



Position of the EUROMET TC-M on the paper:

### **Redefinition of the kilogram: A decision whose time has come<sup>1</sup>**

The EUROMET TC-M recognizes that the current definition of the kilogram in terms of the international prototype is not satisfactory, as the mass of the prototype is believed to change as a function of time.

In recent years, members of EUROMET, as well as the BIPM and NIST, have initiated new or improved Watt-balance experiments in order to determine the Planck constant  $h$  with a relative standard uncertainty of a few parts in  $10^8$  that is necessary for monitoring the foreseen change in mass of the prototype over a period of several years. These experiments are expected to deliver results within the next 5-10 years that might change the current value of  $h$  in terms of the kilogram at its present definition based on the international prototype.

In parallel, a significant effort is being put into the Avogadro project in order to measure the Avogadro constant  $N_A$  with the required relative standard uncertainty of a few parts in  $10^8$ . As a result, an improved value of  $N_A$  is expected to be available within the next 5-10 years as well.

Currently, there is a relative discrepancy of  $10^{-6}$  between the prototype-based values of  $h$  and  $N_A$ . If the kilogram would be defined by fixing the value of  $h$  or  $N_A$  today, the mass of the prototype,  $m(\mathcal{K})$  could therefore be expected to change by 1 mg or more within the next 5-10 years, as the results of the new experiments become available. In other words, the relative difference between the SI unit kg and the temporary conventional unit  $\text{kg}_{07}$ , being defined in the paper as the mass of the kilogram prototype, could well amount up to  $10^{-6}$ . For comparison, the relative expanded uncertainty ( $k = 2$ ) claimed by a typical NMI is  $5 \cdot 10^{-8}$  at the 1 kg level, whereas the relative maximum permissible error for commercial OIML class E<sub>1</sub> weights larger than 50 g is  $5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ .

A relative difference of  $10^{-6}$  between the SI unit kg and the conventional unit  $\text{kg}_{07}$  would create a number of problems at NMIs, at industrial calibration laboratories and at verification offices the day the conventional unit  $\text{kg}_{07}$  were abolished:

- All values assigned to high accuracy weights, weighing instruments and density standards would have to be updated from one day to the next.
- Most of the classified OIML class E<sub>1</sub> weights would have to be replaced.
- Density tables used in volume and density measurements would have to be updated.
- The impact on all instruments measuring quantities derived from the kilogram, such as force, torque and pressure, would have to be evaluated.

---

<sup>1</sup> Ian M Mills, Peter J Mohr, Terry J Quinn, Barry N Taylor and Edwin R Williams, Redefinition of the kilogram: a decision whose time has come, *Metrologia* **42** (2005) 71–80



## EUROMET • European Collaboration in Measurement Standards

In order to avoid such problems, the relative difference between the new SI unit kg and the mass of the international prototype of the kilogram should not be larger than  $2 \cdot 10^{-8}$ . Therefore, the EUROMET TC-M recommends that a new definition of the kilogram based on fixed values of  $h$  or  $N_A$  should be postponed until at least three independent experiments (Watt-balance experiments or the Avogadro project) have provided consistent results with relative standard uncertainties of a few parts in  $10^8$ .

Agreed by EUROMET TC-M at its meeting 2005-03-03 in Thessalonica