below detectable limits. This final system also ensured a constant amount fraction of oxygen in air, which is important to generate constant ozone amount fractions in the ozone generator. The relative humidity of the reference air was monitored and the amount fraction of water in air was typically found to be less than 3 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$.

Ozone in air mixtures were produced from the purified air inside the ozone generator (Enviro-nics) equipped with a UV lamp to enable the photolysis of oxygen at a wavelength of 185 nm. To obtain a range of ozone amount fractions, the UV lamp intensity was tuned at appropriate levels. These actions were all controlled by the SRP operating software.

A common dual external Pyrex manifold was used to furnish the necessary flows of reference air and ozone-air mixtures to the ozone photometers. The two columns of this manifold were vented to atmospheric pressure. The same length of Teflon tubing was used to deliver both gas flows to all photometers under comparison, ensuring that they all received homogenized samples and reference air.

b). Comparison procedure

Prior to the comparison, all the instruments were switched on and allowed to stabilise for at least 8 hours. The pressure and temperature measurement systems of the instruments were checked at this time. If any adjustments were required, these were noted.

For this comparison, no adjustments were necessary on BIPM SRPs and NIST SRP0.

One comparison run includes ten different amount fractions of ozone distributed to cover the range, together with the measurement of reference air at the beginning and end of each run. The nominal amount fractions were measured in a sequence imposed by the protocol (0, 220, 80, 420, 120, 320, 30, 370, 170, 500, 270, and 0) nmol mol⁻¹. Each of these points is an average of ten single measurements.

For each nominal value of the ozone amount fraction x_{nom} furnished by the ozone generator, the standard deviation s_{SRP27} on the set of 10 consecutive measurements $x_{\text{SRP27},i}$ recorded by BIPM-SRP27 was calculated. The measurement results were considered as valid if s_{SRP27} was less than 1 nmol mol⁻¹, which ensures that the photometers were measuring a stable ozone concentration. If not, another series of 10 consecutive measurements was performed.

c). Comparison repeatability

The comparison procedure was repeated continuously to evaluate its repeatability. The participant and the BIPM commonly decided when both instruments were stable enough to start recording a set of measurement results to be considered as the official comparison results.

8.3. SRP27 stability check

A second ozone reference standard, BIPM-SRP28, was included in the comparison to verify its agreement with BIPM-SRP27 and thus follow its stability over the period of the ongoing key comparison.

9. Reporting measurement results

The participant and the NIST staff reported the measurement results in the result form BIPM.QM-K1-R3 provided by the BIPM and available on the BIPM website. It includes details on the comparison conditions, measurement results and associated uncertainties, as well as the standard deviation for each series of 10 ozone amount fractions measured by the participant's standard and the common reference standard. The completed form BIPM.QM-K1-R3-DHMZ-22 is given in appendix 1.

10. Post comparison calculation

All calculations were performed by the BIPM using the form BIPM.QM-K1-R3. It includes the two degrees of equivalence that are reported as comparison results in the Appendix B of the

BIPM KCDB (key comparison database). Additionally, the degrees of equivalence at all nominal ozone amount fractions are reported in the same form, as well as the linear relationship between the participant standard and the common reference standard.

11. Deviations from the comparison protocol

In this comparison, there was no deviation from the protocol.

12. Measurement standards

The instruments maintained by the BIPM and DHMZ are Standard Reference Photometers (SRP) built by the NIST. More details on the instrument's principle and its capabilities can be found in [2]. The following section describes the SRP operating principle and uncertainty budget.

12.1. Measurement equation of a NIST SRP

The measurement of the ozone amount fraction by an SRP is based on the absorption of radiation at 253.7 nm by ozonized air in the gas cells of the instrument. One particularity of the instrument design is the use of two gas cells to overcome the instability of the light source. The measurement equation is derived from the Beer-Lambert and ideal gas laws. The number density (C_{O_3}) of ozone is calculated from:

$$C_{O_3} = \frac{-1}{2\sigma L_{opt}} \frac{T}{T_{std}} \frac{P_{std}}{P} \ln(D) \quad (1)$$

where

- σ is the absorption cross-section per molecule of ozone at 253.7 nm under standard conditions of temperature and pressure, $1.1476 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2$ [3].
- L_{opt} is the mean optical path length of the two cells;
- T is the measured temperature of the cells;
- T_{std} is the standard temperature (273.15 K);
- P is the measured pressure of the cells;
- P_{std} is the standard pressure (101.325 kPa);
- D is the product of transmittances of two cells, with the transmittance (T_r) of one cell defined as

$$T_r = \frac{I_{ozone}}{I_{air}} \quad (2)$$

where

- I_{ozone} is the UV radiation intensity measured from the cell when containing ozonized air, and
- I_{air} is the UV radiation intensity measured from the cell when containing pure air (also called reference or zero air).

Using the ideal gas law equation (1) can be recast in order to express the measurement results as an amount fraction (x) of ozone in air:

$$x = \frac{-1}{2\sigma L_{opt}} \frac{T}{P} \frac{R}{N_A} \ln(D) \quad (3)$$

where

- N_A is the Avogadro constant, $6.022\,140\,76 \times 10^{23} \text{ mol}^{-1}$
- R is the gas constant, $8.314\,462\,618 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$

The formulation implemented in the SRP software, although equivalent in terms of the measurement results, differs from the above in the choice of a unit system based on the “atm” (atmosphere) as unit for the pressure, rather than the SI. The conversion between the two systems is further detailed in a BIPM report[4], in which the units and values for the ozone absorption cross section at 253.65 nm (air) are discussed as well.

12.2. Absorption cross-section for ozone

The absorption coefficient under standard conditions α_0 used within the SRP software algorithm is $308.32 \text{ atm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. This corresponds to a value for the absorption cross section σ of $1.1476 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2$, rather than the more often quoted $1.147 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2$ reported by Hearn in 1961 [5]. The CCQM recommended in 2020 [6] that a new value for the ozone absorption cross section be used in the on-going key comparison BIPM.QM-K1 and in all ozone photometers acting as ozone standards. A CCQM Task Group was created in 2020 to manage the synchronous change of ozone cross-section worldwide, with the aim to implement the new, consensus value, named CCQM.O3.2019 proposed by Hodges *et al.* [7], within the next 1 to 3 years.

In the comparison of two SRP instruments, the absorption cross-section can be considered to have a conventional value and its uncertainty can be set to zero. However, in the comparison of different methods or when considering the complete uncertainty budget of the method the uncertainty of the absorption cross-section should be taken into account.

12.3. Condition of the BIPM SRPs

SRP27 and SRP28 were built in 2002. Compared to the original design described in [2], both instruments have been modified to deal with two biases revealed by the study conducted by the BIPM and the NIST in 2006 [8]. In 2009, an “SRP upgrade kit” was installed in the instruments [9]. In 2021, their electronic modules were upgraded. Negligible impact on their measurement results was demonstrated [10].

12.4. Uncertainty budget of the common reference BIPM-SRP27

The uncertainty budget for the ozone amount fraction in dry air (x) measured by the instruments BIPM-SRP27 and BIPM-SRP28 in the nominal range 0 nmol mol^{-1} to $500 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$ is given in Table 1.

Table 1: Uncertainty budget for the SRPs maintained by the BIPM

Component (y)	Uncertainty $u(y)$				Sensitivity coefficient $c_i = \frac{\partial x}{\partial y}$	contribution to $u(x)$ $ c_i \cdot u(y)$ nmol mol^{-1}
	Source	Distribution	Standard Uncertainty	Combined standard uncertainty $u(y)$		
Optical Path L_{opt}	Measurement scale	Rectangular	0.0006 cm	0.52 cm	$-\frac{x}{L_{\text{opt}}}$	$2.89 \times 10^{-3}x$
	Repeatability	Normal	0.01 cm			
	Correction factor	Rectangular	0.52 cm			
Pressure P	Pressure gauge	Rectangular	0.029 kPa	0.034 kPa	$-\frac{x}{P}$	$3.37 \times 10^{-4}x$
	Difference between cells	Rectangular	0.017 kPa			
Temperature T	Temperature probe	Rectangular	0.03 K	0.07 K	$\frac{x}{T}$	$2.29 \times 10^{-4}x$
	Temperature gradient	Rectangular	0.058 K			
Ratio of intensities D	Scaler resolution	Rectangular	8×10^{-6}	1.4×10^{-5}	$\frac{x}{D \ln(D)}$	0.28
	Repeatability	Triangular	1.1×10^{-5}			
Absorption Cross section per molecule σ	Hearn value		$1.22 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2$	$1.22 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^2$	$-\frac{x}{\alpha}$	$1.06 \times 10^{-2}x$

Following this budget, as explained in the protocol of the comparison, the standard uncertainty associated with the ozone amount fraction measurement with the BIPM SRPs can be expressed as a numerical equation (numerical values expressed as nmol mol^{-1}):

$$u(x) = \sqrt{(0.28)^2 + (2.92 \cdot 10^{-3}x)^2} \quad (4)$$

12.5. Covariance terms for the common reference BIPM-SRP27

As explained in section 14, correlations in between the results of two measurements performed at two different ozone amount fractions with BIPM-SRP27 were taken into account in the software OzoneE. More details on the covariance expression can be found in the protocol. The following expression was applied:

$$u(x_i, x_j) = x_i \cdot x_j \cdot u_b^2 \quad (5)$$

where:

$$u_b^2 = \frac{u^2(T)}{T^2} + \frac{u^2(P)}{P^2} + \frac{u^2(L_{\text{opt}})}{L_{\text{opt}}^2} \quad (6)$$

The value of u_b is given by the expression of the measurement uncertainty: $u_b = 2.92 \times 10^{-3}$.

12.6. Condition of the DHMZ SRP58

The DHMZ SRP58 has been constructed by NIST in 2016 with the new design, which includes the ‘‘SRP upgrade kit’’ in order to deal with the two biases revealed in [4]. Prior to this comparison, the electronic module was upgraded to the new cDAQ design at NIST, in a similar fashion than performed on BIPM SRPs [10].

12.7. Uncertainty budget of the DHMZ SRP58

The uncertainty budget for the ozone amount-of-substance fraction in dry air x measured by the DHMZ standard SRP58 in the range 0 nmol mol^{-1} to $500 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$ is displayed in the table below.

Table 2: Uncertainty budget for the SRP maintained by the DHMZ

Parameter	Component	Type	Distribution	Value	Units	Coefficient	Standard uncertainty	Units	Sensitivity Coefficient	Units	Contribution to the uncertainty budget	Units
$U_{I_{p-r}}$	Optical path length - reproducibility	B	Normal	0.002	cm	1	0.002	cm	-0.0055976	cm^{-1}	0.000932933	%
$U_{L_{MS}}$	Optical path length - measurement scale	B	Rectangular	0.001	cm	1,7320508	0.0005	cm	-0.0055976	cm^{-1}	0.000279872	%
U_{L_d}	Optical path length - thickness of each quartz window	B	Rectangular	0.003	cm	1,7320508	0.001443376	cm	-0.0055976	cm^{-1}	0.000807944	%
$U_{L_{corr}}$	Optical path length - Correction factor	B	Rectangular	0.893	cm	1,7320508	0.515712354	cm	-0.0055976	cm^{-1}	0.288675135	%
U_{p_g}	Pressure - gauge	B	Rectangular	0.051	kPa	1,7320508	0.029250008	kPa	-0.0098692	kPa^{-1}	0.028867513	%
U_{p_d}	Pressure - difference between cells	B	Rectangular	0.030	kPa	1,7320508	0.017320508	kPa	-0.0098692	kPa^{-1}	0.017094012	%
$U_{T_{cal}}$	Temperature - PRT calibration	B	Rectangular	0.050	K	1,7320508	0.028867513	K	-0.003354	K^{-1}	0.009682211	%
$U_{T_{grad}}$	Temperature - gradient	B	Rectangular	0.100	K	1,7320508	0.057735027	K	-0.003354	K^{-1}	0.019364423	%
$U_{I_{sb}}$	Ratio of intensities - scaler resolution	B	Rectangular	1.38564E-05	/	1,7320508	0.000008	/	-2.004E-05	/	0.160306839	nmol / mol
$U_{I_{rb}}$	Scaler resolution - repeatability	B	Triangular	0.000	/	2,4494897	0.000011	/	-2.004E-05	/	0.220421904	nmol / mol
$u_{a_{21}}$	Absorption cross-section	Conventional value	/	0,000	$\text{cm}^2/\text{molecule}$	1	1,22E-19	$\text{cm}^2/\text{molecule}$	-8,71E+16	$\text{cm}^2/\text{molecule}$	1,063088184	%

Following this budget, the standard uncertainty associated with the ozone amount fraction measurement with the SRP58 can be expressed as a numerical equation (numerical values expressed as nmol mol^{-1}):

$$u(x) = \sqrt{(0.28)^2 + (2.92 \cdot 10^{-3}x)^2} \quad (7)$$

No covariance term for the DHMZ SRP58 was included in the calculations.

12.8. Transfer standard SRP0

SRP 0 was upgraded to the new cDAQ electronics system and now contains four independent temperature sensors, two for each cell and independent pressure transducers for each cell. The

SRP0 uncertainty budget remains the same until further evaluations can be made. It is reproduced in the last comparison report for NIST [11].

13. Measurement results and uncertainties

Details of the measurement results, the measurement uncertainties and the standard deviations at each nominal ozone amount fraction can be found in the form BIPM.QM-K1-R3-DHMZ-22 given in appendix 1.

14. Analysis of the measurement results by generalised least-square regression

The relationship between the national and reference standards was first evaluated with a generalised least-square regression fit, using the software OzonE. This software, which is documented in a publication [12], is an extension of the previously used software B_Least recommended by the ISO standard 6143:2001 [13]. It includes the possibility to take into account correlations between measurements performed with the same instrument at different ozone amount fractions.

The two comparisons performed via the transfer standard were treated as follows:

- The first comparison results are calculated by performing a linear regression on the twelve data points from the BIPM visit (x_{RS} , x_{TS}) (calibration of the transfer standard) followed by a second linear regression of the twelve data points from the pre BIPM visit (x_{NS} , x'_{TS}), x'_{TS} being the corrected values of the transfer standard calibrated by the reference standard.
- The second comparison results are calculated by performing a linear regression on the twelve data points from the BIPM visit (x_{RS} , x_{TS}) (calibration of the transfer standard) followed by a second linear regression of the twelve data points from the post BIPM visit (x_{NS} , x'_{TS}), x'_{TS} being the corrected values of the transfer standard calibrated by the reference standard.

For each comparison, a linear relationship between the ozone amount-of-substance fractions measured by SRPn and SRP27 is obtained:

$$x_{SRPn} = a_0 + a_1 x_{SRP27} \quad (8)$$

The associated uncertainties on the slope $u(a_1)$ and the intercept $u(a_0)$ are given by OzonE, as well as the covariance between them and the usual statistical parameters to validate the fitting function.

14.1. Least-square regression results

The relationship between SRP58 and SRP27 is:

$$x_{SRP58} = -0.04 + 1.0049 x_{SRP27} \quad (9)$$

and the standard uncertainties on the parameters of the regression are $u(a_1) = 0.0038$ for the slope and $u(a_0) = 0.31 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1}$ for the intercept. The covariance between the two parameters is $\text{cov}(a_0, a_1) = -4.39 \times 10^{-4}$,

The least-squares regression results confirm that a linear fit is appropriate, with a sum of the squared deviations (SSD) of 0.39 and a goodness of fit (GoF) equals to 0.38.

To assess the agreement of the standards using equation 9, the difference between the calculated slope value and unity, and the intercept value and zero, together with their measurement uncertainties need to be considered. In this comparison, the value of the intercept is consistent with an intercept of zero, considering the uncertainty in the value of this parameter; i.e. $|a_0| <$

$2u(a_0)$, and the value of the slope is consistent with a slope of 1; i.e. $|1 - a_1| < 2u(a_1)$.

15. Degrees of equivalence

Degrees of equivalence are calculated at two nominal ozone amount fractions among the twelve measured in each comparison, in the nominal range 0 nmol mol⁻¹ to 500 nmol mol⁻¹: 80 nmol mol⁻¹ and 420 nmol mol⁻¹. These values correspond to points number 3 and 4 recorded in each comparison. As an ozone generator has limited reproducibility, the ozone amount fractions measured by the ozone standards can differ from the nominal values. However, as stated in the protocol, the value measured by the common reference SRP27 was expected to be within ± 15 nmol mol⁻¹ of the nominal value. Hence, it is meaningful to compare the degree of equivalence calculated for all the participants at the same nominal value.

15.1. Definition of the degrees of equivalence

The degree of equivalence of the participant i , at a nominal value x_{nom} is defined as:

$$D_i = x_i - \hat{x}_{\text{SRP27}} \quad (10)$$

Where x_i is the measurement results of the national standard at the nominal value x_{nom} , and \hat{x}_{SRP27} is the predicted value of SRP27 at the same nominal value, deduced from the transfer standard measurement result during its comparison with the national standard. Its associated standard uncertainty is:

$$u(D_i) = \sqrt{u_i^2 + \hat{u}_{\text{SRP27}}^2} \quad (11)$$

where u_i and \hat{u}_{SRP27} are the measurement uncertainties of the participant i and of SRP27 predicted value respectively.

15.2. Calculation of SRP27 predicted values and their related uncertainties

The comparison performed at the BIPM between the transfer standard and the reference standard SRP27 is used to calibrate the transfer standard. The data \bar{x}_{RS} and \bar{x}_{TS} are fitted using the generalised least square program OzonE, taking into account the associated uncertainties $u(\bar{x}_{\text{RS}})$ and $u(\bar{x}_{\text{TS}})$, as well as covariance terms between the reference standard measurement results.

The parameters $a_{\text{RS,TS}}$ and $b_{\text{RS,TS}}$ of the linear relationship between x_{RS} and x_{TS} ($x_{\text{RS}} = a_{\text{RS,TS}} x_{\text{TS}} + b_{\text{RS,TS}}$) are calculated as well as their uncertainties.

Then, for each value \bar{x}_{TS} measured with the transfer standard during its comparison with the national standard, a predicted value \hat{x}_{RS} for the reference standard is evaluated using the linear relationships between the two instruments calculated above.

The standard uncertainties associated with the predicted values \hat{x}_{RS} are evaluated according to the equation:

$$u(\hat{x}_{\text{RS}}) = \sqrt{u^2(b_{\text{RS,TS}}) + x_{\text{TS}}^2 \cdot u^2(a_{\text{RS,TS}}) + a_{\text{RS,TS}}^2 \cdot u^2(x_{\text{TS}}) + 2 \cdot x_{\text{TS}} \cdot u(a_{\text{RS,TS}} \cdot b_{\text{RS,TS}})} \quad (12)$$

Where the uncertainty components $u(a_{\text{RS,TS}})$, $u(b_{\text{RS,TS}})$ and $u(a_{\text{RS,TS}} \cdot b_{\text{RS,TS}})$ are calculated with the generalised least-square software OzonE.

15.3. Values of the degrees of equivalence

When protocol B is followed, the national and reference standards are compared twice to monitor the transfer standard stability. Therefore, two degrees of equivalence are calculated at each nominal ozone amount-of-substance fraction.

The degrees of equivalence and their uncertainties calculated in the form BIPM.QM-K1-R3-DHMZ-22 are reported in the table below. Corresponding graphs of equivalence are displayed in Figure 1. The expanded uncertainties are calculated with a coverage factor $k = 2$.

Table 3 : degrees of equivalence of the DHMZ at the ozone nominal amount fractions 80 nmol mol⁻¹ and 420 nmol mol⁻¹

Nominal value	$x_i /$	$u_i /$	$x_{SRP27} /$	$u_{SRP27} /$	$D_i /$	$u(D_i) /$	$U(D_i) /$
	(nmol mol ⁻¹)	(nmol mol ⁻¹)	(nmol mol ⁻¹)	(nmol mol ⁻¹)	(nmol mol ⁻¹)	(nmol mol ⁻¹)	(nmol mol ⁻¹)
80	82.10	0.37	81.84	0.47	0.26	0.60	1.19
420	424.80	1.27	422.73	1.84	2.07	2.24	4.47




Figure 1: degrees of equivalence of the DHMZ at the two nominal ozone amount fractions 80 nmol mol⁻¹ and 420 nmol mol⁻¹

The degrees of equivalence between the DHMZ standard and the common reference standard BIPM SRP27 indicate good agreement between the standards. A discussion on the relation between degrees of equivalence and CMC statements can be found in [1].

16. History of comparisons between BIPM SRP27, SRP28 and DHMZ SRP58

Results of the previous comparison performed with DHMZ are displayed in Figure 2 together with the results of this comparison. The slopes a_1 of the linear relation $x_{SRPn} = a_0 + a_1 x_{SRP27}$ are

represented together with their associated uncertainties calculated at the time of each comparison. Figure 2 shows that all standards included in these comparisons stayed in close agreement.




Figure 2 : Results of previous comparisons between SRP27, SRP28 and DHMZ-SRP58. Uncertainties are calculated at $k = 2$, with the uncertainty budget in use at the time of each comparison.

17. Summary of previous comparisons included in BIPM.QM-K1

The comparison with DHMZ is the second one since the start of BIPM.QM-K1 in 2007. An updated summary of BIPM.QM-K1 results can be found in the key comparison database: <http://kcdb.bipm.org/appendixB/>.

18. Conclusion

For the second time since the launch of the ongoing key comparison BIPM.QM-K1, a comparison has been performed between the ozone national standard of Croatia, maintained by the DHMZ, and the common reference standard of the key comparison, maintained by the BIPM. The instruments have been compared over a nominal ozone amount fraction range of 0 nmol mol⁻¹ to 500 nmol mol⁻¹. Degrees of equivalence of this comparison indicated very good agreement between both standards. The standard maintained by Croatia was modified at NIST prior to this comparison to upgrade its electronics, and the good agreement shows that the impact of that change is negligible.

19. References

- [1] Viallon J., Moussay P., Esler M., Wielgosz R., Bremser W., Novák J., Vokoun M., Botha A., Janse Van Rensburg M., Zellweger C., et al., 2006, PILOT STUDY: International Comparison CCQM-P28: Ozone at ambient level, *Metrologia*, **43, Tech. Suppl.**, 08010, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0026-1394/43/1A/08010>
- [2] Paur R.J., Bass A.M., Norris J.E. and Buckley T.J. NIST 2003 Standard reference photometer for the assay of ozone in calibration atmospheres *Env. Sci. Technol.* **NISTIR 6369**, February 2003, p 25,
- [3] ISO 13964 : 1996 Ambient air - Determination of ozone - Ultraviolet photometric method (International Organization for Standardization)

- [4] Davis R.S., Niederhauser B., Hodges J.T., Viallon J. and Wielgosz R.I. BIPM 2022 Units and values for the ozone absorption cross section at 253.65 nm (air) with appropriate significant digits and rounding for use in documentary standards **Rapport BIPM-2022/02** p 16, <https://www.bipm.org/documents/20126/27085544/RapportBIPM-2022-02.pdf/f93def70-2544-ff13-ae63-3bc73f36688e?version=1.3&t=1646932738907&download=true>
- [5] Hearn A.G., 1961, The absorption of ozone in the ultra-violet and visible regions of the spectrum, *Proc. Phys. Soc.*, **78**, 932-940, <http://www.iop.org/EJ/abstract/0370-1328/78/5/340>
- [6] Recommendation 1 (2020): On the recommended value of the ozone absorption cross section per molecule at 253.65 nm (air) for applications including the measurement of atmospheric ozone amount fractions, [https://www.bipm.org/documents/20126/43885127/CCQM20-30 CCQM recommendation on Ozone X-Section Final Approved.docx.pdf/9ea02056-4a7c-b2d3-b1ea-9a9903e91fdd](https://www.bipm.org/documents/20126/43885127/CCQM20-30_CCQM_recommendation_on_Ozone_X-Section_Final_Approved.docx.pdf/9ea02056-4a7c-b2d3-b1ea-9a9903e91fdd) 2022-03-22
- [7] Hodges J.T., Viallon J., Brewer P.J., Drouin B.J., Gorshchev V., Janssen C., Lee S., Possolo A., Smith M.A.H., Walden J., et al., 2019, Recommendation of a consensus value of the ozone absorption cross-section at 253.65 nm based on a literature review, *Metrologia*, **56**, 034001, <https://doi.org/10.1088/1681-7575/ab0bdd>
- [8] Viallon J., Moussay P., Norris J.E., Guenther F.R. and Wielgosz R.I., 2006, A study of systematic biases and measurement uncertainties in ozone mole fraction measurements with the NIST Standard Reference Photometer, *Metrologia*, **43**, 441-450, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0026-1394/43/5/016>
- [9] Viallon J., Moussay P., Idrees F. and Wielgosz R.I. BIPM 2010 Upgrade of the BIPM Standard Reference Photometers for Ozone and the effect on the on-going key comparison BIPM.QM-K1 **Rapport BIPM-2010/07** p 16,
- [10] Viallon J., Moussay P., Idrees F. and Wielgosz R.I. BIPM 2022 Upgrade of the electronics modules of the BIPM Standard Reference Photometers for ozone and the effect on the on-going key comparison BIPM.QM-K1 **Rapport BIPM-2022/01** p 8, <https://www.bipm.org/documents/20126/27085544/RapportBIPM-2022-01.pdf/755a1be0-d505-3d99-0a6f-f332862de531?version=1.2&t=1646737070908&download=true>
- [11] Viallon J., Idrees F., Moussay P., Wielgosz R., Norris J. and Trask P., 2023, Final report, ongoing key comparison BIPM.QM-K1, ozone at ambient level, comparison with NIST, July 2022, *Metrologia*, **60**, 08009, 10.1088/0026-1394/60/1A/08009
- [12] Bremser W., Viallon J. and Wielgosz R.I., 2007, Influence of correlation on the assessment of measurement result compatibility over a dynamic range, *Metrologia*, **44**, 495-504, <https://doi.org/10.1088/0026-1394/44/6/009>
- [13] ISO 6143: 2001 Gas analysis - Comparison methods for determining and checking the composition of calibration gas mixtures (International Organization for Standardization)

Appendix 1 - Form BIPM.QM-K1-R3-DHMZ-22

See the following pages.

**OZONE COMPARISON BIPM.QM-K1
RESULTS FORM TO LINK AN RMO COMPARISON**

Linking institute information	
Institute	NIST
RMO	SIM
Address	100 Bureau Drive, Gaithersburg, MD 20899
Contact	James Norris/Peter Trask
Email	james.norris@nist.gov/peter.trask@nist.gov
Telephone	301-975-3936/301-975-2314

Participating institute information	
Institute	Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service Calibration laboratory Department (DHMZ - SOUL)
RMO	State Office for Metrology (DZM)
Address	Borongajska cesta 83D/1, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
Contact	Eugen Zobaj; Petra Lepri
Email	zobaj@cirus.dhz.hr ; lepri@cirus.dhz.hr
Telephone	+385 91 4564 652

Instruments information			
	Reference Standard Photometer	Participating Institute National Standard	Linking institute National Standard
Manufacturer	NIST	NIST	NIST
Type	SRP	SRP	SRP
Serial number	SRP27	SRP58	SRP0
ozone cross-section value	308.32 atm⁻¹ cm⁻¹	308.32 atm⁻¹ cm⁻¹	308.32 atm-1 cm-1

Note: in this form, the term "transfer standard (TS)" is used to designate the linking laboratory's standard, and the term "national standard (NS)" designates the participating institute's standard

Content of the report

page 1	General informations
page 3	Summary of the comparison results
page 4	calculation of the national standard vs reference standard relationship
page 5	Data reporting sheet - first comparison of the transfer standard vs the national standard
page 7	Calibration of the transfer standard by the reference standard at the BIPM
page 9	Uncertainty budgets

Please complete the cells containing blue stars only.

*After completion of the appropriate section of this report, please send to Joële Viallon
by email (jviallon@bipm.org), fax (+33 1 45342021), or mail (BIPM, Pavillon de Breteuil, F-92312 Sèvres)*

comparison national standard (RS) vs reference standard (NS)

Summary of comparison results


Equation
$$x_{NS} = a_{NS,RS} x_{RS} + b_{NS,RS}$$

Least-square regression parameters

	$a_{NS,RS}$	$u(a_{NS,RS})$	$b_{NS,RS}$ (nmol/mol)	$u(b_{NS,RS})$ (nmol/mol)	$u(a,b)$
first comparison	1.0049	0.0038	-0.04	0.31	-4.39E-04

Degrees of equivalence at 80 nmol/mol and 420 nmol/mol:

Nom value (nmol/mol)	D_i (nmol/mol)	$u(D_i)$ (nmol/mol)	$U(D_i)$ (nmol/mol)
80	0.29	0.60	1.19
420	2.08	2.24	4.47



Calculation of the National Standard vs Reference Standard comparison results through the National Standard vs Transfer Standard comparison

First comparison results

Nominal value	National standard measurement results		Transfer standard measurement results		Reference Standard predicted values	
	x_{NS} nmol/mol	$u(x_{NS})$ nmol/mol	x_{TS} nmol/mol	$u(x_{TS})$ nmol/mol	x'_{RS} nmol/mol	$u(x'_{RS})$ nmol/mol
0	-0.02	0.28	0.05	0.28	0.12	0.35
220	221.01	0.70	220.22	0.70	219.95	0.98
80	82.10	0.37	81.87	0.37	81.82	0.47
420	424.80	1.27	423.29	1.27	422.72	1.84
120	121.30	0.45	120.90	0.45	120.78	0.60
320	325.90	0.99	324.94	0.99	324.51	1.42
30	29.10	0.29	28.98	0.29	29.01	0.36
370	375.83	1.13	374.62	1.13	374.12	1.63
170	172.18	0.57	171.55	0.57	171.35	0.79
500	511.46	1.51	509.69	1.51	508.98	2.22
270	273.21	0.84	272.23	0.84	271.89	1.20
0	0.10	0.28	0.00	0.28	0.07	0.35

Reference standard predicted values are deduced from the transfer standard measurement results using the calibration performed at the BIPM, with the parameters calculated in Excel Worksheet 4 (page 7)

$$x'_{RS} = a_{RS,TS} x_{TS} + b_{RS,TS} \quad u(x'_{RS}) = \sqrt{a_{RS,TS}^2 \cdot u(x_{TS})^2 + x_{TS}^2 \cdot u(a_{RS,TS})^2 + u(b_{RS,TS})^2 + 2 \cdot x_{TS} \cdot u(a_{RS,TS}) \cdot b_{RS,TS}}$$

$a_{RS,TS}$	0.9985	$b_{NRS,TS}$ (nmol/mol)	0.07	$u(a, b)$	-2.10E-04
$u(a_{RS,TS})$	0.0033	$u(b_{RS,TS})$ (nmol/mol)	0.22		

Degrees of Equivalence		$D_i = x_{NS} - x'_{RS}$		
Point Number	Nom value (nmol/mol)	D_i (nmol/mol)	$u(D_i)$ (nmol/mol)	$U(D_i)$ (nmol/mol)
1	0	-0.14	0.45	0.90
2	220	1.06	1.21	2.42
3	80	0.29	0.60	1.19
4	420	2.08	2.24	4.47
5	120	0.51	0.75	1.50
6	320	1.39	1.73	3.46
7	30	0.09	0.46	0.93
8	370	1.71	1.99	3.97
9	170	0.82	0.97	1.95
10	500	2.47	2.68	5.37
11	270	1.32	1.47	2.93
12	0	0.04	0.45	0.90

Least-square regression parameters				
$a_{NS,RS}$	$u(a_{NS,RS})$	$b_{NS,RS}$ (nmol/mol)	$u(b_{NS,RS})$ (nmol/mol)	$u(a, b)$
1.0048673	0.0037733	-0.0449156	0.3120605	-0.0004389

Data reporting sheet
Comparison of transfer standard (TS) vs national standard (NS)

Operator	Jim Norris/Peter Trask	Location	NIST
Comparison begin date / time	25/7/2022: 12:52	Comparison end date / time	25/7/2022: 15:00

measurement results						
Nominal value	Transfer standard (TS)			National Standard (NS)		
	x_{TS} nmol/mol	s_{TS} nmol/mol	$u(x_{TS})$ nmol/mol	x_{NS} nmol/mol	s_{NS} nmol/mol	$u(x_{NS})$ nmol/mol
0	0.05	0.15	0.28	-0.02	0.07	0.28
220	220.22	0.15	0.70	221.01	0.19	0.70
80	81.87	0.19	0.37	82.10	0.24	0.37
420	423.29	0.19	1.27	424.80	0.22	1.27
120	120.90	0.15	0.45	121.30	0.06	0.45
320	324.94	0.17	0.99	325.90	0.22	0.99
30	28.98	0.17	0.29	29.10	0.17	0.29
370	374.62	0.15	1.13	375.83	0.29	1.13
170	171.55	0.23	0.57	172.18	0.20	0.57
500	509.69	0.15	1.51	511.46	0.15	1.51
270	272.23	0.26	0.84	273.21	0.11	0.84
0	0.00	0.18	0.28	0.10	0.16	0.28

Note : according to the protocol, these measurement results are the last TS-NS comparison measurement results recorded

Covariance terms in between two measurement results of the national standard


Equation $u(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \cdot x_i \cdot x_j$ Value of α 0.00E+00

Comparison conditions


Ozone generator manufacturer	EnviroNics
Ozone generator type	Model 6100
Ozone generator serial number	3355
Room temperature(min-max) / °C	20.6/20.8
Room pressure (average) / hpa	997.3
Zero air source	Aadco 737
Reference air flow rate (L/min)	2
Sample flow rate (L/min)	2
Instruments stabilisation time	weeks
Instruments acquisition time /s (one measurement)	25
Instruments averaging time /s	250
Total time for ozone conditioning	120 min.
Ozone mole fraction during conditioning	1000 nmol/mol
Comparison repeated continuously (Yes/No)	Yes
If no, ozone mole fraction in between the comparison repeats	***
Total number of comparison repeats realised	30

Instruments checks and adjustments

National Standard



Transfer Standard



calibration of the transfer standard (TS) by the reference standard (RS)

Operator	Faraz Idrees	Location	BIPM/CHEM9
Comparison begin date / time	03/06/2022: 12:14	Comparison end date / time	03/06/2022: 14:19

Calibration results

Equation

$$x_{RS} = a_{RS,TS} x_{TS} + b_{RS,TS}$$

Least-square regression parameters				
$a_{RS,TS}$	$u(a_{RS,TS})$	$b_{RS,TS}$ (nmol/mol)	$u(b_{RS,TS})$ (nmol/mol)	$u(a,b)$
0.9984880	0.0032772	0.0668147	0.2186220	-0.0002096

(Least-square regression parameters will be computed by the BIPM using the software OzonE v2.0)

Measurement results

Nominal value	Transfer standard (TS)			Reference Standard (RS)		
	x_{TS} nmol/mol	s_{TS} nmol/mol	$u(x_{TS})$ nmol/mol	x_{RS} nmol/mol	s_{RS} nmol/mol	$u(x_{RS})$ nmol/mol
0	0.03	0.14	0.28	0.04	0.18	0.28
220	213.61	0.13	0.68	213.36	0.23	0.68
80	83.72	0.13	0.37	83.60	0.21	0.37
420	423.08	0.23	1.27	422.60	0.31	1.27
120	120.09	0.19	0.45	119.86	0.28	0.45
320	313.33	0.08	0.96	312.88	0.36	0.96
30	36.38	0.32	0.30	36.62	0.29	0.30
370	367.44	0.14	1.11	367.05	0.22	1.11
170	166.70	0.16	0.56	166.47	0.30	0.56
500	518.24	0.16	1.54	517.57	0.20	1.54
270	262.39	0.13	0.82	262.02	0.29	0.81
0	0.07	0.16	0.28	0.08	0.18	0.28

Note : according to the protocol, these measurement results are the last TS-RS comparison measurement results

Covariance terms in between two measurement results of the reference standard

Equation $u(x_i, x_j) = \alpha \cdot x_i \cdot x_j$

Value of α 8.50E-06

Comparison conditions	
Ozone generator manufacturer	***
Ozone generator type	***
Ozone generator serial number	***
Room temperature(min-max) / °C	***
Room pressure (average) / hpa	***
Zero air source	***
Reference air flow rate (L/min)	***
Sample flow rate (L/min)	***
Instruments stabilisation time	***
Instruments acquisition time /s (one measurement)	***
Instruments averaging time /s	***
Total time for ozone conditioning	***
Ozone mole fraction during conditioning	***
Comparison repeated continously (Yes/No)	***
If no, ozone mole fraction in between the comparison repeats	***
Total number of comparison repeats realised	***
Data files names and location	***

Instruments checks and adjustments

Reference Standard

Transfer Standard

Uncertainty budgets (description or reference)

Reference Standard

BIPM-SRP 27 uncertainty budget is described in the protocol of this comparison: document BIPM.QM-K1 protocol, date 10 January 2007, available on the BIPM website. It can be summarized by the formula:

$$u(x) = \sqrt{(0.28)^2 + (2,92 \cdot 10^{-3} x)^2}$$

Transfer Standard

NIST SRP 0 follows the same uncertainty budget as the BIPM SRP 27. Both BIPM and NIST have kept this uncertainty the same after the electronics upgrade.

$$u(x) = \sqrt{(0.28)^2 + (2,92 \cdot 10^{-3} x)^2}$$

National Standard

Parameter	Component	Type	Distribution	Value	Units	Coefficient	Standard uncertainty	Units	Sensitivity Coefficient	Units	Contribution to the uncertainty budget	Units
u_{Lp-ra}	Optical path length - reproducibility	B	Normal	0,002	cm	1	0,002	cm	-0,0055976	cm ⁻¹	0,000932933	%
$u_{t.ms}$	Optical path length - measurement scale	B	Rectangular	0,001	cm	1,7320508	0,0005	cm	-0,0055976	cm ⁻¹	0,000279872	%
$u_{t.d}$	Optical path length - thickness of each quartz window	B	Rectangular	0,003	cm	1,7320508	0,001443376	cm	-0,0055976	cm ⁻¹	0,000807944	%
$u_{t.cor}$	Optical path length - Correction factor	B	Rectangular	0,893	cm	1,7320508	0,515712354	cm	-0,0055976	cm ⁻¹	0,288675135	%
$u_{p.g}$	Pressure - gauge	B	Rectangular	0,051	kPa	1,7320508	0,029250008	kPa	-0,0098692	kPa ⁻¹	0,028867513	%
$u_{p.d}$	Pressure - difference between cells	B	Rectangular	0,030	kPa	1,7320508	0,017320508	kPa	-0,0098692	kPa ⁻¹	0,017094012	%
$u_{T.cal}$	Temperature - PRT calibration	B	Rectangular	0,050	K	1,7320508	0,028867513	K	-0,003354	K ⁻¹	0,009682211	%
$u_{T.grad}$	Temperature - gradient	B	Rectangular	0,100	K	1,7320508	0,057735027	K	-0,003354	K ⁻¹	0,019364423	%
u_{rb}	Ratio of intensities - scaler resolution	B	Rectangular	1,38564E-05	/	1,7320508	0,000008	/	-2,004E-05	/	0,160306839	nmol / mol
u_{spb}	Scaler resolution - repeatability	B	Triangular	0,000	/	2,4494897	0,000011	/	-2,004E-05	/	0,220421904	nmol / mol
u_{σ_a}	Absorption cross-section	Conventional value	/	0,000	cm ² /molecule	1	1,22E-19	cm ² /molecule	-8,714E+16	cm ² /molecule	1,063088184	%

The uncertainty budget above is summarized in one equation describing the uncertainty as a function of ozone molar fraction, x , without the absorption cross section uncertainty:

$$u(x) = \sqrt{(0,28)^2 + (2,92 \times 10^{-3} x)^2} \text{ nmol / mol}$$