

### **Monitoring the Impact of Key and Supplementary Comparison Results on CMC Claims**

The chain of responsibility to ensure that CMC claims made by an NMI are consistent with the results obtained in key and supplementary comparisons is identified as:

1. The **NMI** making the CMC claim has **primary and principal** responsibility.
2. Through its Technical Committees/Working Groups, the **RMO** should monitor the impact of key and supplementary comparison results on CMC claims for its member NMIs.
3. The Consultative Committee Working Groups on CMCs are intended to:
  - provide guidance on the range of CMCs supported by particular key and supplementary comparisons;
  - identify areas where additional key and supplementary comparisons are needed; and
  - coordinate the review of existing CMCs in the context of new results of key and supplementary comparisons.
4. If, based on the results of a key or supplementary comparison, an RMO/NMI has concerns about the CMC claims of a particular NMI within another RMO, it should contact the NMI directly to seek resolution. If this is not successfully concluded, then the matter should be directed to the relevant RMO of the NMI making the CMC claims. In the event that further intervention is required, the JCRB Chairman should then be requested to help resolve the issue.