

KRIS



2017 CCAUV

Activities in KRISS

Wan-Cho Cho



General

Organization change





President

Vice President



General

CMC updates (approved at April 2017)

Acoustics

- Whole previously existing items are re-approved
- Ultrasound
 - The items of ultrasonic power are newly added
- Vibration
 - Whole previously existing items of linear vibration are re-approved
 - The items of angular vibrations are newly added

Peer review

- Acoustics
 - The items for free-field microphone sensitivity for the frequency range of 1 kHz -31.5 kHz are newly added based on the results of CCAUV.A-K4
- Ultrasound
 - The items of ultrasonic power are updated and the uncertainties are updated
- Vibration
 - ✓ The frequency ranges of items of linear vibration are extended to 0.5 Hz
 - The items of angular vibration are updated and the uncertainties are updated





Sound in Air

- Diffuse-field sensitivity

- Optical measurement method



Introduction

Types of sound field

- Pressure field
 - Uniform pressure, only diaphragm exposed to pressure \checkmark
 - Small coupler, cross-section of plane wave duct \checkmark
 - High S/N ratio & high stability \checkmark



Diffuse field

Free field

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

 \checkmark

Uniform pressure, plane wave incidence with same \checkmark probability for every direction

Direct field + effect of sensor body (infinite rod)

Representing indoor condition

Representing outdoor condition

Radom incidence (Diffuse) field

Images from http://www,gras.dk

Diffuse Sound Field

General definition

- Sound field having equal probability of energy flow in all direction and the energy density is uniform in a volume (Jacobsen, DTU Tech. rep., 1979; Nelisse & Nicolas, JASA, 1997)
 - \rightarrow Summation of infinite-equally spaced plane wave sources

General characteristics

- High reverberation time
- Uniform distribution of sound pressure
- Spatial correlation is given by sinc function





Previous Works

- Standard on the Diffuse Field Sensitivity Calibration
 - No standard issued for calibrating the diffuse field sensitivity Pressure sensitivity: IEC 61094-2 (Primary), IEC 61094-5 (Comparison)
 Free-field sensitivity: IEC 61094-3 (Primary), IEC TS 61094-7 (Correction), IEC 61094-8 (Comparison)
 - V No 'Calibration and Measurement Capabilities' registered by NMI
 - No international comparison has been conducted

Research Works on the Diffuse Field Sensitivity Calibration

- Reciprocity method
 - Basic concept & formulation: Diestel, JASA, 1961
 - Measurement method with a scaled reverberation chamber: Barrera-Figueroa et al., JASA, 2008 Improved result using diffuser: Milhomem et al., Internoise 2016
- Random Incidence method
 - Measurement method result: Barrera-Figueroa et al., JASA, 2007



Measurement System

System Configuration

- Rod: Hollowed pipe, 1 m length, same outer diameter with mic, hanged by fishing line
- Rotator: Motor controlled with high precision (0.1 degree resolution) Measured with 5 degree step
- Sound source: Compression driver + Horn
- Data acquisition system: B&K PULSE + LAN-XI 3060 (FFT Analyzer + Generator)
- Distance between source and test mic.: 4 m



Measurement System

System Configuration

- Rod: Hollowed pipe, 1 m length, same outer diameter with mic, hanged by fishing line
- Rotator: Motor controlled with high precision (0.1 degree resolution) Measured with 5 degree step
- Sound source: Compression driver + Horn
- Data acquisition system: B&K PULSE + LAN-XI 3060 (FFT Analyzer + Generator)
- Distance between source and test mic.: 4 m



Random Incidence Sensitivity Method

LPF Frequency response mpuse response Signal Processing Filtered FRF Rectangula Steady iFFT state 0.5 response Impulse response Tukey Gating Process (Filtered) 0.5 Various reflection & scattering effects are included \checkmark Time gating 0.1 Chebyshev 0.05 → Reflection removal should be applied Direct path IR -0.05 (Filtered) \rightarrow Applying low-pass filtering Normalized frequency, Fn Inverse FFT for stabilizing impulse response LPF **Direct path FRF** (Kwon & Cho, JASA, 2013) (Filtered) Direct path \rightarrow Fluctuation in 2 kHz – 5 kHz is reduced response 3.5 Before gating LS1, w/ gating After gating --LS1, w/o gating Frequency response Directivity index (dB) LS2, w/ gating LS2, w/o gating 10⁴ 0.5 1.5 2 2.5 Frequency (Hz) Frequency (Hz) x 10⁴ Frequency response at frontal direction Averaged measured directivity with 3 microphones (4160.1792662)

Minimizing

Time/Fn (mse

KRIDE

Reciprocity Method

Measurement System

- Scaled Mini Reverberation Chamber
 - ✓ Non-paralleled walls of aluminum plates
 - Volume: 2.8 m³
 - Transmission loss of door: 30~45 dB
 - Reverberation time:

MDF plate wall: 0.38 ~ 0.60 s Steel plate wall: 0.52 ~ 0.84 s









Reciprocity Method

Measurement System

Voltage ratio measurement

- ✓ Same to the free-field reciprocity measurement system
 - Insert voltage method
 - Isolating the channels of transmitter and receiver to suppress cross-talk
 - 20 dB preamp. gain to increase S/N ratio

Acquisition process

FRF with Random noise



KRIZS

Signal Processing

- Contribution of direct wave
 - For the face to face configuration, contribution of frontal direction become larger than other directions
 - ✓ To apply the removal process
 → Freq. step smaller than (1/RT) Hz is required
- Averaging process
 - ✓ Frequency band average: 1 Hz \rightarrow 1/3 octave band
 - Spatial averaging: 18 separated points (no face to face configuration)





batially averaged data



Results comparison

- Comparison with the sensitivities in other types of sound fields
 - Diffuse-field sensitivity estimated by the different methods are placed in between the pressure and free-field sensitivity



Optical method for the future primary standard

Measurement system with gated photon correlation method

System setup

- Feasibility test with sine-wave tube
- Installation of free-field measurement system
- → Collection of measurement data and the detailed investigation are on-going







This works was conducted by collaborating with Dr. T. Koukoulas a former principal research scientist at NPL

KRISS





RISS

14

Ultrasound

2

- High ultrasonic power measurement

Ultrasound

Contact point

- Name: Dr. Yong Tae Kim (Head, Center for medical convergence metrology)
- Email: ytkim@kriss.re.kr

Recent activities

- Ultrasound power
 - Development absorbing target available to RFB method up to 300 W
 - High power measurement system construction
 - Fabrication of high power transducers (1 MHz, 3 MHz)
 - Pilot study for comparison with NMIJ, NIS (2 MHz 15 MHz) Report is submitted to SCI journal.
 - ✓ HIFU Transducer using meta-acoustic lens
 - HIFU phantom research for histotripsy

Future Plan (2018 -)

- Pilot study high power measurement comparison in APMP
- APMP.U-K1 RMO KC (2018)





High power target

- Requirement
 - Echo Reduction: 50 dB (sufficient)
 - Single layer
- Characteristics of the developed target
 - ✓ Specific Insertion Loss: 15 dB/cm
 - ✓ 3 cm-thickness \rightarrow IL = 45 dB



High ultrasonic power measurement

System configuration



High ultrasonic power measurement

High power measurement and water temperature problem

- Water temperature continues to rise after measurement.
- Difficult to maintain water temperature: (21.5 ± 2.0) °C
- New water tank with Cooling circulator
- Water temperature can be controlled





KRI

Summary

KRISS AUV

- Re-organization
 - Role, activities and research area are <u>not changed</u>



On-going Research Works

- Acoustics
 - Diffuse-field sensitivity
 - Optical method for the future primary standard
- Ultrasound
 - High power measurement method
 - Related topics to HIFU

Vibration

 Research on the national seismic monitoring system to make it traceable to the international metrology standard







Thank you

