

CCEM/17-17

CCEM 2017 Report from Working Group on the SI

CCEM WGSI

Barry Wood

BIPM Feb 22, 2017





National Research Conseil national de council Canada recherches Canada

Outline

NRC·CNRC

- WGSI Terms of Reference
- WGSI Members
- Revised mise document
- Implementation Guidelines
- CCU's 'Common Statement'
- Timeline
- Endorsement ?
- WGSI's Future plans



Terms of Reference

The Consultative Committee on Electricity and Magnetism formed the Working Group on the SI (CCEM WGSI) in 2005. Since that time the working group has drafted the CCEM's recommendation EM1(2007) and its mise en pratique (2009), all in support of the proposed redefinition of the SI.

With redefinition approaching the CCEM has decided to reconstitute the WGSI focusing on the effective implementation of the revised SI and preparing for other possible changes impacting electrical metrology.



Terms of Reference

The revised Terms of Reference of the CCEM WGSI are:

- To liaise with the CIPM's SI promotion Task Group concerning the promotion and coordination of the implementation of the proposed changes to the SI,
- To liaise with the CCU, other CC's and related committees concerning the implementation of the revised SI and other changes that may occur in the future,
- To prepare guidelines for the NMIs and affected clients concerning the discontinuous change in the electrical units occurring at the time of redefinition,
- To consider and possibly revise the mise en pratique as needed,
- To continue to monitor changes in other units that may impact the electrical measurement system.



WGSI Members

Chairperson:

NRC **Dr. Barry Wood**

Members :

Dr. Ilya Budovsky	NMIA
Mr. Nick Fletcher	BIPM
Dr. Steve Giblin	NPL
Dr. Beat Jeckelmann	METAS
Dr. François Piquemal	LNE
Dr. James Olthoff	NIST
Dr. Ian Robinson	NPL
Dr. Uwe Siegner	РТВ

Dr. Michael Stock Dr. Gert Rietveld

CCEM Secretary CCEM Président



Revised Mise en Pratique

- See document CCEM 17 08
- Updated dates numbers and references.
- **Essentially the same structure and content.**
- Improved some of the wording.
- Conventional values of R_{K} and J_{K} are a creation of the CCEM. We recommend 16 digits for their values.
- A post-redefinition mise and supplementary document are under consideration with a more practical viewpoint.



Implemention Guidelines

See document CCEM 17 09

Advice for NMI's and clients about implementing redefinition.

NOT necessary to correct all electrical values on implemention day (May 20, 2019).

Numerical correction versus recalibration versus wait till next calibration cycle.

Other requirements and sources of information.

This document is also guidance for QS auditors.



CCU's Common Statement

The CCU is preparing a short, general statement from all of the CCs introducing the SI redefinition and directed towards stakeholders. It is titled:

Joint statement from all the Consultative Committees of the CIPM to their stakeholders on the forthcoming redefinition of the SI

Only a working draft is presently available.



CCU's Common Statement

(CCEM paragraph)

In the 'Revised SI', the methods used at present for the practical realization of electrical units at the highest metrological level will become fully consistent with the definitions of the units. The transition to the 'Revised SI' will result in a small change in the size of all disseminated electrical units. The maximum change will be about 0.1 parts per million, and for the vast majority of measurement users no action need be taken. Practitioners working at the highest level of accuracy may need to adjust the values of their standards and review their uncertainty budgets. They are invited to consult guidance documents available through the CCEM, particularly the CCEM's mise en pratique.



Timeline

July 1, 2017	deadline of acceptance of new data	
Sept 4, 2017	CODATA TGFC meeting manuscript already accepted and publically available	
Sept 5-6, 2017	CCU reviews values recommends digits etc	
Oct 16-20, 2017	CIPM meeting – recommendation to the CGPM	
Nov 13-24, 2018	approves the 'Revised SI'	
May 20, 2019	Implementaion day	





- How detailed should the CCEM mise be ?
- What do other CCs do ?
- Should it be more applicable to all NMIs as opposed to the most advanced NMIs ?
- Should a seperate document of typical traceability chains in electrical measurments be prepared?





- Consider endorsing the mise document.
- **Consider endorsing the Implementation Guidelines.**
- **Comments about the CCU's common statement**
- **Other comments?**

