

# **PRINCIPLES FOR CONVENTIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO MODELED STATION DISPLACEMENTS**

- **Guiding principles for IERS Conventions models**
- **Selection criteria for displacement contributions**
- **Recommended revisions for Conventions Chapter 4 (ITRF)**
- **Handling of non-tidal displacements**

**Jim Ray, U.S. National Geodetic Survey**

**Zuheir Altamimi, Institut Géographique National**

**Tonie van Dam, University of Luxembourg**

**Tom Herring, Massachusetts Institute of Technology**

# Issue & Objective

- **IERS model for station positions**

- instantaneous positions differ from regularized positions by:

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{X}_R(t) + \sum \Delta\mathbf{X}_i(t)$$

where  $\Delta\mathbf{X}_i(t)$  are “regularization corrections” to remove mostly high-frequency (geophysical) variations

- idea is to simplify time evolution of  $\mathbf{X}_R(t)$  by removing known effects

- **Question: which effects to include as corrections  $\Delta\mathbf{X}_i(t)$  ?**

- current IERS Conventions not complete or fully self-consistent

- **Objective: provide set of “principles” for selection process**

- aim for rational set of guidelines
- but must also consider historical context

# Proposed Classification of IERS Models

- **Class 1 – “reduction”**
  - used *a priori* in data reductions to derive geodetic estimates
  - ideally, models not adjusted in reductions
  - must be highly accurate, errors  $< \sim 1$  mm
  - geophysically based & as independent of space geodesy as possible
  - inter-solution consistency important for combinations
  - e.g., solid Earth tide model
- **Class 2 – “conventional”**
  - to remove an observational singularity or is purely conventional
  - choice is effectively arbitrary
  - e.g., ITRF rotational datum (NNR w.r.t. crust)
- **Class 3 – “useful”**
  - helpful to interpret data, but not required as Class 1 or 2
  - should *not* be embedded in exchanged results
  - e.g., zonal UT1/LOD models

# Proposed Scope of IERS Conventions

- **Class 1 – “reduction”**
  - provide complete & consistent set of highly accurate models
  - provide implementing software & test data sets
- **Class 2 – “conventional”**
  - provide unique set without ambiguities
  - but only where necessary
  - choices guided by Union resolutions & historic practice
- **Class 3 – “useful”**
  - include those whose use is likely to be sufficiently common
  - or to minimize user confusion

# Conventional Model for ITRF Positions

- **Chapter 4, eqn 11**

- general model to relate instantaneous *a priori* position,  $\mathbf{X}(t)$ , on Earth's surface at epoch  $t$  to regularized position  $\mathbf{X}_R(t)$  is:

$$\mathbf{X}(t) = \mathbf{X}_R(t) + \sum \Delta\mathbf{X}_i(t)$$

where  $\Delta\mathbf{X}_i(t)$  are “regularization corrections” to remove mostly high-frequency (geophysical) variations

- idea is to simplify time evolution of  $\mathbf{X}_R(t)$

- **Current model for  $\mathbf{X}_R(t)$  is linear**

$$\mathbf{X}_R(t) = \mathbf{X}_0 + \mathbf{V} * (t - t_0)$$

- set of values  $\{\mathbf{X}_0, \mathbf{V}\}$  for global set of stations constitutes a specific TRF realization, at reference epoch  $t_0$

- **Which “regularization corrections” to include in  $\Delta\mathbf{X}_i(t)$  ?**

# Proposed Criteria for Displacement Models

- **Guiding principles**

- include only Class 1 (“reduction”) models, plus any technique-specific effects
- selection of regularization corrections should be rational process
- included effects should not be chosen randomly or haphazardly
- but consistency with historic practice often wise to avoid confusion

- **Specific criteria**

- include complete daily & sub-daily tidal variations
- model corrections must be accurate, errors < obs errors
- models must be as independent of geodetic data as possible
- prefer models in closed-form expressions for ease of use
- try to maintain flexibility to evaluate different models easily *a posteriori* when accuracy is questionable

# Recommendations for Revised Chapter 4

- **Keep current eqn 11 & linear model for  $X_R(t)$**
- **Retain 2003 models for  $\Delta X_i(t)$  corrections, including periods > daily for consistency with past:**
  - solid Earth (body) tide (no change)
  - ocean tidal loading (text clarified)
  - solid Earth pole tide (no change)
- **Add new models:**
  - ocean pole tide loading (recent update), including long periods
  - S1/S2 atmospheric pressure tidal loading (under evaluation)
- **Geocenter motions**
  - compensating motions of solid Earth due to tidal variations of fluid loads *should be included* in site displacements (explicit clarification)

# Recommendations for Chapter 4 (cont'd)

- **Technique-dependent corrections**
  - may be included by Technique Services
  - can cause position-like displacements
  - but models need not be given explicitly in IERS Conventions
  - care must be taken for effects on local ties, for instance
- **Non-tidal displacements *should not be included***
  - do not satisfy selection criteria
  - therefore, should be excluded from operational reductions
  - but research tests are encouraged
  - some specific objections & issues follow next . . .

# Non-Tidal Displacements Fail Criteria

- **Reliability not adequate in sub-daily band**
  - need fully self-consistent treatment of “dynamic barometer”
  - IB & non-IB approximations not adequate for <fortnightly periods
- **Accuracy of fluid models not well demonstrated**
  - studies of accuracy & comparisons lacking
  - combined product series should be investigated for improved accuracy & ease of use
- **Tidal effects must be cleanly removed**
  - tides treated separately
  - all treatments must be self-consistent
- **Long-term biases in ITRF must be avoided**
  - mass conservation often not enforced in fluid models
  - could lead to secular drifts in ITRF

# Non-Tidal Displacements Failures (cont'd)

- **Would force new ITRF datum requirements**
  - reference positions would depend on reference pressure field
  - might require average long-term pressure for every point
- **Not easy to test alternative models**
  - better to test non-tidal loadings *a posteriori*
  - assumes net non-tidal loads not significant over geodetic integration intervals (usually, 1 d to 1 week)
  - but, need rigorous methods to compute daily/weekly averages

# Non-Tidal Displacements Recommendations

- **GGFC to provide validated Class 3 load displacement models**
  - validated “operational” IERS models should appear at 1<sup>st</sup> level of GGFC bureaux
    - other “research” models should appear elsewhere
  - must provide model data fields, documentation, & software
  - provide accuracy assessments
    - consider errors in raw fluid fields
    - also errors in modelling, etc
  - suggest issues be studied better & evaluated in near future
- **IERS Conventions changes**
  - expand Chapter 7 to discuss use of non-tidal models as Class 3 type

# Study Non-Tidal Effects in ITRF

- **Rather than apply non-tidal corrections in data reductions, test *a posteriori* effects in ITRF combination**
  - try non-tidal corrections in stacking of technique frames
  - would be more important for techniques with sparse or non-continuous observing (i.e., VLBI, SLR)
- **Instead of simple Helmert stacking of raw frames, try:**
$$\text{Helmert}\{ \text{XYZ}_k(\mathbf{x},t) - \text{Load}(\mathbf{x},t) \} \rightarrow \text{TRF}_k(\mathbf{x},v)$$
  - effect of loads on long-term inter-site positions probably minor if loads average close to zero
  - but time-varying network effects for different techniques could be mitigated
  - interpretation of EOPs & Helmert parameters would be affected since load effects would be nominally removed
- **Requires careful testing & evaluation**
  - must be esp concerned with long-term stability of frame

# Summary of Recommendations

- **Revise IERS Conventions Introduction**
  - define classes of models explicitly & specify scope
  - give criteria for selection of site displacement models
- **Revise IERS Conventions Chapter 4**
  - proposed text given in Position Paper
- **Handling of non-tidal displacements**
  - do not include as conventional site model contributions
  - expand Chapter 7 to discuss effects as Class 3 type
  - refocus GGFC activities to address questions raised here
- **Study non-tidal displacements in ITRF combination**
  - evaluate *a posteriori* corrections in frame stacking
  - determine if network effects can be mitigated
  - take great care with datum & interpretation issues