

Electronic determination of the Boltzmann constant with Johnson Noise Thermometry

Jifeng Qu (NIM, China)

June. 1, 2017, 28th CCT meeting at BIMP



Outline





Outline





$$S_{R} = 4hfR\left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\exp(hf/kT) - 1}\right] (1)$$

$$\langle V^{2} \rangle = 4 kT R \Delta f \qquad (2)$$

- random thermal motion of electrons in a conductor causes both electrical resistance and a fluctuating voltage
- predicted by Einstein in 1906, measured by Johnson in 1927, and theoretically described by Nyquist in 1928
- **fluctuation-dissipation theorem**





Pros:

- pure electronic measurement of thermodynamic temperature
- immune from chemical and mechanical changes in the material properties
- periodic calibration is not necessary
- Cons:
 - extremely small voltage, 100 ohm, 273K, ~1.2nV/\/Hz (amplify by 10⁵)
 - random, very long time integration $(\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{t})$ (weeks or months)
 - distributed over wide bandwidths ($\sigma \sim 1/\sqrt{\Delta f}$) (a few hundred kHz)





- **four wire connection defines the source impedance**
- eliminates uncorrelated noise by cross-correlation
- eliminates the effect of amplifier gain drift by switching
- **impossible to match both the noise power and frequency response**
- affected by electronic nonlinearity or narrow bandwidth
- relative measurement, uncertainty limited to 10⁻⁵



Outline







L. Strom, Metrologia 22, 229 (1986)

To achieve 10 ppm in $k_{\rm B}$ determination:

- 5 additional connections for calibration
- **keep the temperature of all electronics constant within 0.02 K**
- measure the divider factors with uncertainty less than 0.5 ppm
- accumulate data for more than 1 year!

Digital signal processing in frequency domain



H. Brixy et. al., Temperature: It's measurement and control in science and industry, vol 6, 993 (1992)





$$\int V(t)dt = \frac{h}{2e}$$

- Samuel Benz, Clark Hamilton (NIST)
- **quantum accurate (<<1 ppm up to 4 MHz)**
- **calculable PSD**
- arbitrary distribution

S.P. Benz, and C. A. Hamilton Appl. Phys. Lett. 68, 3173 (1996)

Quantum voltage calibrated noise thermometer



Johnson noise



Quantum voltage noise

John, Martinis (NIST) suggestedNIST, NIM, NMIJ



Outline







$$S_R = 4kT_W X_R R_K$$

$$S_{\text{Q-calc}} = D^2 N_{\text{J}}^2 f_{\text{s}} M / K_{\text{J}}^2$$

$$k = \frac{D^2 N_{\rm J}^2 f_{\rm s} M}{4T_{\rm W} X_{\rm R} R_{\rm K} K_{\rm J}^2} \frac{\langle S_{\rm R} \rangle}{\langle S_{\rm Q} \rangle}$$

Qu et al., Metrologia 52 S242 (2015)





underground screened room

shielding with aluminum and high-permeability nickle-alloy boxes

- powered by batteries
- eliminate ground loop

Measured spectra of the synthesized quantum noise waveform with (upper) and without (lower) observable EMI, blue green, and red are auto-correlation in each channel, and correlation spectra, respectively, and black \times is the synthesized tones.









- nonlinearity introduces significant errors
- PSDs are the same, Gaussian distribution, uncorrelated noise power are the same

change the voltage of QVNS without changing any other parameters to measure the nonlinearity effect

■~0. 4×10⁻⁶ error for 1% mismatch

Conversion Zero-compensation method for QVNS synhtesis



Qu et al., IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercon. (2015)

Match the noise sources and transmission lines

The 2015 determination: $R_{\rm T} = R_{\rm O} = 200\Omega$



insert uncorrelated resistor to match both the noise powers and impedances
 insert trimming inductance and capacitance to match the transmission lines

Match the noise sources and transmission lines

The 2017 determination: $R_T = R_0/2 = 100\Omega$



Remove all the trimming components, keep the identical connecting leads
 Coaxial input networks to get well defined transmission line impedances
 Use Be-Cu coaxial cables to get the same inductance at different temperature









$$R(f) = \frac{S_{\rm R}}{S_{\rm Q}} \left(1 + a_2 f^2 + a_4 f^4 + a_6 f^6 + \dots \right)$$

short connections-lumped components

ambiguity–which model and bandwidth to select?





cross-validation method to estimate selection probability of each model (Kevin, Coakley et. al., *Metrologia* 54 204 (2017))

select the optimal polynomial model and bandwidth by minimizing the uncertainty that accounts for both random and systematic effects

Measurement results

	2015	2017	
$k_{\rm B}/10^{-23}~{\rm J/K}$	1.3806513	1.3806497	
$u_{\rm r}(k_{\rm B})$ / 10 ⁻⁶	3.9	2.7	
Component			Correlation
Statistical	3.2	2.4	0
Correction Model ambiguity	1.8	1.0	0
Fitting bandwidth	NA	0.6	0
Dielectric losses	1.0	0.2	0
EMI	0.4	0.4	0
Nonlinearity	0.1	0.1	1
R	0.5/0.2	0.2	1
TPW	0.4	0.4	1
QVNS waveform	0.1	0.1	1

JNT Development and Measurements at NIST

Recent system development at NIST:

 Input impedance adjustment to match imaginary and real part of connections to the Quantum Voltage Noise Source and the sense resistor in the Triple-point cell.



- Switchable signal and grounding path at the input of the amplifiers to eliminate effects of floating amplifiers and decrease switching transients.
- Improved system wiring to reach differential crosstalk suppression of more than 110dB.
- Battery operation of the electronics with switchable sets for continuous operation.

JNT Development and Measurements at NIST

- New QVNS chip with inner/outer DC block on chip:



 Measurements electronics, battery power supplies, JNT chip and analysis software has been made available to NIM within the cooperation agreement between NIST and NIM.

- Data analysis using a bootstrap method to automatically select the bandwidthdependent order of even-order polynomial fit to JJ/R ratio measurements.



Example for 11 day measurement in noisy environment,

JNT Development and Measurements at NIST

- The JNT project at NIST suffered from EMI problems since a high-quality shielded room was not available.
- Since early 2017 the measurement system could be placed into a high quality magnetically shielded room with excellent low frequency shielding and rf-shielding of typically 100 dB at 1 MHz and above.





Since February 2017 measurements are being performed with the aim to present a value for Boltzmann's constant *k* with an uncertainty of less that 5 ppm to support the results at NIM in the NIST/NIM cooperation.







Offset from k_{2014} : - 9.1 ppm Combined uncertainty: 4.6 ppm

Accumulated for 41 days





Outline





Technology breakthroughs (switch correlator, ADC, QVNS) made it possible to realize absolute measurement by Johnson noise thermometry

We measured the Boltzmann constant with a relative uncertainty of 2.7 ppm that meet the second requirements of CCT to proceed the kelvin redefinition

Purely electronic approach, provide strong assurance that there are no major systematic errors in the k determination by primary gas thermometry



Thanks for your attention!





primary gas thermometry limited by non-ideal properties of real gas

- **JNT uses electron gas**
- **pure electronic approach, attracting increasing interest**

Joachim Fischer, Metrologia 52 S364, 2015





CODATA 2010 $k_{\rm B}$ input data

Mohr et al., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 84 1527 (2012) Benz et al., *Metrologia* 48 142 (2011) ■ NIST reported first electronic measurement of $k_{\rm B}$ with $u_{\rm r} = 12.1 \times 10^{-6}$

CCT required at least two methods with $u_r < 3 \times 10^{-6}$ to redefine the kelvin

■ NIST, NIM, NMIJ, pursuing even lower uncertainty