## Extension of thermodynamic temperature data up to 36 K with dielectric-constant gas thermometry using <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He and Ne as measuring gases

C. Gaiser, B. Fellmuth

Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt (PTB), Germany

Since 25 years dielectric-constant gas thermometry (DCGT) has been a well established method for primary thermometry at PTB. The first generation of DCGT (DCGT1) led to results for the thermodynamic temperature between 4.2 K and 27 K [1]. Using a second generation of DCGT (DCGT2), thermodynamic temperature measurements with reduced uncertainty were performed in the extended temperature range from 2.5 K to 36 K. The results obtained with <sup>4</sup>He in the range between 3.7 K and 26 K and the related uncertainty budgets are discussed in [2, 3], and in greater detail in [4]. Besides the thermodynamic temperature data, these publications are focused on comparisons between the results for the virial coefficients determined via DCGT and ab initio calculations, respectively. Additional measurements using <sup>3</sup>He as measuring gas at 2.5 K and 3.2 K are described in paper [5], which deals as main topics with the creation of bosonic clusters in <sup>4</sup>He and the determination of the molar polarizability of <sup>3</sup>He. The latest results obtained with <sup>4</sup>He and Ne between 23 K and 36 K will be published together with uncertainty budgets in [6]. In this submitted work, furthermore, a combination of all data sets (DCGT1 and DCGT2) using <sup>3</sup>He, <sup>4</sup>He and Ne leads to the deviation of the weighted mean from the ITS-90 [7] shown in Figure 1. Even at the highest temperatures, the thermodynamic values measured with DCGT are in perfect agreement with the ITS-90. In contrast, the estimates [8] on the basis of acoustic gas-thermometry measurements performed at 24.5 K and 77 K suggested significant negative differences T-T<sub>90</sub> already at 35 K. Therefore, these results underpin the necessity of thermodynamic measurements between the triple points of Ne and Ar.

$\begin{array}{c cccc} \hline T_{90} (\mathrm{K}) & T_{\mathrm{DCGT}}^{\mathrm{mean}} \text{-} T_{90} (\mathrm{mK}) & u (T_{\mathrm{DCGT}}^{\mathrm{mean}} \text{-} T_{90}) \\ \hline 27.0 & 0.14 & 0.34 \\ 28.5 & 0.09 & 0.34 \\ \end{array}$	
27.00.140.3428.50.090.34	(mK)
28.5 0.09 0.34	
30.0 0.09 0.34	
31.5 0.12 0.34	
33.0 0.16 0.36	
34.5 0.21 0.38	
36.0 0.23 0.41	

**Table 1** Deviation of the weighted mean of the DCGT2 data,  $T_{\text{DCGT}}^{\text{mean}}$ , measured with <sup>4</sup>He and Ne, from ITS-90 [7] values,  $T_{90}$ , together with the related standard uncertainty estimates *u*. For details see [6].



**Figure 1** Deviations of the DCGT temperature values,  $T_{DCGT}$ , measured with two different setups (DCGT1 [1] and DCGT2 [2]) from the ITS-90 [7] temperature values,  $T_{90}$ . The <sup>4</sup>He datasets of DCGT1 [1] (blue triangles) and DCGT2 [6] (black dots) have been evaluated via multi-isotherm fits (for details see [3]). The results of two measurements made with <sup>3</sup>He [5] are plotted in orange asterisks. The results obtained with DCGT2 using Ne as measuring gas are also shown, in green squares. The smooth curves  $T_{DCGT} - T_{90}$  versus  $T_{90}$  for the DCGT1 dataset (dashed blue line) and the DCGT2 dataset (dashed dotted black line) have been approximated using polynomial fits of ninth order to the experimental differences. For Ne a fit of second order (dashed dotted green line) was sufficient. In addition, the curve  $T_{DCGT}^{mean} - T_{90}$  versus  $T_{90}$  (red line), resulting from a weighted mean of all plotted DCGT data, and the related standard confidence interval  $U(T_{DCGT}^{mean} - T_{90})$  (dotted red lines) are shown. The plot is divided in four regions, in which different data is used: I) (2.5 K to 4.2 K) only DCGT2 data obtained with <sup>3</sup>He and <sup>4</sup>He, II) (4.2 K to 23 K) DCGT1 and DCGT2 with <sup>4</sup>He and Ne. This is the reason why at the crossover from one region to the other the mean curve is not smooth.

- [1] H. Luther, K. Grohmann and B. Fellmuth, *Metrologia* **33**:341 (1996).
- [2] C. Gaiser, B. Fellmuth, N. Haft, Int. J. Thermophys. 29 (1):18 (2008).
- [3] C. Gaiser, B. Fellmuth, *Metrologia* 46:525 (2009)
- [4] C. Gaiser, (Doctoral thesis), *Shaker Verlag Aachen*, ISBN 978-3-8322-7552-5 (2008).
- [5] C. Gaiser, B. Fellmuth, *Europhys. Lett.* **83**:15001 (2008).
- [6] C. Gaiser, B. Fellmuth, Int. J. Thermophys to be published
- [7] Preston-Thomas H., *Metrologia* 27, 3–10 (1990)
- [8] J. Fischer, M. de Podesta, K.D. Hill, M.R. Moldover, L. Pitre, P.P.M. Steur, D.R. White, O. Tamura, I. Yang, *Working Group 4 report to the Consultative Committee for Thermometry*, BIPM, document CCT/08-13/rev (2008).